

Practice Problems for Final Exam: Solutions
CS 341: Foundations of Computer Science II
Prof. Marvin K. Nakayama

1. Short answers:

(a) Define the following terms and concepts:

i. Union, intersection, set concatenation, Kleene-star, set subtraction, complement

Answer: Union: $S \cup T = \{ x \mid x \in S \text{ or } x \in T \}$

Intersection: $S \cap T = \{ x \mid x \in S \text{ and } x \in T \}$

Concatenation: $S \circ T = \{ xy \mid x \in S, y \in T \}$

Kleene-star: $S^* = \{ w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k \mid k \geq 0, w_i \in S \forall i \} = S^0 \cup S^1 \cup S^2 \cup \dots$

Subtraction: $S - T = \{ x \mid x \in S, x \notin T \}$

Complement: $\overline{S} = \{ x \in \Omega \mid x \notin S \}$, where Ω is the universe of all elements under consideration.

ii. A set S is closed under an operation f

Answer: S is closed under f if applying f to members of S always returns a member of S .

iii. Regular language

Answer: A regular language is defined by a DFA.

iv. Kleene's theorem

Answer: A language is regular if and only if it has a regular expression.

v. Context-free language

Answer: A CFL is defined by a CFG.

vi. Chomsky normal form

Answer: A CFG is in Chomsky normal form if each of its rules has one of 3 forms: $A \rightarrow BC$, $A \rightarrow x$, or $S \rightarrow \varepsilon$, where A, B, C are variables, B and C are not the start variable, x is a terminal, and S is the start variable.

vii. Church-Turing Thesis

Answer: The informal notion of algorithm corresponds exactly to a Turing machine that always halts (i.e., a decider).

viii. Turing-decidable language

Answer: A language A that is decided by a Turing machine; i.e., there is a Turing machine M such that M halts and accepts on every $w \in A$, and M halts and rejects on every $w \notin A$; i.e., looping cannot happen.

ix. Turing-recognizable language

Answer: A language A that is recognized by a Turing machine; i.e., there is a Turing machine M such that M halts and accepts on every $w \in A$, and M rejects or loops if $w \notin A$.

x. co-Turing-recognizable language

Answer: A language whose complement is Turing-recognizable.

xi. Countable and uncountable sets

Answer: A set S is countable if it is finite or we can define a correspondence between S and the positive integers. In other words, we can create a list of all the elements in S and each specific element will eventually appear in the list. An uncountable set is a set that is not countable. A common approach to prove a set is uncountable is by using a diagonalization argument.

xii. Language A is mapping reducible to language B , $A \leq_m B$

Answer: Suppose A is a language defined over alphabet Σ_1 , and B is a language defined over alphabet Σ_2 . Then $A \leq_m B$ means there is a computable function $f : \Sigma_1^* \rightarrow \Sigma_2^*$ such that $w \in A$ if and only if $f(w) \in B$. Thus, if $A \leq_m B$, we can determine if a string w belongs to A by checking if $f(w)$ belongs to B .

xiii. Function $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$

Answer: There exist constants c and n_0 such that $|f(n)| \leq c \cdot g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

xiv. Classes P and NP

Answer: P is the class of languages that can be decided by a deterministic Turing machine in polynomial time. NP is the class of languages that can be decided by a nondeterministic Turing machine in polynomial time. Equivalently, NP is the class of languages that can be verified in polynomial time.

xv. Language A is polynomial-time mapping reducible to language B , $A \leq_P B$.

Answer: Suppose A is a language defined over alphabet Σ_1 , and B is a language defined over alphabet Σ_2 . Then $A \leq_P B$ means there is a polynomial-time computable function $f : \Sigma_1^* \rightarrow \Sigma_2^*$ such that $w \in A$ if and only if $f(w) \in B$.

xvi. NP-complete

Answer: Language B is NP-Complete if $B \in \text{NP}$, and for every language $A \in \text{NP}$, we have $A \leq_P B$.

xvii. NP-hard

Answer: Language B is NP-hard if for every language $A \in \text{NP}$, we have $A \leq_P B$.

(b) Give the transition functions δ of a DFA, NFA, PDA, Turing machine and nondeterministic Turing machine.

