SUCCESSIVE SOLAR FLARES AND CORONAL MASS EJECTIONS ON 2005 SEPTEMBER 13 FROM NOAA AR 10808
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ABSTRACT

We present a multiwavelength study of the 2005 September 13 eruption from NOAA 10808 that produced total four flares and two fast coronal mass ejections (CMEs) within ~1.5 hours. Our primary attention is paid to the fact that these eruptions occurred in close succession in time, and that all of them were located along an S-shaped magnetic polarity inversion line (PIL) of the active region. In our analysis, (1) the disturbance created by the first flare propagated southward along the PIL to cause a major filament eruption that led to the first CME and the associated second flare underneath. (2) The first CME partially removed the overlying magnetic fields over the northern δ spot to allow the third flare and the second CME. (3) The ribbon separation during the fourth flare would indicate reclosing of the overlying field lines opened by the second CME. It is thus concluded that this series of flares and CMEs are interrelated to each other via magnetic reconnections between the expanding magnetic structure and the nearby magnetic fields. These results complement previous works on this event with the suggested causal relationship among the successive eruptions.

Subject headings: Sun: flares — Sun: coronal mass ejections (CMEs) — Sun: UV radiation — Sun: X-rays, gamma rays — Sun: radio radiation

1. INTRODUCTION

Although solar flares are known as the process of sudden energy release in a restricted volume of the solar atmosphere, some of them are not isolated events. Statistical studies on the flare occurrence have suggested a sympathetic flaring activity, in which flares occur almost simultaneously in different active regions (Richardson 1951; Fritzova-Svestkova et al. 1976; Pearce & Harrison 1990; Moon et al. 2002; Wheatland 2006). Several case studies followed to show how different sites of sympathetic flares are connected to each other, for instance, by way of X-ray ejecta (Gopalswamy et al. 1999), magnetic reconnections with neighboring fields (Bagalá et al. 2000), heat conduction along large-scale loops (Zhang et al. 2000), and/or sweeping close-loop surge (Wang et al. 2001). An MHD simulation demonstrated that the sympathetic flares can also be triggered by shocks or high energy particle beams (Odstrčil & Karlícký 1997). The idea of sympathetic flares has been integrated into a model for solar flare statistics (Wheatland & Craig 2006). Since coronal mass ejections (CMEs) are closely related to flares, it is natural to expect that there also exist sympathetic CMEs, i.e., a pair of consecutive CMEs originating from different active regions and physically connected with each other. However, as implied by statistical results of waiting-time distribution and the angular-difference distribution, the number of sympathetic CMEs is much smaller than that of independent CMEs (Moon et al. 2003). Only a few cases of sympathetic CMEs have been reported thus far (Simnett & Hudson 1997; Cheng et al. 2005; Jiang et al. 2008), and their possible driving mechanism is still an area of ongoing research.

There is another class of activity of multiple flares/CMEs. Goff et al. (2007) studied a series of three flares (two M and one C in GOES class) and one CME occurred within ~1 hour in NOAA AR 10540 on 2004 January 20. They suggested that the CME is related to the first two flares via tether-cutting reconnection (Moore et al. 2001), while the third flare in a close-by location is caused by interaction between the expanding CME and neighboring magnetic fields. Gary & Moore (2004) examined a spiral flux tube eruption from NOAA AR 10030 on 2002 July 15 in the context of the breakout model (Antiochos et al. 1999). Interestingly, after the first CME and an associated X3.1 flare, a second M4.1 flare and another CME were subsequently released from a nearby stressed fields within ~30 minutes, which was interpreted as due to decrease of the overlying fields as a result of the first magnetic breakout. This kind of multiple eruption activity differs from the sympathetic flares/CMEs, because the term sympathetic historically alludes to the events involving different active regions. Instead we will call them successive flares/CMEs in the sense that multiple eruptions occur in one active region within a short time period. Understanding of the successive flares/CMEs would certainly be important for solar flare physics and for space weather, since it will shed light on the physics of initiation and mechanisms of energy transport of solar eruptions.

In this paper, we study the multiple eruptions of flares and CMEs during ~19–21 UT on 2005 September 13 from the NOAA AR 10808, which appears to be an excellent candidate for the successive flares/CMEs. The plan of this paper is as follows: in §§ 2 and 3, we first summarize related previous studies, and overview the active region and the event timing. In §§ 4 and 5 the multiwavelength observations of the whole event are described. We speculate on plausible magnetic reconnection scenarios in § 6, and summarize and discuss our major findings in § 7.

