Stacking Energies and RNA Structure Prediction

> Bioinformatics Senior Project Adrian Lawsin December 2008

Table of Contents

- Importance of Stacking Energies in RNA Structure Prediction
- Major Types of Stacking Energies
 - RNA Structures
 - Stacking Regions
 - Hairpin Loops
 - Interior Loops
 - Bulge Loops
 - Bifurcation Loops
 - Single Bases
 - Efn Server

- Application
- Conclusion
- Sources
- Contact Information

Importance of Stacking Energies in RNA Structure Prediction

- In nature, compounds try to achieve maximum stability.
- Stability is achieved by minimizing the molecule's free energy.
- Molecules convert (store) free energy when it creates bonds.

Importance of Stacking Energies in RNA Structure Prediction

- Current algorithms in RNA structure (bond) prediction are based on free energy minimization.
- It is assumed that stacking base pairs and loop entropies contribute additively to the free energy of an RNA sequence's secondary structure.

- RNA secondary structure can be viewed as a conglomeration of several smaller structures.
- These are:
 - Stacking (Base Pairs) Regions
 - Hairpin Loops
 - Interior Loops
 - Bulge Loops
 - Bifurcation (Multi-Stem) Loops
 - Single (Free) Bases



- Each of these structures has a corresponding energy that contributes to the overall stability of the molecule.
- Due to space constraints, we will only offer parts of most lists. The complete list of all the energies is available at: http://www.bioinfo.rpi.edu/zukerm/rna/ energy/

- Most of the research estimating the energies has been done by Prof. D.H. Turner at the University of Rochester.
- He based the energy values through melting studies of synthetically constructed oligoribonucleotides.
- The listed values are at 37° the human body's internal core temperature.



Stacking (Base Pairs) Regions

- Total free energy of the entire Stacking Region is given by the addition of each pair of adjacent base pairs.
- This includes energy contributions for both base pair stacking and hydrogen bonding.
- This breaks down for 2 or more consecutive G-U pairs and pairs that are not Watson-Crick (WC) base pairs.

The Stacking Energies table uses the arrangement for a stack:

The corresponding energy would appear in the Wth row and the Zth column of 4 by 4 tables, and in the Xth row and the Yth column of that table.

Stacking energies at 37°:

Data Arangement:

		¥		
(X)	A	с	G U	ſ
	5	' ==> AX AY	3'	-
(A)		. <==	J	
(c)				
(G)		•		
(U)	-0.70	-0.10	-0.70	-0.10

Excerpt from Table of Stacking Energies

	Y			Y				¥				У				
A	с	 G	ບ	 А	с	G	 บ	 А	с	 G	 ប	 А	с	G 1	 U	
	5'	> 3'			5':	> 3'			5'>	3'			5'>	3'		
	AX Ay				AX CY				AX GY				AX UY			
	3' <-	- 5'			3' <	- 5'			3' <	5'			3' <	5'		
															-0.90	
														-2.10		
													-1.70		-0.50	
												-0.90		-1.00		

Hairpin Loops

A Hairpin Loop is a structure that looks like a hairpin; after a Stack there is an opening at the end. The hairpin loop starts at the end of the stacking region where the base pairing stops.

Hairpin Loop Energies are the sum of up to 3 terms:

 Loop size (number of single stranded bases) – given in the *hairpin* column of the *LOOP Destabilizing Energy* table. For loops larger than 30, 1.75RTln(size/30) is added (R= universal gas constant, T = absolute temperature).

Loop destabilizing energies at 37°:

DESTAB	ILIZING ENERGIES	BY SIZE OF LOOP (INTH	RPOLATE WHERE NEEDED)
hp3 av	e calc no tmm;hp	4 ave calc with tmm; a	we ill bulges
SIZE	INTERNAL	BULGE	HAIRPIN
1		3.90	
2	4.10	3.10	· · ·
3	5.10	3.50	4.10
4	4.90	4.20	4.90
5	5.30	4.80	4.40
6	5.70	5.00	4.70
7	5.90	5.20	5.00
8	6.00	5.30	5.10
9	6.10	5.40	5.20
10	6.30	5.50	5.30
11	6.40	5.70	5.40
12	6.40	5.70	5.50
13	6.50	5.80	5.60
14	6.60	5.90	5.70
15	6.70	6.00	5.80
16	6.80	6.10	5.80
17	6.80	6.10	5.90
18	6.90	6.20	5.90
19	6.90	6.20	6.00
20	7.00	6.30	6.10
21	7.10	6.30	6.10
22	7.10	6.40	6.20
23	7.10	6.40	6.20
24	7.20	6.50	6.30
25	7.20	6.50	6.30
26	7.30	6.50	6.30
27	7.30	6.60	6.40
28	7.40	6.70	6.40
29	7.40	6.70	6.50
30	7.40	6.70	6.59

- 2. A favorable (negative) stacking interaction occurs between the closing base pair of the hairpin loop and the adjacent mismatched pair, given in the *Hairpin Loop Terminal Stacking Energy* table.
 - This energy is not added in triloops (loops of size 3).