Answer:

- DFA, $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$, where Q is the set of states and Σ is the alphabet.
- NFA, $\delta : Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)$, where $\Sigma_\epsilon = \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ and $\mathcal{P}(Q)$ is the power set of Q
- PDA, $\delta : Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \Gamma_\epsilon)$, where Γ is the stack alphabet and $\Gamma_\epsilon = \Gamma \cup \{\epsilon\}$.
- Turing machine, $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}$, where L means move tape head one cell left and R means move tape head one cell right.
- Nondeterministic Turing machine, $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\})$, where L means move tape head one cell left and R means move tape head one cell right.

(c) Explain the “P vs. NP” question.

Answer: P is the class of languages that can be solved in polynomial time, and NP is the class of languages that can be verified in polynomial time. We know that $P \subseteq \text{NP}$, but it is currently unknown if $P = \text{NP}$ or $P \neq \text{NP}$.

2. Each of the languages below in parts (a), (b), (c), (d) is of one of the following types:

Type REG. It is regular.

Type CFL. It is context-free, but not regular.

Type DEC. It is Turing-decidable, but not context-free.


For each of the following languages, specify which type it is. Also, follow these instructions:

- If a language L is of Type REG, give a regular expression **and** a DFA for L .
- If a language L is of Type CFL, give a context-free grammar **and** a PDA for L . **Also, prove that L is not regular.**
- If a language L is of Type DEC, give a description of a Turing machine that decides L . **Also, prove that L is not context-free.**

(a) $A = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w = \text{reverse}(w) \text{ and the length of } w \text{ is divisible by } 4 \}$, where $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$.

Circle one type: REG CFL DEC

Answer: A is of type CFL. A CFG for A has rules $S \rightarrow 00S00 \mid 01S10 \mid 10S01 \mid 11S11 \mid \epsilon$. A PDA for A is as follows:



We now prove that A is not regular by contradiction. Suppose that A is regular. Let $p \geq 1$ be the pumping length of the pumping lemma (Theorem 1.1). Consider string $s = 0^p 1^{2p} 0^p \in A$, and note that $|s| = 4p > p$, so the conclusions of the pumping lemma must hold. Thus, there exist strings x, y and z such that $s = xyz$ and (1) $xy^iz \in A$ for all $i \geq 0$, (2) $|y| > 0$, and (3) $|xy| \leq p$. Since all of the first p symbols of s are 0s, (3) implies that x and y must only consist of 0s. Also, z must consist of the rest of the 0s at the beginning, followed by $1^{2p}0^p$. Hence, we can write $x = 0^j, y = 0^k, z = 0^m 1^{2p} 0^p$, where $j + k + m = p$ since $s = 0^p 1^{2p} 0^p = xyz = 0^j 0^k 0^m 1^{2p} 0^p$. Moreover, (2) implies that $k > 0$. Finally, (1) states that $xyyz$ must belong to A . However,

$$xyyz = 0^j 0^k 0^k 0^m 1^{2p} 0^p = 0^{p+k} 1^{2p} 0^p$$

since $j + k + m = p$. But, $k > 0$ implies $\text{reverse}(xyyz) \neq xyyz$, which means $xyyz \notin A$, which contradicts (1). Therefore, A is a nonregular language.

(b) $B = \{ b^n a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$.

Circle one type: REG CFL DEC

Answer: B is of type DEC. Below is a description of a Turing machine that decides B .

M = “On input string w :

1. Scan the input from left to right to make sure that it is a member of $b^* a^* b^*$, and *reject* if it isn't.
2. Return tape head to left-hand end of tape.

3. Repeat the following until there are no more b s left on the tape.
4. Replace the leftmost b with x .
5. Scan right until an a occurs. If there are no a 's, *reject*.
6. Replace the leftmost a with x .
7. Scan right until a b occurs. If there are no b 's, *reject*.
8. Replace the leftmost b (after the a 's) with x .
9. Return tape head to left-hand end of tape, and go to stage 3.
10. If the tape contains any a 's or b 's, *reject*. Otherwise, *accept*."