2. PREVIOUS STUDIES ON THE EVENT
The source active region, NOAA 10808, had produced extraordinary eruption activities during the solar cycle 23, and many eruptions from this active region have already received attention from many authors. For the purpose of this study, it is worthwhile to review the published results related to this event.

First, Chifor et al. (2007) carried out a study of X-ray precursors and filament eruptions in eight events including the present one. They found traveling hard X-ray (HXR) brightenings in preflare times and regarded them as a precursor of filament eruption and the main energy release. Second, Nagashima et al. (2007) studied a series of small flares occurred in adjacent regions over two days before the eruption. They found the slow ascending motion of a major filament and concluded that the small flares led to the eruption of the filament. Third, Wang et al. (2007) measured the relative timing of flare ribbons and the filament, and suggested that the filament eruption occurred as the flux loop system is destabilized by the initial flare in a nearby location. In addition, Wang et al. (2006) carried out a large-scale modeling for five CMEs from this active region. Li et al. (2007) presented the magnetic configuration of the active region at the time of another flare that occurred ~4 hours later.

The present study is motivated by the fact that the whole event is more complex than described in the above studies. Within the duration of ~1.5 hours, there occurred consecutively four flares and two CMEs, and it is necessary to further study possible relationships among them. In particular, we will show an additional filament eruption at the beginning of the event that was overlooked in the previous studies. This affects the interpretations regarding the initiation of the whole eruption. We will also present additional flares that were not studied in the above papers. We intend to revisit the initiation scenario of each eruption and possible interrelationships among them, using nearly all available ground-based and space-borne multiwavelength data from ten observational instruments (Table 1).

3. OVERVIEW OF THE EVENT

![Image](336x416 to 560x571)
![Image](337x257 to 560x413)

**Fig. 1.** — Top: An MDI magnetogram showing the principal δ spot, surrounding magnetic islands, and an overall S-shaped PIL (thick white line) of NOAA AR 10808 on 2005 September 13. P1, P2, N1, and N2 denote magnetic regions involved with the CME I (see § 6.2). Middle: An OSPAN preflare Hα image showing the erupted filaments f1, f2, and f3 and an undisturbed filament f4. The black line indicates the slit position of the time slice shown in Fig. 4b. Bottom: A TRACE 195 Å image showing the preflare coronal conditions. Axis scales are in arcsec. West is to the right, and solar north is up. The dashed box indicates the field of view of Hα images in Fig. 3a. All the images as well as those in Figs. 3 are aligned respect to 20:01 UT.

As an overview, we show, in Figure 1, the magnetogram, Hα, and extreme-UV (EUV) images of the active region, where we identify the filaments involved with the eruption. In Figure 2, we then present light curves and dynamic spectra of X-rays and radio wavelengths where we identify the individual flare peaks.

The top panel of Figure 1 shows an MDI magnetogram of the source active region NOAA 10808. It contains a complex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Data Type/ Band</th>
<th>Coverage (UT)</th>
<th>Cadence (seconds)</th>
<th>Spatial Resolution (″)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OSPAN</td>
<td>Hα, ±0.4 Å</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>~60</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBSO</td>
<td>Hα, ±0.6 Å</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDI</td>
<td>magnetogram</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHESSI</td>
<td>X-rays</td>
<td>~19:54−</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>up to 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACE</td>
<td>195 Å</td>
<td>~19:24−</td>
<td>~15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPIRIT</td>
<td>175 Å</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>few images</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LASCO</td>
<td>white-light</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>~12 mins</td>
<td>24/112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWSA</td>
<td>1.2–18 GHz</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBSRBS</td>
<td>18–1057 MHz</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAVES</td>
<td>4 kHz–14 MHz</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**

**DATA FOR 13-SEP-2005 NOAA X1.5 FLARE-CME EVENT**
bipolar sunspot group with one main δ spot, and appears in the βγδ configuration. The overall magnetic polarity inversion line (PIL) is S-shaped, which is located close to disk center (S11°, E6°) at the time of this event. Its long-term evolution shows that the principal δ spot underwent a fast counterclockwise rotation, which implies strongly sheared and twisted magnetic fields there (Li et al. 2007). In Figure 1 (middle) an OSPAN preflare Hα image shows well the filaments that are closely related to the present study. The filament f1 lies in the northeast corner of the PIL, f2 and f4 around the southeast portion of the PIL, and f3 just south of the δ spot. Most of these filaments are also visible in a preflare 195 Å coronal image (Fig. 1, bottom). A gradual ascending motion of f2 with a series of small flares occurred nearby over two days before the eruption was observed by Nagashima et al. (2007).