Terminal mismatch stacking energies for hairpin loops at 37°:

Data Arangement:

Y (X) A C G U 5' => 3'AX AY 3' <== 5'(A) (C) (G) (U) -0.70 -0.10 -0.70 -0.10

Excerpt from Table of

Terminal Mismatch Stacking Energies

For Hairpin Loops

 3. Certain tetraloops have special bonus energies, as given in the *Tetra-loop Bonus Energies* table.

Tetra-loop bonus energies at 37°:

Seq Energy

_____ AGAAAU -2.00 AGCAAU -2.00 AGAGAU -2.00 AGUGAU -2.00 AGGAAU -2.00 AUUCGU -2.00 AUACGU -2.00 AGCGAU -2.00 AUCCGU -2.00 AGUAAU -2.00 ACUUGU -2.00 AAUUUU -2.00 AUUUAU -2.00 CGAAAG -2.00 CGCAAG -2.00 CGAGAG -2.00 CGUGAG -2.00 CGGAAG -2.00 CUUCGG -2.00 CUACGG -2.00 CGCGAG -2.00 CUCCGG -2.00 CGUAAG -2.00 CCUUGG -2.00 CAUUUG -2.00 CUUUAG -2.00 GGAAAC -2.00 GGCAAC -2.00 GGAGAC -2.00 GGUGAC -2.00 GGGAAC -2.00 GUUCGC -2.00

Excerpt from Table of Tetra-Loop Bonus Energies

- Interior Loops occur in the middle of Stacking Regions, breaking it up.
- They are closed by 2 base pairs.
- Similar to Hairpin Loops, Interior Loops Energies are composed of the sum of up to 3 terms.

 Loop size – given in the *interior* column of the *LOOP Destabilizing Energy* table.
For loops larger than 30, 1.75RTln(size/30) is added (R= universal gas constant, T = absolute temperature).

Loop destabilizing energies at 37°:

DESTABILI	ZING ZNERGINS BY	SIZE OF LOOP (INT	ERPOLATE WHERE	NEEDED)
hp3 ave c	alc <mark>ho tmm;h</mark> v4 <u>a</u>	ye calc with tmm;	ave all bulges	
SIZE	INTERNAL	BULGE	HAIRPIN	
	<mark>/</mark>			
1		3.90		
2	4.10	3.10		
3	5.10	3.50	4.10	
4	4.90	4.20	4.90	
5	5.30	4.80	4.40	
6	5.70	5.00	4.70	
7	5.90	5.20	5.00	
8	6.00	5.30	5.10	
9	6.10	5.40	5.20	
10	6.30	5.50	5.30	
11	6.40	5.70	5.40	
12	6.40	5.70	5.50	
13	6.50	5.80	5.60	
14	6.60	5.90	5.70	
15	6.70	6.00	5.80	
16	6.80	6.10	5.80	
17	6.80	6.10	5.90	
18	6.90	6.20	5.90	
19	6.90	6.20	6.00	
20	7.00	6.30	6.10	
21	7.10	6.30	6.10	
22	7.10	6.40	6.20	
23	7.10	6.40	6.20	
24	7.20	6.50	6.30	
25	7.20	6.50	6.30	
26	7.30	6.50	6.30	
27	7.30	6.60	6.40	
28	7.40	6.70	6.40	
29	7.40	6.70	6.50	
30	7.40	6.70	6.50	

 2. Special terminal stacking energies for the mismatched base pairs adjacent to
both closing base pairs. Each of these energies is taken from the *Interior Loop Terminal Stacking Energy* table.