We now prove that B is not context-free by contradiction. Suppose that B is context-free. Let p be the pumping length of the pumping lemma for CFLs (Theorem 2.D), and consider string $s = b^p a^p b^p \in B$. Note that $|s| = 3p > p$, so the pumping lemma will hold. Thus, there exist strings u, v, x, y, z such that $s = uvxyz = b^p a^p b^p$, $uv^i xy^i z \in B$ for all $i \geq 0$, $|vy| \geq 1$, and $|vxy| \leq p$. We now consider all of the possible choices for v and y :


- Suppose strings v and y are uniform (e.g., $v = b^j$ for some $j \geq 0$, and $y = a^k$ for some $k \geq 0$). Then $|vy| \geq 1$ implies that $j \geq 1$ or $k \geq 1$ (or both), so $uv^2 xy^2 z$ won't have the correct number of b 's at the beginning, a 's in the middle, and b 's at the end. Hence, $uv^2 xy^2 z \notin B$.
- Now suppose strings v and y are not both uniform. Then $uv^2 xy^2 z$ will not have the form $b \cdots ba \cdots ab \cdots b$. Hence, $uv^2 xy^2 z \notin B$.

Thus, there are no options for v and y such that $uv^i xy^i z \in B$ for all $i \geq 0$. This is a contradiction, so B is not a CFL.

- (c) $C = \{a^{3n+1} \mid n \geq 0\}$ over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.

Circle one type: REG CFL DEC

Answer: C is of type REG. A regular expression for C is $(aaa)^*a$, and a DFA for C is below:




- (d) $D = \{b^n a^n b^k c^k \mid n \geq 0, k \geq 0\}$. [Hint: Recall that the class of context-free languages is closed under concatenation.]

Circle one type: REG CFL DEC

Answer: D is of type CFL. A CFG for D is

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &\rightarrow XY \\
 X &\rightarrow bXa \mid \varepsilon \\
 Y &\rightarrow bYc \mid \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

A PDA for D is below:



An important point to note about the above PDA is that the transition from q_3 to q_4 pops and pushes $\$$. It is important to pop $\$$ to make sure that the number of a 's matches the number of b 's in the beginning. We need to push $\$$ to mark the bottom of the stack again for the second part of the string of b 's and c 's.

We now prove that D is not regular by contradiction. Suppose that D is regular. Let $p \geq 1$ be the pumping length of the pumping lemma (Theorem 1.I). Consider string $s = b^p a^p b^p c^p \in D$, and note that $|s| = 4p > p$, so the conclusions of the pumping lemma must hold. Thus, there exist strings x, y and z such that $s = xyz$ and (1) $xy^iz \in D$ for all $i \geq 0$, (2) $|y| > 0$, and (3) $|xy| \leq p$. Since all of the first p symbols of s are b 's, (3) implies that x and y must only consist of b 's. Also, z must consist of the rest of the b 's at the beginning, followed by $a^p b^p c^p$. Hence, we can write $x = b^j, y = b^k, z = b^m a^p b^p c^p$, where $j + k + m = p$ since $s = b^p a^p b^p c^p = xyz = b^j b^k b^m a^p b^p c^p$. Moreover, (2) implies that $k > 0$. Finally, (1) states that $xyyz$ must belong to D . However,

$$xyyz = b^j b^k b^k b^m a^p b^p c^p = b^{p+k} a^p b^p c^p$$

since $j + k + m = p$. Also $k > 0$, so $xyyz \notin D$, which contradicts (1). Therefore, D is a nonregular language.

3. Each of the languages below in parts (a), (b), (c), (d) is of one of the following types:

- Type DEC. It is Turing-decidable.
- Type TMR. It is Turing-recognizable, but not decidable.
- Type NTR. It is not Turing-recognizable.

For each of the following languages, specify which type it is. Also, follow these instructions:

- If a language L is of Type DEC, give a description of a Turing machine that decides L .
- If a language L is of Type TMR, give a description of a Turing machine that recognizes L . **Also, prove that L is not decidable.**
- If a language L is of Type NTR, give a proof that it is not Turing-recognizable.

In each part below, if you need to prove that the given language L is undecidable or not Turing-recognizable, you must give an explicit proof of this; i.e., do not just cite a theorem that establishes this without a proof. However, if in your proof you need to show another language L' has a particular property and there is a theorem that establishes this, then you may simply cite the theorem for L' without proof.