In Figure 2 we show the light curves and dynamic spectra of X-rays and radio wavelengths, which were largely missing from previous studies. According to the GOES soft X-ray (SXR) flux, the event started at 19:19 UT, peaked at 19:27 and 20:05 (X1.5 and X1.4, hereafter referred as the first [I] and the third [III] flare), and ended at 20:57 UT. Note that NOAA listed the event as a single X1.5 flare. We will see later that there occurred a second (II) flare around 19:35 UT but it can not be clearly identified in SXR flux. Moreover, it is obvious in this figure that an additional emission episode occurred after ∼20:21 UT (X1.0, hereafter referred as the fourth [IV] flare). This kind of consecutive multiple SXR peaks in a short time period with corresponding nonthermal emissions is a typical characteristic of successive flare/CME event (e.g., Gary & Moore 2004).

We also indicated, with arrows, the four nonthermal bursts, S0–S3, seen in 25–100 keV HXRs and/or microwaves at ∼19:51, 20:00, 20:04, and 20:27 UT, respectively. The peak S0 is inferred based on the time derivative of SXR flux (Neupert 1968), as HXR data are not available at that time. S1 peaks simultaneously in both HXRs and microwave at ∼20:00:04 UT. Although S2 is partially affected by the noise when the RHESSI attenuator switched between A1 and A3 status during 20:02:48–20:03:12 UT, the 25–100 keV energy range has a peak at 20:03:22 UT and could be associated with a delayed peak in microwave at 20:03:25 UT. S3 peaks in HXR and microwaves at 20:27:04 and 20:27:10, respectively. Therefore, we believe that each of these four bursts have corresponding peaks in both HXRs and microwaves. In particular, S0 is at the beginning of the impulsive phase of the flare III and is also a broadband type III burst (Poquérusse 1994) spanning from 1 MHz to 18 GHz, which is most probably associated with an ordinary type III burst starting at ∼19:55 UT, as discussed in Klassen et al. (2003). Other radio signatures associated with magnetic eruption will be discussed in § 5.2.

### 4. THE SUCCESSIVE FLARES

We show the flare evolution observed at various frequencies in Figure 3a, and describe the results in this section. More dynamic details can be seen in the accompanying mpeg movies1.

#### 4.1. The Flares I and II (∼19:19–19:45 UT)

From the time-lapse movies of both Hα center and wing images, it could be seen that the initial flare I was preceded by the eruption of filament f1 from the northeastern part of the PIL. Observational evidence for direct cause of eruptions is crucial in understanding their triggering mechanism, while the above fact has been neglected in all the previous studies. Since any upward motion of dark features in Hα wavelength is more pronounced in its blue wing, we cut a slit that lies in the projected direction of f1 eruption (slit I in the frame 19:12:09 UT), and show the distance-time profile along it in Figure 4a. It is unambiguous that f1 started to move upward as early as from ∼19:00 UT, with a projected speed of ∼12 km s⁻¹. Bright ribbons 1a and 1b of flare I (see im-

