

Math 481 - Hwk #4

(1)

Section 2.3 # 1, 6, 22

Section 2.5 # 1, 2, 18a

① Prove f is differentiable at x_0 iff $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0) - m(x - x_0)}{x - x_0} = 0$,
for some constant m in which case $f'(x_0) = m$

\Rightarrow Assume f is differentiable. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}$ exists

Let $m = f'(x_0)$. Then note $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} m = m$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0) - m(x - x_0)}{x - x_0} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} - \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} m(x - x_0) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

↑ This line
is true since
both limits
on RHS exist.

\Leftarrow Assume $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0) - m(x - x_0)}{x - x_0} = 0$

$$\text{Then } \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \left[\frac{f(x) - f(x_0) - m(x - x_0)}{x - x_0} + m \right]$$

$$= \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \left[\frac{f(x) - f(x_0) - m(x - x_0)}{x - x_0} + m \right]$$

$$= \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \left[\frac{f(x) - f(x_0) - m(x - x_0)}{x - x_0} \right] + \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} m$$

↓ Since
both
limits
exist

$$= m$$

$\Rightarrow f$ is d. differentiable at x_0 .

(6) Suppose $f'(0)$ exists and $f(x+y) = f(x)f(y)$
 for all x and y . Prove f' exists for all x

(2)

Let's first understand what $f(x+y) = f(x)f(y)$ implies.

Suppose $b \in \mathbb{R}$ x and $y \Rightarrow f(0+\omega) = f(\omega) \cdot f(0)$

$$\Rightarrow f(\omega) = [f(0)]^2 \Rightarrow f(0) = 0 \text{ or } f(0) = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } f(0) = 0 &\Rightarrow f(0+y) = f(0) \cdot f(y) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow f(y) = 0 \forall y \\ &\Rightarrow f' = 0 \forall y \text{ exists} \end{aligned}$$

If $f(0) = 1$, then consider

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x)f(h) - f(x)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(x) \cdot \frac{f(h) - 1}{h} \\ &= f(x) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h) - 1}{h} \\ &= f(x) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h) - f(0)}{h} \quad \downarrow \text{since } f'(0) \text{ exists} \\ &= f(x) f'(0) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow f'(x)$ exists $\forall x$.

(22) Give an example of a function f s.t. f' exists (3)
 on (a, b) and has a jump discontinuity at $x_0 \in (a, b)$
 or Show no such function exists.

Pf no such function exists. Indeed assume that $f(x)$ is diff
 and has f' has a jump discontinuity. In that case the one-sided
 derivatives $f'_+(x_0)$ and $f'_-(x_0)$ are not equal, which contradicts
 that f' exists on all of (a, b) .

Sect. 2.5

(1) Let $f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/x^2} & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x=0 \end{cases}$. Show f has derivatives of all
 orders and every Taylor Polynomial
 of f about 0 is identically 0.

First note that f is continuous at 0 by using that $\lim f(x) = 0$.

It is differentiable at 0, by computing $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-1/x^2} - f(0)}{x} = 0$ using

L'Hospital's Rule. In fact if we simply calculate $f'(x)$ for $x \neq 0$ and
 then take limit as $x \rightarrow 0$, we find that $f'(x)$ is composed of
 the product e^{-1/x^2} with a rational function (ratio of two polynomials).

Since $e^{-1/x^2} \rightarrow 0$ faster than any ~~rational~~ function $\rightarrow 0$

$$\Rightarrow f'(0) = 0.$$

Similar arguments hold for any order derivative.

$$\Rightarrow f^{(r)}(0) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T_n(x) = 0 \quad \forall n.$$

This problem shows that a perfectly well behaved

function like $f(x)$
 may not be well
 approximated by a
 polynomial.

(2) Suppose $f^{(n+1)}(x_0)$ exists and let T_n be the n^{th} Taylor polynomial of f about x_0 . (4)

$$\text{Let } E_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x) - T_n(x)}{(x-x_0)^{n+1}} & x \in D_f - \{x_0\} \\ 0 & x = x_0 \end{cases}$$

Show that $E_n(x)$ is differentiable at x_0 and find $E'(x_0)$

First note that since $f^{(n+1)}(x_0)$ exists, by Taylor's Theorem

$$f(x) = T_n(x) + \frac{f^{(n+1)}(c)}{(n+1)!} (x-x_0)^{n+1} \quad \text{for } c \text{ between } x_0 \text{ and } x$$

provided that $f^{(n+1)}$ exists in a nbhd of x_0 . But this is not actually guaranteed by the assumption of differentiability at x_0 .

Indeed, differentiability at a point does not guarantee differentiability in a nbhd of that pt. So we need an alternate method.

Observe that since $f^{(n+1)}(x_0)$ exists, by Theorem 25.1,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - T_{n+1}(x)}{(x-x_0)^{n+1}} = 0. \quad (\star)$$

Now let us consider finding the derivative of $E_n(x)$ at the point x_0 .

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_n'(x_0) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{E_n(x) - E(x_0)}{x - x_0} \\
 &\quad \downarrow E(x_0) = 0 \\
 &= \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - T_n(x)}{(x - x_0)^{n+1}} \\
 &= \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - T_n(x) - \frac{f^{(n+1)}(x_0)(x-x_0)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} + \frac{f^{(n+1)}(x_0)(x-x_0)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}}{(x - x_0)^{n+1}} \\
 &= \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - T_{n+1}(x)}{(x - x_0)^{n+1}} + \frac{f^{(n+1)}(x_0)(x-x_0)^{n+1}}{(n+1)! (x-x_0)^{n+1}} \\
 &= \frac{f^{(n+1)}(x_0)}{(n+1)!}
 \end{aligned}$$

↓ $b_7(*)$
since both terms
on RHS have limits

(18a). If $\Delta^0 f(x) = f(x)$, $\Delta^n f(x) = f(x+n) - f(x)$

$$\Delta^{n+1} f(x) = \Delta(\Delta^n f(x)) \quad n \geq 1 \quad \text{Show by induction}$$

$$\text{Then } \Delta^n [c_1 f_1(x) + \dots + c_k f_k(x)] = c_1 \Delta^n f_1(x) + \dots + c_k \Delta^n f_k(x)$$

Pf, for simplicity of notation let's take $k=2$, and then recognise
for any finite k , the proof is easily extendable by the associative
law, we will use m as an intermediate inductive variable.

Base Case $n=1$

(6)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS: } \Delta [c_1 f_1(x) + c_2 f_2(x)] &= c_1 f_1(x+h) - c_1 f_1(x) + c_2 f_2(x+h) - c_2 f_2(x) \\ &= c_1 [f_1(x+h) - f_1(x)] + c_2 [f_2(x+h) - f_2(x)] \\ &= c_1 \Delta f_1 + c_2 \Delta f_2 \\ &= \text{RHS} \quad \checkmark \\ \Rightarrow \text{base case is true.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Assume true for } n=m, \text{ that is } \Delta^m [c_1 f_1(x) + c_2 f_2(x)] &= c_1 \Delta^m f_1(x) \\ &\quad + c_2 \Delta^m f_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

Then for $n=m+1$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{m+1} [c_1 f_1(x) + c_2 f_2(x)] &= \Delta [\Delta^m (c_1 f_1(x) + c_2 f_2(x))] \\ &= \Delta [c_1 \Delta^m f_1(x) + c_2 \Delta^m f_2(x)] \quad] \text{ by inductive step} \\ &= c_1 \Delta^{m+1} f_1(x) + c_2 \Delta^{m+1} f_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the formula holds for $n=m+1$.

\Rightarrow by induction the formula holds $\forall n \geq 1$.