(a) $EQ_{\text{TM}} = \{ \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \mid M_1, M_2 \text{ are TMs with } L(M_1) = L(M_2) \}$. [Hint: show $\overline{A_{\text{TM}}} \leq_m EQ_{\text{TM}}$.]

Circle one type: DEC TMR NTR

Answer: EQ_{TM} is of type NTR (see Theorem 5.K). We prove this by showing $\overline{A_{\text{TM}}} \leq_m EQ_{\text{TM}}$ and applying Corollary 5.I. Define the reducing function $f(\langle M, w \rangle) = \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$, where

- $M_1 = \text{"reject on all inputs."}$

- $M_2 =$ “On input x :
 1. Ignore input x , and run M on w .
 2. If M accepts w , *accept*.”

With this Turing-computable f , we see that $\langle M, w \rangle \in \overline{A_{\text{TM}}} \iff f(\langle M, w \rangle) = \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \in EQ_{\text{TM}}$, so $\overline{A_{\text{TM}}} \leq_m EQ_{\text{TM}}$. But $\overline{A_{\text{TM}}}$ is not TM-recognizable (Corollary 4.M), so EQ_{TM} is not TM-recognizable by Corollary 5.I.

- (b) $HALT_{\text{TM}} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that halts on input } w \}$. [Hint: use a universal TM to show that $HALT_{\text{TM}}$ is Turing-recognizable.]

Circle one type: DEC TMR NTR

Answer: $HALT_{\text{TM}}$ is of type TMR (see Theorem 5.A). The following Turing machine recognizes $HALT_{\text{TM}}$:

$T =$ “On input $\langle M, w \rangle$, where M is a TM and w is a string:

1. Run M on w .
2. If M halts on w , *accept*.”

We now prove that $HALT_{\text{TM}}$ is undecidable, which is Theorem 5.A. Suppose there exists a TM R that decides $HALT_{\text{TM}}$. Then we could use R to construct another TM S that decides A_{TM} as follows:

$S =$ “On input $\langle M, w \rangle$, where M is a TM and w is a string:

1. Run R on input $\langle M, w \rangle$.
2. If R rejects, *reject*.
3. If R accepts, simulate M on input w until it halts.
4. If M accepts, *accept*; otherwise, *reject*.”

Since TM R is a decider, TM S always halts and is a decider. Thus, deciding A_{TM} is reduced to deciding $HALT_{\text{TM}}$. However, A_{TM} is undecidable (Theorem 4.I), so that must mean that $HALT_{\text{TM}}$ is also undecidable.

- (c) $EQ_{\text{DFA}} = \{ \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \mid M_1, M_2 \text{ are DFAs with } L(M_1) = L(M_2) \}$.

Circle one type: DEC TMR NTR

Answer: EQ_{DFA} is of type DEC (see Theorem 4.E). The following TM decides EQ_{DFA} :

$M =$ “On input $\langle A, B \rangle$, where A and B are DFAs:

1. Check if $\langle A, B \rangle$ is a proper encoding of 2 DFAs. If not, *reject*.
2. Construct DFA C such that

$$L(C) = [L(A) \cap \overline{L(B)}] \cup [\overline{L(A)} \cap L(B)]$$
 using algorithms for DFA union, intersection and complementation.
3. Run TM for E_{DFA} (Theorem 4.D) on $\langle C \rangle$.
4. If $\langle C \rangle \in E_{\text{DFA}}$, *accept*; if $\langle C \rangle \notin E_{\text{DFA}}$, *reject*.”

(d) $\overline{A_{TM}}$, where $A_{TM} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts string } w \}$.

Circle one type: DEC TMR NTR

Answer: $\overline{A_{TM}}$ is of type NTR, which is just Theorem 4.M. We prove this as follows. If $\overline{A_{TM}}$ were Turing-recognizable, then A_{TM} would be both Turing-recognizable (see slide 4-25) and co-Turing-recognizable. But then Theorem 4.L would imply that A_{TM} is decidable, which we know is not true by Theorem 4.I. Hence, $\overline{A_{TM}}$ is not Turing-recognizable.

4. Recall that $A_{TM} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts string } w \}$.

(a) Prove that A_{TM} is undecidable. You may not cite any theorems or corollaries in your proof.