---

1 http://solar.njit.edu/~cliu/050913
Fig. 3.— (a) Time sequence of OSPAN Hα – 0.4 Å and TRACE 195 Å images showing the evolution of the event, with cleaned RHESSI X-ray and OVSA microwave sources superposed. Each RHESSI image was reconstructed using detectors 3–8 (9.8′′ FWHM resolution). The integration time of both X-ray and microwave images is 1 minute centering on the corresponding Hα times. Contour levels are 30%, 50%, 70%, and 90% of the maximum flux for RHESSI, and 40%, 60%, and 80% for OVSA. The dashed box in the EUV images represents the field of view of Hα images. The white line in the image of 19:12:09 UT indicates the slit position of the time slice shown in Fig. 4a. The turquoise line denotes the PIL. (b) Time sequence combined TRACE 195 Å images using a small area (15′′ × 90′′) around the EUV flow (illustrated in the frame 19:56:14 UT), which is rotated 24° counterclockwise. Arrows 1–3 mark the initiation of different flow episodes, which seem to co-temporal with flare nonthermal emissions in HXR and microwave.
age at 19:23:11 UT) appeared immediately after the disappearance of \( f1 \) and exhibited the normal expansion motion. The brightenings also readily expanded along the entire PIL mainly toward south. In extreme-UV (EUV) images, the flare brightenings seemingly propagated along the large-scale magnetic field lines (see images from 19:24–19:38 UT). By comparing the preflare EUV image with MDI magnetogram (e.g., Fig. 1ac), these magnetic loops span from the negative magnetic polarity region N1 to the positive magnetic polarity region P1 (cf. Fig. 11 of Nagashima et al. 2007). The distance-time profile (Fig. 4b) along the slit II illustrated in Fig. 1b also shows that this propagating brightening forms immediately after flare I/II brightenings reach the southeastern portion of the S-shaped PIL. By \( \sim 19:35 \) UT, the entire field lines were brightened (also see the EUV image at 19:33:16 UT in Fig. 5) and rapidly erupted outward, together with the co-spatial filament \( f2 \) at \( \sim 19:38 \) UT by breaking away from the southern ends. During the flare II, a new pair of separating ribbons \( 2a \) and \( 2b \) (denoted in image at 19:51:09 UT) were observed underneath the outward moving \( f2 \), although this flare can not be obviously recognized in SXR flux. We use the same notation for the flares I and II as in Wang et al. (2007), where the dynamics of flare ribbons and filament \( f2 \) were studied in details. An important feature here is that Figure 4b clearly demonstrates that \( f4 \), another filament associated with the southeast portion of the PIL (see Fig. 1b), was not disturbed but remained intact after the flares I and II, which is also evidenced by comparing the pre- and postflare EUV images (Wang et al. 2007). Although the slit II only cuts through one segment of \( f4 \), similar results can be obtained for other parts, which indicate that the whole \( f4 \) did not erupt. This is in contrast with Nagashima et al. (2007) where the activity of \( f4 \) was incorrectly identified. Note that the current position of the slit II was chosen to better reveal the possible linkage between the flares I and II.

In summary, our analysis indicates that filament \( f1 \) erupted but \( f4 \) did not, which is important for judging the whole eruption scenario. We will further discuss the significance of these points in §6.1 and 6.2.

4.2. The Flare III (\( \sim 19:45–20:21 \) UT)

After the flare I/II, the flaring loops in EUV images are seen to extend westward from the northeast corner of the PIL, and subsequently the flare III was triggered at the \( \delta \) spot region. This is corroborated by the facts that strong magnetic reconnection in this phase began with the high energy burst \( 50 \) (see Fig. 2) where the type III burst accelerated in high density (low altitude) with the corresponding microwave sources above the \( \delta \) spot (see image at 19:51:09 UT), and that HXR emitting sources are present there during the flare III. Although it is barely see in Figure 3a, the elongated ribbons of the flare I evolve to three \( \text{H\alpha} \) kernels (denoted as \( k1–k3 \)). We identify them with flare kernels, because (1) they are compact feature newly brightened during the flare III, and (2) they are co-spatial with HXR/microwave emissions at the times of the high energy bursts \( 50–52 \) (see images at 19:51:09, 20:00:17 and 20:04:10 UT in Fig. 3a). We note that unlike the ordinary separating ribbons as seen in the flares I and II, kernels \( k1–k3 \) did not show obvious motion during the flare III. Moreover, the co-spatial footprint-like high energy emitting sources only appeared at times of the bursts \( 50–52 \). Around the flare peak, the 25–50 keV X-ray emission mainly originated from a source located between the \( \text{H\alpha} \) kernels \( k1 \) and \( k2 \) (see images at 19:56:09 and 20:02:12 UT). This source is most probably a looptop source over the \( \delta \) spot, since it is spatially aligned with the lower energy X-ray emission in 12–18 keV. We analyzed the corresponding RHESSI X-ray spectrum of the looptop source using the Object Spectral Executive. The results show that nonthermal contribution dominates in \( \sim 25–50 \) keV, since the spectrum in this energy range can only be modeled with a nonthermal power-law distribution. Light curves of the \( \text{H\alpha} \) kernels and the physical nature of the \( \text{H\alpha}/\text{HXR} \) sources will further be discussed in §6.3.

