

Hwk #5 Solutions

①

Section 3.1: 2, 7, 9

3.2: 5

2. (a) Prove: If $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ exists, then $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| < \epsilon$ if σ_1 and σ_2 are Riemann sums of f over partitions P_1 and P_2 of $[a, b]$ with norms less than δ .

Pf/ at this point we are only allowed to use Defn 3.1.1, namely

f is Riemann integrable if $\exists L$ s.t. $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|\sigma - L| < \epsilon$

for any σ ~~for which~~ of f over $[a, b]$ with $\|P\| < \delta$.

Now let P_1 and P_2 be any partitions of $[a, b]$ s.t. $\|P_i\| < \delta$

and let σ_1 and σ_2 be the associated Riemann sums.

Then $|\sigma_1 - L| < \epsilon/2, |\sigma_2 - L| < \epsilon/2$ by Defn 3.1.1.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow |\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| &= |\sigma_1 - L + L - \sigma_2| \\ &\leq |\sigma_1 - L| + |L - \sigma_2| \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Suppose $\exists M > 0$ s.t. $\forall \delta > 0, \exists \sigma_1$ and σ_2 over $P, \|P\| < \delta$ s.t. $|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| \geq M$. Show that f is not integrable.

Pf/ by contradiction suppose f is integrable. Then for any P_1 and P_2 over $[a, b]$ with $\|P_i\| < \delta, |\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| < \epsilon$. Choose $P_1 = P_2 = P$

Then $\exists M > 0$ s.t. $|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| \geq M$ since ϵ is arbitrarily small.

②

~~→ $\epsilon > M$ → $|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| \geq M$ → contradiction~~

~~→ $\epsilon > M$ → $|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| \geq M$ → contradiction~~

To let f be bounded on $[a, b]$ and let P be a Partition. Prove that the lower sum $S(P)$ of f over P is the infimum of the set of all Riemann sums of f over P .

Pf. let $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$

$$S(P) = \sum_{j=1}^n m_j (x_j - x_{j-1}) \quad \text{where } m_j = \inf_{x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j} f(x)$$

An arbitrary Riemann sum of f over P is of the form

$$\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j (x_j - x_{j-1}) \quad x_{j-1} \leq c_j \leq x_j$$

Note $f(c_j) \geq m_j \Rightarrow \sigma \geq S(P)$.

Thus the set of Riemann sums of f over P is bounded below.

Next let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $\bar{c}_j \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]$ s.t.

$$f(\bar{c}_j) < m_j + \frac{\epsilon}{n(x_j - x_{j-1})}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } \bar{\sigma} &= \sum_{j=1}^n f(\bar{c}_j) (x_j - x_{j-1}) < \sum_{j=1}^n \left[m_j + \frac{\epsilon}{n(x_j - x_{j-1})} \right] (x_j - x_{j-1}) \\ &= S(P) + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

That is for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find a Riemann sum that is greater than $S(P)$ but within ϵ of it.

$\Rightarrow S(P) = \inf$ of all Riemann sums of f over P .

9. Find $\int_0^1 f(x) dx$ and $\int_0^1 f(x) dx$

(3)

a) $f(x) = \begin{cases} x & x \in \mathcal{Q} \\ -x & x \in \mathcal{Q}^c \end{cases}$

If \mathcal{P} is a partition of $[0,1]$, then $M_j = \sup_{x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j} f(x) = x_j$

$\Rightarrow \int^+(P) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j (x_j - x_{j-1})$

$m_j = \inf_{x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j} f(x) = -x_{j-1}$

we could explicitly calculate this sum or use that this

is the upper sum for the function $g(x) = x$ on $[0,1]$

which by problem example 3.1.2 is $1/2$. $\Rightarrow \int_0^1 f(x) dx = 1/2$

$S(P) = \sum_{j=1}^n -x_{j-1} (x_j - x_{j-1})$, which is the lower sum

$f = g(x) = -x \rightarrow \int_0^1 f(x) dx = -1/2$

b) $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \mathcal{Q} \\ x & x \in \mathcal{Q}^c \end{cases}$

$\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 1$ $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 1/2$

Section 3.2

- (5) Suppose f is integrable and g is bounded on $[a, b]$ (4) and g differs from f only on a set H with the following property: For each $\epsilon > 0$, H can be covered by a finite number of closed ^{sub}intervals of $[a, b]$, the sum of whose lengths is less than ϵ . Show ~~f~~ g is integrable on $[a, b]$ and that $\int_a^b g(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx$

We need to find an appropriate Riemann sum for g by decomposing it into a part that can be swapped for a Riemann sum for f and into a part that takes care of the set H .

We know that f is integrable. Thus for fixed $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists P$ s.t. $\|P\| < \delta$ and $|\sigma - L| < \epsilon$ where $L = \int_a^b f(x) dx$ and σ is a Riemann sum of f over P .

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & x \notin H \\ \neq f(x) & x \in H \end{cases}$$

Let I_j be a finite set of closed intervals $j = 1, \dots, k$

$$\text{s.t. } H \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k I_j$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{j=1}^k L(I_j) < \epsilon \text{ where } L(I_j) \text{ is the length of } I_j.$$

Let us define the intervals $I_j = [y_{j-1}, y_j]$ $j = 1, \dots, k$ (5)

Note that each $L(I_j) < \epsilon$.

Let $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a partition of $[a, b]$ s.t. $\|P\| < \delta$

and all of the points $\{y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{21}, y_{22}, \dots, y_{k1}, y_{k2}\}$ are contained

in the partition s.t. any pair y_{j1}, y_{j2} are consecutive points

in the partition. Thus P can be rewritten as two sets

of points $P_1 = \{\text{partition points that don't contain } y_{j1}, y_{j2}\}$

$P_2 = \{\text{partition points } y_{j1}, y_{j2} \ j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$

Notice that on any subinterval defined by points in P_1 , $f(x) = g(x)$

and for any subinterval ~~not~~ defined by points in P_2 , $g(x)$ is bounded.

Thus for $P = P_1 \cup P_2$, consider $\|P\| < \delta$

$$\left| \sum_P g(c_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}) - L \right| = \left| \sum_{P_1} g(c_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}) + \sum_{P_2} g(c_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}) - L \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{P_1} f(c_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}) + \sum_{P_2} g(c_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}) - L \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{P_1} f(c_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}) + \sum_{P_2} f(c_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}) - L \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{P_2} f(c_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}) + \sum_{P_2} g(c_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}) \right|$$

This notation means the sum over the partition P

$$= \left| \sum_P f(c_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}) - L + \sum_{P_2} (g(c_j) - f(c_j))(x_j - x_{j-1}) \right| \quad (6)$$

$$\leq \left| \sum_P f(c_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}) - L \right| + (M_g + M_f) \sum_{P_2} |x_j - x_{j-1}|$$

$$= \left| \sum_P f(c_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}) - L \right| + (M_g + m_f) \sum_{j=1}^k L(I_j)$$

IF $\|P\| < \delta$

$$< \varepsilon + (m_g + m_f)\varepsilon$$

$$= (1 + m_g + m_f)\varepsilon \Rightarrow g \text{ is integrable and } \int_a^b g(x) dx = L.$$

In the above argument $m_g = \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} g(x)$ and $M_f = \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} f(x)$

m_g exists by the assumption that $g(x)$ is bounded.

m_f exists since $f(x)$ is integrable.