Answer: Suppose there exists a TM H that decides A_{TM} . TM H takes input $\langle M, w \rangle$, where M is a TM and w is a string. If TM M accepts string w , then $\langle M, w \rangle \in A_{TM}$ and H accepts input $\langle M, w \rangle$. If TM M does not accept string w , then $\langle M, w \rangle \notin A_{TM}$ and H rejects input $\langle M, w \rangle$. Consider the language $L = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that does not accept } \langle M \rangle \}$. Now construct a TM D for L using TM H as a subroutine:

$D =$ “On input $\langle M \rangle$, where M is a TM:
1. Run H on input $\langle M, \langle M \rangle \rangle$.
2. If H accepts, *reject*. If H rejects, *accept*.”

If we run TM D on input $\langle D \rangle$, then D accepts $\langle D \rangle$ if and only if D doesn't accept $\langle D \rangle$. Since this is impossible, TM H must not exist, so A_{TM} is undecidable.

(b) Show that A_{TM} is Turing-recognizable.

Answer: The universal TM U recognizes A_{TM} , where U is defined as follows:

$U =$ “On input $\langle M, w \rangle$, where M is a TM and w is a string:
1. Run M on w .
2. If M accepts w , *accept*; if M rejects w , *reject*.”

Note that U only recognizes A_{TM} and does not decide A_{TM} since when we run M on w , there is the possibility that M neither accepts nor rejects w but rather loops on w .

5. Let L_1, L_2, L_3, \dots be an infinite sequence of regular languages, each of which is defined over a common input alphabet Σ . Let $L = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} L_k$ be the infinite union of L_1, L_2, L_3, \dots . Is it always the case that L is a regular language? If your answer is YES, give a proof. If your answer is NO, give a counterexample. Explain your answer. [Hint: Consider, for each $k \geq 0$, the language $L_k = \{a^k b^k\}$.]

Answer: The answer is NO. For each $k \geq 0$, let $L_k = \{a^k b^k\}$, so L_k is a language consisting of just a single string $a^k b^k$. Since L_k is finite, it must be a regular language by Theorem 1.F. But $L = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} L_k = \{ a^k b^k \mid k \geq 1 \}$, which we know is not regular (see slide 1-90 of the notes).

6. Let L_1, L_2 , and L_3 be languages defined over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$, where

- L_1 consists of all possible strings over Σ except the strings w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{100} ; i.e., start with all possible strings over the alphabet, take out 100 particular strings, and the remaining strings form the language L_1 ;

- L_2 is recognized by an NFA; and
- L_3 is recognized by a PDA.

Prove that $(L_1 \cap L_2)L_3$ is a context-free language. [Hint: First show that L_1 and L_2 are regular. Also, consider $\overline{L_1}$, the complement of L_1 .]

Answer: Note that $\overline{L_1} = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{100}\}$, so $|\overline{L_1}| = 100$. Thus, $\overline{L_1}$ is a regular language since it is finite by Theorem 1.F. Then Theorem 1.H implies that the complement of $\overline{L_1}$ must be regular, but the complement of $\overline{L_1}$ is L_1 . Thus, L_1 is regular. Language L_2 has an NFA, so it also has a DFA by Theorem 1.C. Therefore, L_2 is regular. Since L_1 and L_2 are regular, $L_1 \cap L_2$ must be regular by Theorem 1.G. Theorem 2.B then implies that $L_1 \cap L_2$ is context-free. Since L_3 has a PDA, L_3 is context-free by Theorem 2.C. Hence, since $L_1 \cap L_2$ and L_3 are both context-free, their concatenation is context-free by Theorem 2.F.

7. Write Y or N in the entries of the table below to indicate which classes of languages are closed under which operations.

Operation	Regular languages	CFLs	Decidable languages	Turing-recognizable languages
Union	Y (Thm 1.A)	Y (Thm 2.E)	Y (HW 7, prob 2a)	Y (HW 7, prob 2b)
Intersection	Y (Thm 1.G)	N (HW 6, prob 2a)	Y	Y
Complementation	Y (Thm 1.H)	N (HW 6, prob 2b)	Y	N

8. Consider the following context-free grammar G in Chomsky normal form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &\rightarrow a \mid YZ \\
 Z &\rightarrow ZY \mid a \\
 Y &\rightarrow b \mid ZZ \mid YY
 \end{aligned}$$

Use the CYK (dynamic programming) algorithm to fill in the following table to determine if G generates the string $babba$. Does G generate $babba$?