We also identified erupting filament and flux loops in \( \text{H\alpha} \) and EUV wavelengths, respectively, during the flare III. At least part of the filament \( f3 \), which consists of two strands (see Fig. 1) that share a common eruptive motion, began to move outward from \( \sim 19:45 \) UT (see images from 19:51:09–20:02:12 UT) in a similar direction as that of \( f2 \). As the feature is relatively weak and the projected trajectory does not follow a straight line, the eruption dynamics of \( f3 \) is best seen in the time-lapse movies. From \( \sim 19:57 \) UT, a series of moving bright loops (\( L1 \) at 19:59:14 UT) stemmed from the flaring site at the \( \delta \) spot. Erupting loops with a larger scale (\( L2 \) at 20:00:59 UT) were also observed from \( \sim 20:00 \) UT. The western ends of these loops can be traced back to the flare kernel \( k3 \) with negative magnetic polarity. Their eastern ends thus should have positive magnetic polarity but were obscured by the bright postflare arcades of the flare II.

4.3. A High Speed Flow in EUV

In TRACE 195 Å images, we find an interesting flow during the rapid rising phase of the flare III SXR emission. To show this flow we made time-sequenced images using a slit
area (15″ × 90″; illustrated in 19:56:14 UT) around the flow and display them in Figure 3b. Three flow episodes can be identified and their initiations are pointed out with numbered arrows. Among them, the flow 2 is most clearly seen as bright blobs moving at an apparent speed of ~350 km s⁻¹. By comparing the timing of the flows with that of the event light curves, it is obvious that the flows seem to be initiated at times when the bursts of nonthermal emissions occurred. The flow can be traced in EUV images until ~20:04 UT, after which TRACE observed with a cadence up to ~2 minutes and was affected by “snow storm” due to high-energy particles. As a comparison, the bright EUV flow has no obvious counterpart in Hα wavelength. However, it is apparent that a small filament (labeled f′2) moved in a co-spatial path at the times of the flow (see images from 19:51:09–20:02:12 UT and the movies). Here f′2 is a small portion of f2 at its western end that was not disturbed during the flare II. After ~20:02 UT when the blobs of the flow 2 in EUV reached the δ spot region, the northern tip of the flow began to brighten in Hα (see image at 20:16:09 UT). We believe that this flow represent streams of enhanced density traveling toward the flaring arcades over arching the δ spot (see images from 19:56:14–20:02:44 UT) explicitly the looptop HXR source.

4.4. The Flare IV (~20:21–20:57 UT)

During this phase, a new flare kernel k6 in Hα originated from the brightened northern end of the flow in the region of southern sunspot umbra, and moved westward toward the region of previously brightened kernel k2 (see images from 20:16:09–20:44:10 UT and the movies). There simultaneously appeared a conjugate Hα ribbon (k4), which was activated in its northern part from ~20 UT (see § 6.3) and expanded later on. Each of the Hα kernel/ribbon k6 and k4 began to have co-spatial HXR emissions from around the time of the burst S3 (see image at 20:27:11 UT), and they separated from each other as usual. By ~20:44 UT, thermal X-ray sources and loop of arcades in EUV overlaid the entire flaring region, with HXR sources at the west and east sides. No more flaring activity is seen in the next ~3 hours.

5. THE SUCCESSIVE CMEs

We use UV and coronograph images and radio dynamic spectra to investigate the morphology and dynamics of the associated CMEs in this event.