Terminal mismatch stacking energies for interior loops at 37°:

Data Arangement:

Excerpt from Table of Terminal Mismatch Stacking Energies For Interior Loops

		¥				¥				¥				¥		
A		с	G 1	 1	A	с	G 1	1	A	с	G I	1	A	с	G I	n 1
	5'	>	3'			5'>	3'			 5'>	3'			5'>	3'	
		AX				AX				AX				AX		
		AY				СY				GY				UY		
	31	<	5'		3	3' <	5'		:	3' <	5'		3	3' <	5'	
				-1.00				-1.00				-2.20	-1.00	-1.00	-2.20	-0.50
			-1.50				-1.50				-2.70		-1.00	-1.00	-0.20	-1.00
	_	1.50		-1.00		-1.50		-1.00		-2.70		-2.20	-2.20	-0.50	-1.00	-0.50
-1.0	0		-1.50		-1.00		-1.50		-2.20		-2.70		-0.30	-1.00	-0.30	-2.00

 3. For non-symmetric interior loops, there is a penalty (positive term). Although the data is incomplete, the maximum penalty is +3.00.

Bulge Loops

Bulge Loops

- A Bulge Loop is a special case of an internal loop that has only one of the sides unpaired.
- Bulge Loop's destabilizing energies are given in the *bulge* column of the *LOOP Destabilizing Energy* table. Again, for loops larger than 30, 1.75RTln(size/30) is added (R= universal gas constant, T = absolute temperature).

Bulge Loops

Loop destabilizing energies at 37°:

DESTABILI	ZING ENERGIES BY S	IZE OF LOOR (IN	TERPOLATE WHERE	NEEDED)
hp3 ave c	alc no tmm;hp4 ave	calc with tmm;	ave all bulges	
SIZE	INTERNAL	BULGE	HAIRPIN	
		<mark>/</mark> <mark>\</mark>		
1 .		3.90	•	
2	4.10	3.10	•	
3	5.10	3.50	4.10	
4	4.90	4.20	4.90	
5	5.30	4.80	4.40	
6	5.70	5.00	4.70	
7	5.90	5.20	5.00	
8	6.00	5.30	5.10	
9	6.10	5.40	5.20	
10	6.30	5.50	5.30	
11	6.40	5.70	5.40	
12	6.40	5.70	5.50	
13	6.50	5.80	5.60	
14	6.60	5.90	5.70	
15	6.70	6.00	5.80	
16	6.80	6.10	5.80	
17	6.80	6.10	5.90	
18	6.90	6.20	5.90	
19	6.90	6.20	6.00	
20	7.00	6.30	6.10	
21	7.10	6.30	6.10	
22	7.10	6.40	6.20	
23	7.10	6.40	6.20	
24	7.20	6.50	6.30	
25	7.20	6.50	6.30	
26	7.30	6.50	6.30	
27	7.30	6.60	6.40	
28	7.40	6.70	6.40	
29	7.40	6.70	6.50	
30	7.40	6.70	6.50	

Bifurcation (Multi-Stem) Loops

Bifurcation (Multi-Stem) Loops

Bifurcation (Multi-Stem) Loops

- Bifurcation, or Multi-Stem, Loops are loops that form at least two separate branches.
- There is not a lot of experimental information available, but for now:
 - The free energy function is:

 $E = a + n_1 x b + n_2 x c$

Where a, b, and c are constants, n_1 is the # of single stranded bases in the loop and n_2 is the # of stacks that form the loop.

Bifurcation (Multi-Stem) Loops

a, b, and c are called the offset (value of 4.60), free base penalty (value of .40) and helix penalty (value of .10), respectively.

Single (Free) Bases

- Single, or Free, bases are single stranded nucleotides that are not in any loop.
- Again, like Bifurcation Loops, not much experimental information is available.
- When a single stranded base is adjacent to the closing base pair of a stack, a Single Base Stacking Energy is added.

 When a single-stranded base is adjacent to 2 stacks, only the most favorable singlebase stacking term is added.

Single base stacking energies at 37°:

Excerpt from Table of

x	x		
C G U	A C G U	A C G U	A C G U
5'> 3'	5'> 3'	5'> 3'	5'> 3'
AX	AX	AX	AX
A	с	G	U
3' < 5'	3' < 5'	3' < 5'	3' < 5'
			-0.80 -0.50 -0.80 -0.60
x	x	x	x
с с V	A C G U	A C G U	A C G U
5'> 3'	5'> 3'	5'> 3'	5'> 3'
сх	CX	CX	cx
A	с	G	ប
3' < 5'	3' < 5'	3' < 5'	3' < 5'
		-1.70 -0.80 -1.70 -1.20	
x	x	x	x
C G U	A C G U	A C G U	A C G U
5'> 3'	5'> 3'	5'> 3'	5'> 3'
GX	GX	GX	GX
A	с	G	U
3' < 5'	3' < 5'	3' < 5'	3' < 5'

Efn Server

- <u>http://mfold.bioinfo.rpi.edu/cgi-bin/efn-form1.cgi</u>
- By entering an RNA sequence and its secondary structure, the free energy of the molecule is calculated.