Y	S	S	S	Y
	S, Z	Z	Z	Y
		Y	Y	S
			Y	S
				S, Z

No, G does not generate $babba$ since S is not in the upper right corner.

9. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
 CLIQUE &= \{ \langle G, k \rangle \mid G \text{ is an undirected graph with a } k\text{-clique} \}, \\
 3SAT &= \{ \langle \phi \rangle \mid \phi \text{ is a satisfiable 3cnf-function} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Show that *CLIQUE* is NP-Complete by showing that $CLIQUE \in NP$ and $3SAT \leq_P CLIQUE$. Explain your reduction for the general case and not just for a specific example. Be sure to prove your reduction works and that it requires polynomial time. Also, be sure to provide proofs of these results, and don't just cite a theorem.

Answer: See slides 7-86 to 7-91 and slide 7-103.

10. Recall that

$$ILP = \{ \langle A, b \rangle \mid \text{matrix } A \text{ and vector } b \text{ satisfy } Ay \leq b \text{ with } y \text{ and integer vector} \}.$$

Show that *ILP* is NP-Complete by showing that $ILP \in NP$ and $3SAT \leq_P ILP$. Explain your reduction for the general case and not just for a specific example. Be sure to prove your reduction works and that it requires polynomial time. Also, be sure to provide proofs of these results, and don't just cite a theorem.

Answer: See slides 7-106 to 7-111.

List of Theorems

- Thm 1.A. The class of regular languages is closed under union.
- Thm 1.B. The class of regular languages is closed under concatenation.
- Thm 1.C. Every NFA has an equivalent DFA.
- Thm 1.D. The class of regular languages is closed under Kleene-star.
- Thm 1.E. (Kleene's Theorem) Language A is regular iff A has a regular expression.
- Thm 1.F. If A is finite language, then A is regular.
- Thm 1.G. The class of regular languages is closed under intersection.
- Thm 1.H. The class of regular languages is closed under complementation.
- Thm 1.I. (Pumping lemma for regular languages) If A is regular language, then \exists number p where, if $s \in A$ with $|s| \geq p$, then \exists strings x, y, z such that $s = xyz$ and (1) $xy^iz \in A$ for each $i \geq 0$, (2) $|y| > 0$, and (3) $|xy| \leq p$.
- Thm 2.A. Every CFL can be described by a CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ in Chomsky normal form, i.e., each rule in G has one of two forms: $A \rightarrow BC$ or $A \rightarrow x$, where $A \in V$, $B, C \in V - \{S\}$, $x \in \Sigma$, and we also allow the rule $S \rightarrow \varepsilon$.
- Thm 2.B. If A is a regular language, then A is also a CFL.
- Thm 2.C. A language is context free iff some PDA recognizes it.
- Thm 2.D. (Pumping lemma for CFLs) For every CFL L , \exists pumping length p such that \forall strings $s \in L$ with $|s| \geq p$, we can write $s = wxyz$ with (1) $w^ixy^iz \in L \forall i \geq 0$, (2) $|vy| \geq 1$, (3) $|vxy| \leq p$.
- Thm 2.E. The class of CFLs is closed under union.
- Thm 2.F. The class of CFLs is closed under concatenation.
- Thm 2.G. The class of CFLs is closed under Kleene-star.
- Thm 3.A. For every multi-tape TM M , there is a single-tape TM M' such that $L(M) = L(M')$.
- Thm 3.B. Every NTM has an equivalent deterministic TM.
- Cor 3.C. Language L is Turing-recognizable iff an NTM recognizes it.
- Thm 3.D. A language is enumerable iff some enumerator enumerates it.
- Church-Turing Thesis. The informal notion of algorithm is the same as Turing machine algorithm.
- Thm 4.A. $A_{\text{DFA}} = \{ \langle B, w \rangle \mid B \text{ is a DFA that accepts string } w \}$ is Turing-decidable.
- Thm 4.B. $A_{\text{NFA}} = \{ \langle B, w \rangle \mid B \text{ is an NFA that accepts string } w \}$ is Turing-decidable.
- Thm 4.C. $A_{\text{REX}} = \{ \langle R, w \rangle \mid R \text{ is a regular expression that generates string } w \}$ is Turing-decidable.
- Thm 4.D. $E_{\text{DFA}} = \{ \langle B \rangle \mid B \text{ is a DFA with } L(B) = \emptyset \}$ is Turing-decidable.
- Thm 4.E. $EQ_{\text{DFA}} = \{ \langle A, B \rangle \mid A \text{ and } B \text{ are DFAs with } L(A) = L(B) \}$ is Turing-decidable.
- Thm 4.F. $A_{\text{CFG}} = \{ \langle G, w \rangle \mid G \text{ is a CFG that generates string } w \}$ is Turing-decidable.
- Thm 4.G. $E_{\text{CFG}} = \{ \langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ is a CFG with } L(G) = \emptyset \}$ is Turing-decidable.
- Thm 4.H. Every CFL is Turing-decidable.
- Thm 4.I. $A_{\text{TM}} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts string } w \}$ is undecidable.
- Thm 4.J. The set \mathcal{R} of all real numbers is uncountable.