5.1. General Morphology and Coronal Dimmings

The first image showing the eruptive signature off the limb is captured by SPIRIT in its 175 Å channel at 19:53 UT (see Fig. 5), showing an ejecta propagating in the southeastern direction. The following development of an asymmetric full-halo CME was first observed in LASCO C2 at 20:00 UT as a bright loop front preceded by a diffuse envelope above the southeast limb. At 20:12 UT, a traditional three-part structure of CMEs can be clearly seen. The C2 occulter was nearly completely surrounded at 20:36 UT by faint extensions in all directions, with the leading edge on southeast being already past the C2 field of view while that on northwest being just above the occulter. Although the LASCO CME catalog (Yashiro et al. 2004) reported this event as a single CME eruption, there is an unusual second core with associated bright front below the first CME core, as conspicuously seen at 20:24 and 20:36 UT. The event was observed in C3 from 20:18 to 23:18 UT, but the two cores can not be resolved, probably because they became diffuse and merged into an extended bright structure at that time. The event was supposed to produce an intense geomagnetic storm since it originated close to the disc center; however, the interplanetary deflection probably made the CME only graze the Earth (Wang et al. 2006).
We looked for a coronal dimming, an important signature of CMEs, which usually suggests loss of the coronal mass that are swept into CMEs along opened field lines (e.g., Thompson et al. 2000). The difference images between pre- and post-eruption states of the flares I/II and the flare III are presented using SPIRIT observations at 175 Å (Fig. 5, lower panels). After the flare I/II, a strong dimming region \(D1\) appears in the southeast of the active region and extends to the west. Within temporal resolution, the fast darkening of \(D1\) at \(\sim 19:48\) UT (Slemzˇin et al. 2006) agrees with the eruption of the brightened EUV loop and the associated filament \(f2\) from \(\sim 19:38\) UT. Importantly, another strong dimming region \(D2\) appears in the west after the flare III, which implies an eruption of a separate CME. Note that although stiﬂed by the bright flare emission, most probably there should also have coronal dimming east of \(D2\), which together represent the consequence of eruption of the large EUV loops \(L1\) and \(L2\) and the associated \(f3\) during the flare III. As a support to this view, there is a dimming region \(D3\) close to the west limb, which is located in negative magnetic polarity region. Following the picture of Mandrini et al. (2007), the expanding western legs of \(L1/L2\) rooted in positive magnetic fields could reconnect with the fields at \(D3\), and thus produced extending dimming region there.

5.2. Radio Signatures

Radio bursts are known to be able to provide valuable clues about the CME eruption as it propagates outward in the solar atmosphere. It is well accepted that type II bursts are the manifestation of shock waves in the middle to high corona usually associated with either large flares or fast CMEs (Nelson & Melrose 1985), and the type II precursors (Klassen et al. 1999) are a signature for the onset of shock formation in the low corona due to expanding of CME structure (e.g., Dauphin et al. 2006). According to Figure 2, radio bursts in this event started at \(19:23\) UT with broadband pulses in the \(\sim 0.7–18\) GHz range and lasted till \(19:35\) UT. At lower frequencies \((\sim 150–400\) MHz\), this initial impulsive phase is followed by drifting radio features during \(19:38–19:45\) UT. These can be considered as a precursor of a weak but clearly identified type II radio burst, which began with starting frequency \(\sim 40\) MHz and drifted toward \(\sim 20\) MHz at \(19:48\) UT (marked with dotted line).

We also note a drifting pulsation structure (DPS; Kliem et al. 2000) during \(19:37–19:42\) UT in the frequency range of \(600–800\) MHz. This negatively drifting DPS1 \((\sim 0.7\) MHz s\(^{-1}\)) indicates plasmoids formed below the upward rising flux rope. Karlický & Bártá (2007) claimed that plasmoid forms in the phase of intense electron acceleration. This is supported by the simultaneous observation of DPS1 and a strong type III radio burst, which is conventionally interpreted as accelerated electrons escaping along open field lines.

It is worth mentioning that the type IIIid burst and the followed normal type III burst is observed at the start of a positively drifting DPS2 \((\sim 4\) MHz s\(^{-1}\)) during \(19:51–19:55\) UT in the \(1–2\) GHz range, which suggests plasmoids propagating downwards in the solar atmosphere. It can also be seen that the radio emission in the \(600–1300\) MHz drifts as a whole toward higher frequency (DPS3) during \(20:13–20:22\) UT, when the flare IV began. We will see in the following sections that these emission features in the radio dynamic spectra are consistent with our interpretation of the successive eruptions.

5.3. Eruption Kinematics

In order to link the CME activities with their source regions on the solar surface, height-time measurements of erupting associated features are carried out using the following scheme. We approximate the height from the projected distance of the ascending filaments \((f2\) in \(TRACE\) 195 Å and \(f3\) in BBSO H\(\alpha\) \(\sim 0.6\) Å), the flux loops \((L1\) and \(L2)\), and the EUV ejecta, and compare them with those of the CME features in the plane of the sky, which include the two bright cores (centroid positions are measured) and the CME flank (where it interacted with a streamer at southeast). Due to the difficulty to follow erupting loops near bright flaring region and the limited field of view of \(TRACE\), the averaged positions of \(L1\) and \(L2\) are used. In addition, the heights of the metric type II radio burst and the type II precursor are estimated using Mann’s and four-fold Newkirk’s coronal electron density models, respectively, following Warmuth & Mann (2005), and those of the DPSs at lower corona are approximated using the model of Aschwanden (2002).

