List of Theorems

- Thm 1.A. The class of regular languages is closed under union.
- Thm 1.B. The class of regular languages is closed under concatenation.
- Thm 1.C. Every NFA has an equivalent DFA.
- Thm 1.D. The class of regular languages is closed under Kleene-star.
- Thm 1.E. (Kleene's Theorem) Language A is regular iff A has a regular expression.
- Thm 1.F. If A is finite language, then A is regular.
- Thm 1.G. The class of regular languages is closed under intersection.
- Thm 1.H. The class of regular languages is closed under complementation.
- Thm 1.I. (Pumping lemma for regular languages) If A is regular language, then \exists number p where, if $s \in A$ with $|s| \ge p$, then can split s = xyz satisfying the conditions (1) $xy^i z \in A$ for each $i \ge 0$, (2) |y| > 0, and (3) $|xy| \le p$.
- Thm 2.A. Every CFL can be described by a CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ in Chomsky normal form, i.e., each rule in G has one of two forms: $A \to BC$ or $A \to x$, where $A \in V$, $B, C \in V \{S\}$, $x \in \Sigma$, and we also allow the rule $S \to \varepsilon$.
- Thm 2.B. If A is a regular language, then A is also a CFL.
- Thm 2.C. A language is context free iff some PDA recognizes it.
- Thm 2.D. (Pumping lemma for CFLs) For every CFL L, \exists pumping length p such that \forall strings $s \in L$ with $|s| \ge p$, can split s = uvxyz with (1) $uv^i xy^i z \in L \ \forall i \ge 0$, (2) $|vy| \ge 1$, (3) $|vxy| \le p$.
- Thm 2.E. The class of CFLs is closed under union.
- Thm 2.F. The class of CFLs is closed under concatenation.
- Thm 2.G. The class of CFLs is closed under Kleene-star.
- Thm 3.A. For every multi-tape TM M, there is a single-tape TM M' such that L(M) = L(M').
- Thm 3.B. Every NTM has an equivalent deterministic TM.
- Cor 3.C. Language L is Turing-recognizable iff an NTM recognizes it.
- Thm 3.D. A language is enumerable iff some enumerator enumerates it.
- Church-Turing Thesis. Informal notion of algorithm corresponds to a Turing machine that always halts.

Thm 4.A. $A_{\text{DFA}} = \{ \langle B, w \rangle \mid B \text{ is a DFA that accepts string } w \}$ is Turing-decidable.

Thm 4.B. $A_{\text{NFA}} = \{ \langle B, w \rangle \mid B \text{ is an NFA that accepts string } w \}$ is Turing-decidable.

Thm 4.C. $A_{\text{REX}} = \{ \langle R, w \rangle \mid R \text{ is a regular expression that generates string } w \}$ is Turing-decidable.

Thm 4.D. $E_{\text{DFA}} = \{ \langle B \rangle \mid B \text{ is a DFA with } L(B) = \emptyset \}$ is Turing-decidable.

Thm 4.E. $EQ_{\text{DFA}} = \{ \langle A, B \rangle \mid A \text{ and } B \text{ are DFAs with } L(A) = L(B) \}$ is Turing-decidable.

Thm 4.F. $A_{CFG} = \{ \langle G, w \rangle \mid G \text{ is a CFG that generates string } w \}$ is Turing-decidable.

Thm 4.G. $E_{CFG} = \{ \langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ is a CFG with } L(G) = \emptyset \}$ is Turing-decidable.

- Thm 4.H. Every CFL is Turing-decidable.
- Thm 4.I. $A_{\text{TM}} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts string } w \}$ is undecidable.
- Thm 4.J. The set \mathcal{R} of all real numbers is uncountable.

Cor 4.K. Some languages are not Turing-recognizable.

Thm 4.L. A language is decidable iff it is both Turing-recognizable and co-Turing-recognizable.

- Cor 4.M. $\overline{A_{\rm TM}}$ is not Turing-recognizable.
- Thm 5.A. $HALT_{TM} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that halts on } w \}$ is undecidable.
- Thm 5.B. $E_{\text{TM}} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM with } L(M) = \emptyset \}$ is undecidable.
- Thm 5.C. $REG_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) \text{ is regular} \}$ is undecidable.
- Thm 5.D. $EQ_{\text{TM}} = \{ \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \mid M_1, M_2 \text{ are TMs with } L(M_1) = L(M_2) \}$ is undecidable.
- Thm 5.E. (Rice's Thm.) Let \mathcal{P} be any subset of the class of Turing-recognizable languages such that $\mathcal{P} \neq \emptyset$ and $\overline{\mathcal{P}} \neq \emptyset$. Then $L_{\mathcal{P}} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in \mathcal{P} \}$ is undecidable.
- Thm 5.F. If $A \leq_{\mathrm{m}} B$ and B is Turing-decidable, then A is Turing-decidable.
- Cor 5.G. If $A \leq_{\mathrm{m}} B$ and A is undecidable, then B is undecidable.
- Thm 5.H. If $A \leq_{\mathrm{m}} B$ and B is Turing-recognizable, then A is Turing-recognizable.
- Cor 5.I. If $A \leq_{\mathrm{m}} B$ and A is not Turing-recognizable, then B is not Turing-recognizable.
- Thm 5.J. $E_{\text{TM}} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM with } L(M) = \emptyset \}$ is not Turing-recognizable.
- Thm 5.K. $EQ_{\text{TM}} = \{ \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \mid M_1, M_2 \text{ are TMs with } L(M_1) = L(M_2) \}$ is neither Turing-recognizable nor co-Turing-recognizable.
- Thm 7.A. Let t(n) be a function with $t(n) \ge n$. Then any t(n)-time multi-tape TM has an equivalent $O(t^2(n))$ -time single-tape TM.
- Thm 7.B. Let t(n) be a function with $t(n) \ge n$. Then any t(n)-time NTM has an equivalent $2^{O(t(n))}$ -time deterministic 1-tape TM.
- Thm 7.C. $PATH \in P$.
- Thm 7.D. $RELPRIME \in P$.
- Thm 7.E. Every CFL is in P.
- Thm 7.F. A language is in NP iff it is decided by some nondeterministic polynomial-time TM.
- Cor 7.G. NP = $\bigcup_{k \ge 0} \operatorname{NTIME}(n^k)$
- Thm 7.H. $CLIQUE \in NP$.
- Thm 7.I. $SUBSET-SUM \in NP$.
- Thm 7.J. If $A \leq_{\mathbf{P}} B$ and $B \in \mathbf{P}$, then $A \in \mathbf{P}$.
- Thm 7.K. 3SAT is polynomial-time reducible to CLIQUE.
- Thm 7.L. If there is an NP-Complete problem B and $B \in P$, then P = NP.
- Thm 7.M. If B is NP-Complete and $B \leq_{\mathbf{P}} C$ for $C \in \mathbf{NP}$, then C is NP-Complete.
- Thm 7.N. (Cook-Levin Thm.) SAT is NP-Complete.
- Cor 7.O. 3SAT is NP-Complete.
- Cor 7.P. *CLIQUE* is NP-Complete.
- Thm 7.Q. *ILP* is NP-Complete.