Cor 4.K. Some languages are not Turing-recognizable.

Thm 4.L. A language is decidable iff it is both Turing-recognizable and co-Turing-recognizable.

Cor 4.M. $\overline{A_{TM}}$ is not Turing-recognizable.

Thm 5.A. $HALT_{TM} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that halts on } w \}$ is undecidable.

Thm 5.B. $E_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM with } L(M) = \emptyset \}$ is undecidable.

Thm 5.C. $REG_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) \text{ is regular} \}$ is undecidable.

Thm 5.D. $EQ_{TM} = \{ \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \mid M_1, M_2 \text{ are TMs with } L(M_1) = L(M_2) \}$ is undecidable.

Thm 5.E. (Rice's Thm.) Let \mathcal{P} be any subset of the class of Turing-recognizable languages such that $\mathcal{P} \neq \emptyset$ and $\overline{\mathcal{P}} \neq \emptyset$. Then $L_{\mathcal{P}} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in \mathcal{P} \}$ is undecidable.

Thm 5.F. If $A \leq_m B$ and B is Turing-decidable, then A is Turing-decidable.

Cor 5.G. If $A \leq_m B$ and A is undecidable, then B is undecidable.

Thm 5.H. If $A \leq_m B$ and B is Turing-recognizable, then A is Turing-recognizable.

Cor 5.I. If $A \leq_m B$ and A is not Turing-recognizable, then B is not Turing-recognizable.

Thm 5.J. $E_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM with } L(M) = \emptyset \}$ is not Turing-recognizable.

Thm 5.K. $EQ_{TM} = \{ \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \mid M_1, M_2 \text{ are TMs with } L(M_1) = L(M_2) \}$ is neither Turing-recognizable nor co-Turing-recognizable.

Thm 7.A. Let $t(n)$ be a function with $t(n) \geq n$. Then any $t(n)$ -time multi-tape TM has an equivalent $O(t^2(n))$ -time single-tape TM.

Thm 7.B. Let $t(n)$ be a function with $t(n) \geq n$. Then any $t(n)$ -time NTM has an equivalent $2^{O(t(n))}$ -time deterministic 1-tape TM.

Thm 7.C. $PATH \in P$.

Thm 7.D. $RELPRIME \in P$.

Thm 7.E. Every CFL is in P .

Thm 7.F. A language is in NP iff it is decided by some nondeterministic polynomial-time TM.

Cor 7.G. $NP = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} NTIME(n^k)$

Thm 7.H. $CLIQUE \in NP$.

Thm 7.I. $SUBSET-SUM \in NP$.

Thm 7.J. If $A \leq_P B$ and $B \in P$, then $A \in P$.

Thm 7.K. $3SAT$ is polynomial-time reducible to $CLIQUE$.

Thm 7.L. If there is an NP-Complete problem B and $B \in P$, then $P = NP$.

Thm 7.M. If B is NP-Complete and $B \leq_P C$ for $C \in NP$, then C is NP-Complete.

Thm 7.N. (Cook-Levin Thm.) SAT is NP-Complete.

Cor 7.O. $3SAT$ is NP-Complete.

Cor 7.P. $CLIQUE$ is NP-Complete.

Thm 7.Q. ILP is NP-Complete.