Based on these results presented in Figure 6, we organize the observational evidence for magnetic eruption as follows. First, it is obvious that the filament \(f2\) and \(f3\), type II radio burst (T2) and its precursor (T2P), DPSs, and CMEs. The three dashed lines from up to down are least-squares linear fit to the data points of the flank of the CME I, the center of the first core, and the center of the second core (CME II). The derived velocities for the CME I flank, the bright loops of the CME I and II, and the type II radio burst are \(\sim 1430\), 1390, 1190, and 1390 km s\(^{-1}\), respectively. The approximate positions of the erupting EUV loops \(L1\) and \(L2\) are denoted. The timings of other activities (type III and IIIid bursts, and EUV ejecta and flow) are also illustrated.
which is closely associated with the flare III at the δ spot region. Another strong evidence is that this second CME is related to the co-spatial strong coronal dimming region D2 after the flare III. Note that (1) the heights of f3 in its later eruption phase with higher speed could be underestimated since they are measured using Hα wing images, and (2) there could have a rapid acceleration of flux ropes around 19:55–20:00 UT when HXR peaked (see e.g., Qiu et al. 2004). It is also shown that the type III burst and DPS2 occurred at the beginning of this eruption, and the EUV flow is co-temporal with the rising phase of the CME II.

Third, the two filaments f2 and f3 initially erupted in a similar direction (∼146°; cf. Fig. 3a and the movies), and the first and second bright cores of the CME are also aligned radially (∼160°; see Fig. 5). This corroborates our speculation that the first and second CME cores would be associated with the dense filament materials f2 and f3, respectively. The deviation in direction, however, is not readily explainable because there lacks of three-dimensional observations of the CME evolution in the low corona.

6. SPECULATION ON SUCCESSIVE FLARES AND CMEs

We here argue that these series of flares and CMEs are interrelated to each other rather than separate individual events due to a simple coincidence of occurrences, using the schematic illustration shown in Figure 7.

6.1. Eruption Onset

A careful examination of Hα images reveal that the event began with the eruption of the filament f1 from the northeastern part of the PIL (Fig. 7a). This was not reported in the previous studies. On one hand, the C- and M-class flares that occurred in the nearby region during one day before the X1.5 event (Nagashima et al. 2007) could have possibly led to the loss of equilibrium of f1. On the other hand, Chifor et al. (2007) found preflare traveling HXR sources during ∼19:10–19:20 UT along the PIL in the vicinity of f1, which appear co-temporal with the rising of f1. Thus it is also possible that the f1 eruption is driven by the tether-cutting reconnection manifested as these HXR sources. Our data, at this stage, cannot unambiguously distinguish the above two possibilities (cf. Moore & Sterling 2006; Liu et al. 2007). As the filament moving upward, it could sequentially tear away the overarch- ing magnetic fields (Tripathi et al. 2006a) in a larger scale, and accordingly we see that the flare ribbons expanded bi-directionally towards the entire PIL. We found it hard to detect coronal dimming, if any, associated with the eruption of f1 because the nearby flare brightening was strong and the ca-

6.2. The Flares I, II, and CME I

The most interesting feature during the flare I is the propaga- ting brightening along the large-scale magnetic field lines. Chifor et al. (2007) interpreted this brightened loop as the eruption of the filament f2; however, f2 is clearly seen as a separate darker structure that rose together with the bright loops (also see larger images in Fig. 3 of Wang et al. 2007). Alternatively, Nagashima et al. (2007) attributed the bright loop structure to the eruption of another filament f4, which lies under f2 along the southeast PIL. Using the OSPAN im- ages at Hα center wavelengths, we have shown clearly that only f2 erupted and f4 remained undisturbed. The same conclusion was reached by Wang et al. (2007) based on EUV images. Wang et al. (2007) speculated that the traveling brightening is due to thermal conduction or ejected hot chromo- spheric plasma resulted from heating by the extended flare ribbon emissions. However, in many other events of ribbon expansion, such a phenomenon is not usually observed.

