

# 1 Introduction

This assignment is a continuation of the previous one. The input to this assignment is the output of ./pa1 token some-name

where **some-name** is a generic name for either a file or directory name.

The output of the tokenizer will be first stemmed. You only need to implement the elementary (Harman) stemming algorithm described in class, even if it gives something simple and questionable.

The output of PA1 (with or without stemming) is ordered according to docid. We now order the output stream based on wordid first (increasing order), docid (increasing order), attr (increasing order) and wpos (increasing order).

Using this information, you will build an inverted index where you will store information about word occurrences in the form of a combined **vocabulary** and **occurrence-list** structures as described in class (Subject 3). For testing purposes this construction will have an interesting side-effect.

At the end you will be asked to design a query system that implements simple logical operation (AND and ANDNOT operations.

This is the minimum implementation required to gain you the 100 points of this assignment. You can enrich this implementation by adding additional features. Or you can think ahead of adding even more features and components in the context of the independent work component of the course.

## 2 Deliverables

The relevant files will become available in homework/pa2 as described in Handout 2. A single executable file will be the result of your compilable source code into the form of a file named pa2.

# 3 Part 1 : Stemming (10 points)

The executable file pa2 will read the command line and behave as follows.

### % ./pa2 stem some-name

The first argument in the command line (after the name of the executable file) denotes the action as before. The second argument is a file/directory name.

For action stem you need to take the output of pa1 and apply to it Harman's stemming algorithm that eliminates very simple suffixes (eg. plural). The output is a stream similar to the input.

Note that it is quite possible that say wordid 25 already corresponds to word algorithm and there is another wordid say 35 that corresponds to word algorithms. If as a result of the stemming algorithm we have a construction of algorithms into algorithm you need to decide what to do with wordids 25 and 35. The design decision is yours. One way to deal with it is to convert for example 35 into 25; an issue is what to do with wordid 25 that is left unused. If however, 25 does not exist a renaming might take place instead.

### 4 Part 2 : Inverted Index (70 points)

In the second part you take the output of Part 1 or if you decide to skip this part, of PA1 (token option) and generate an inverted index. You call the inverted index creation module of yours with a call described below.

#### % ./pa2 invert some-name

Note that such a call in essence applies all options described in PA1 and also, stem, that is it is a cumulative action.

An inverted index consists of a vocabulary and an occurrence-list.

The vocabulary will contain the wordids in sorted order (note that the lexicon of PA1 contains the wordid/word combinations not necessarily in sorted order). For every entry you need to record information such as wordid, ndocs, nhits, and potentially (depends on your implementation and language combination) a pointer locp to the actual entries. Entry ndocs counts the number of distinct documents that contain wordid. Entry nhits counts the number of occurrences of wordid in all documents (a given word might occur more than once in a document) and in any possible form (type).

The (potential) pointer locp points to the area in which you will maintain information about the given wordid. Such information will be a list of lists (whether you implement it in an array, linked list or whatever else it is your decision) of occurrences of wordid. Every document in which wordid occurs will have a separate list. Therefore the number of lists for wordid will be ndocs. The elements in each such list can be be ordered by type and within each type by wpos. Note that if you think this is not a good idea, you can provide a written justification (eg, in the form of a comment, or a separate document-file called Readme.txt that will appear in the deliverables directory), and do otherwise.

### 4.1 Side-effects

Besides the side-effects of PA1, Part 2 will generate additional side-effects.

For a start, it will generate a file named vocabulary that includes a dump of the vocabulary in the form of tuplets (wordid, word, ndocs, nhits). It suffices to print the four elements of the tuplet, one set of elements per line; you can ignore (i.e. not print) the surrounding parentheses.

It will also create a directory named invindex. Inside that directory you will create a number of files one for each word whose wordid is in the vocabulary. In the file whose name is after the word with the given wordid you will store the occurrence lists in the form of (docid,wpos, type) tuplets. The format of the file will be as follows.

The first entry in such a file will be a decimal number. If its value is val, it will mean that the following val tuples belong to the same document docid. Then val tuplets are listed (you need only print docid, wpose, type without the surrounding parentheses). After these val tuples another positive value might be listed to indicate another group of occurrences. If a -1 appears, it will indicate the END-OF-FILE.

Note that we do not ask you to sort the groups of hits other than the obvious by docid order implied by their listing. You might choose to have them sorted by type or wpos or not.

The intent of all the side-effects is to be able to build an inverted index from all the generated files without requiring the re-reading of the web-pages.

# 5 Part 3 : Query Implementation (20 points)

Having completed Part 2 we ask you to implement a command line-based implementation of a simple two-term query language.

% ./pa2 AND term1 term2 some-name % ./pa2 ANDNOT term1 term2 some-name Each one of the operations above (implicitly) assumes that ./pa2 invert some-name is first executed. We say implicitly because an alternative is to disregard the some-name directive and read the files generated by the side-effects and thus rebuild the inverted index. A more elaborate query system will be implemented as part of Programming Assignment 3. For the moment, Part 3 suffices.

The outcome of AND is to read the occurrence lists of the wordids of term1 and term2 and find their common intersection docid-wise, i.e. find those documents that contain both terms. The output is a list of the documents containing both words, one per line. Each line prints not only the docids but also the qualified URLs to the document.

The outcome of ANDNOT is to read the occurrence lists of the wordids of term1 and term2 and find those documents in which term1 appears but not term2. The printout of the result is as before.

Note that for this part we only need to use docid information and neither wpos nor type to generate an answer to the query. A more elaborate processing can occur using wpos and type position that will also rank the results. Wait for this for the next programming assignment!