Efn Server

Enter a name for your structure: Yellow lupin tRNA-Me

Enter the sequence in the box. All blanks and non-alphabet characters will be edited out. Current size limitation is about 1500 bases.

AUCAGAGUGGCGCAGCGGAAGCGUGGUGGGCCCAUAACCCACAGGUCCCAGGAUCGAAAC CUGGCUCUGAUACCA

Defining the secondary structure:

<∎

- Enter base pair information in the box at the right.
- This consists of triplets of numbers: i, j and k
- Each triplet denotes the k base pairs:
- $i.j, i+1.j-1 \dots i+k-1.j-k+1.$ • Enter a single triplet per line.
- Is the sequence linear or circular? LINEAR _____
- Temperature (between 0° and 100° C) 37

Select nucleic acid type: RNA _____

Sample: Enter Data

Energy Details

- Enthalpy = -215.7 kcal/mole
- Entropy = -623.9 cal/($\Box K^*mol$)
- $Tm = 72.7 \Box C$ assuming a 2 state model!

· Ionic conditions: 1M NaCl, no divalent ions.

Application

- As previously stated, free energy minimization is at present the most accurate and most generally applicable approach of RNA structure prediction.
- However, current algorithms cannot predict Pseudoknots (overlapping stacking regions).

Application

 However, current algorithms that predict the structure of a single RNA molecule (like mfold and the Vienna RNA Package) can predict the structure of an RNA-RNA interaction with a little modification (RNAhybrid and RNAduplex).

Application

- In the simplest approaches, the RNA molecules are concatenated and treated as one molecule.
- The "new" molecule is then folded normally.

Conclusion

- Since these RNA-RNA algorithms are based on the single RNA algorithm, it has the same weaknesses, mainly the lack of predicting pseudoknots.
- On top of this, there is a conditional probability that the RNA molecules will interact at all.

Conclusion

- Also, there is lack of knowledge concerning the energetics of RNA-RNA interactions within loops.
- Similarly, kissing-interactions (between loops) need to be measured more thoroughly to improve energy parameters.
- Likewise, how protein factors affect RNA-RNA binding energies need to be investigated.

Resources

- Alkan, Can, Emre Karakoc, Joseph H. Nadeau, S. Cenk Sahinalp, and Kaizhong Zhang. "RNA-RNA Interaction Prediction and Antisense RNA Target Search." <u>Journal of Computational Biology</u> 13 (2006): 267-82.
- Delisi, Charles, and Donald M. Crothers. "Prediction of RNA Secondary Structure." <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of</u> <u>Sciences of the United States of America</u> 68 (1971): 2682-685.
- Dima, Ruxandra I., Changbong Hyeon, and D. Thirumalai. "Extracting Stacking Interaction Parameters for RNA from the Data Set of Native Structures." <u>Journal of Molecular Biology</u> 347 (2005): 53-69.
- Matthews, David H., Jeffrey Sabina, Michael Zuker, and Douglass H. Turner. "Expanded sequence dependence of thermodynamic parameters improves prediction of RNA secondary structure." <u>Journal of Molecular Biology</u> 288 (1999): 911-40.

Resources

- Muckstein, Ulrike, Hakim Tafer, Jorg Hackermuller, Stephan H. Bernhart, Peter F. Stadler, and Ivo L. Hofacker. "Thermodynamics of RNA-RNA binding." <u>Bioinformatics</u> 22 (2006): 1177-182.
- Zuker, Michael, and Patrick Stiegler. "Optimal computer folding of large RNA sequences using thermodynamics and auxiliary information." <u>Nucleic Acids Research</u> 9 (1981): 133-48.
- Zuker, Michael. "Efn server: Compute the free energy of an RNA/DNA structure." Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. 28 Nov. 2008 < http://www.bioinfo.rpi.edu/applications/mfold/cgibin/efn-form1.cgi>.
- Zuker, Michael. "Turner Lab: Free energy and Enthalpy Tables for RNA Folding." 3 Nov. 2000. Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. 28 Nov. 2008

<http://www.bioinfo.rpi.edu/zukerm/rna/energy/>.

Contact Information

Adrian Lawsin
Bioinformatics Major, Senior Year
New Jersey Institute of Technology
email: al8@njit.edu