We here propose a scenario that could account for this rare phenomenon based on our observations. It is well known that in the standard flare model, a flare ribbon in one magnetic polarity is paired with a ribbon in the other magnetic polarity region, and both connect to the coronal X-point. During the flare I, the ribbon that extended southward to become a curved J-shape lies in the positive magnetic polarity region. This ribbon must pair with the ribbon in negative magnetic field around the southern umbra. Hence, there should have been flaring magnetic fields connecting from the region P2 to N2 (denoted in Fig. 1) and to further western region (red in Fig. 7b), which are evidenced by the elongated microwave source seen at 19:24:11 UT in Fig. 3a and are also discernible in EUV images. Since the flare region was quickly expanding, the fields P2-N2 could be forced to reconnect with the close-
heated mainly by the flare I can subsequently rise into and propagate along the cold magnetic fields N1-P1, as described in § 4.1. Idea of such a forced reconnection between expanding flaring magnetic fields with other favorably oriented magnetic structures was put forward by several authors (Goff et al. 2007; Démoïn et al. 2007; van Driel-Gesztelyi et al. 2008).

An immediate consequence of the above reconnection is the decrease of magnetic tension that presses down the filament f2 lying below or being part of the flux loops N1-P1. Since the equilibrium state of f2 was already changed during its slow ascending motion over two days before the event (Nagashima et al. 2007), it began to erupt outward and created two separating ribbons underneath (flare II) in the standard way (Fig. 7b). Later on, the filament f2 might completely lose its equilibrium (Forbes & Priest 1995) around 19:38 UT, and pushed open the overlying fields (including P1-N2 resulted from the reconnection) to become the CME I. The extending coronal dimming region from P1 to N2 and further west strengthens the idea of involvement of these regions via the reconnection scenario proposed above. On the contrary, Nagashima et al. (2007) claimed that the catastrophic eruption of f2 was triggered by a small C2.9 flare at 19:05 UT. Our interpretation is similar to the picture of Wang et al. (2007), in which the destabilization of f2 was ultimately due to the initial flare originated from the northeast corner of the PIL. The C2.9 flare contributed to the loss of equilibrium of f2, but might not be the direct cause.

6.3. The Flare III and CME II

The results of imaging and timing analysis clearly demonstrate that the CME II is closely associated with the flare III at the δ spot region with strong magnetic fields. It occurred after the eruption of f2, which lies around the southeastern part of the PIL in a weaker fields. Since f2 seems not to be rooted at the δ spot region, it is unlikely that the filament eruption is directly related to the flare emissions at the strong fields, which instead is the case in Sterling & Moore (2004). We note that the magnetic fields at the δ spot region might be highly sheared and twisted, as the main positive and negative polarities had a fast counterclockwise rotation since they appeared from the west limb (Nagashima et al. 2007; Li et al. 2007). These sheared core fields could erupt outward after the overlying magnetic fields being removed by the CME I as evidenced by the extending coronal dimming, a scenario similar to the breakout model (Antiochos et al. 1999) and which has also been observed in some other events (e.g., Gary & Moore 2004; Sterling & Moore 2004).

To study the eruption of the sheared core in more detail, we plot in Figure 8 the light curves of the three most obvious flare kernels (k1–k5 in Fig. 3a) in Hα − 0.4 Å and compare them with that of HXRs. The results show that k1–k3 have similar erupting time profiles as HXRs during the flare III. In particular, co-temporal peaks in Hα − 0.4 Å are discernible when the bursts S0–S2 occurred. As blue wing Hα images best represents the precipitation of energetic HXR-emitting electrons in the lower atmosphere (e.g., Lee et al. 2006), this further demonstrates that k1–k3 are the footpoints of the flare III. Interestingly, co-spatial HXR footpoint sources only appear at the times of the bursts S0–S2. We here note that from RHESSI observation, nonthermal looptop sources can occur when column density in the coronal loop is sufficiently high (Veronig & Brown 2004). In this event, EUV images show very strong emissions from top of the low-lying interacting loops above the δ spot and there is a co-spatial and persistent looptop HXR source, both implying high densities there. Detailed examination of the loop density is however out of the scope of this study.

Although some flares show three footpoints (e.g., Hanaoka 1999), they are often non-eruptive. In the present event, co-temporal erupting EUV loops L1/L2 clearly originated from the source region of the flare III, which usually suggests a quadrupolar magnetic configuration (e.g., Yurchyshyn et al. 2006). As the fourth footpoint should be in the positive magnetic field region, we try to identify it by overplotting in Figure 8 the light curves of the only other two flare kernels k4 and k5 with positive magnetic polarity (see image at 20:16:09 UT in Fig. 3a). The results show that the time profile of k5 may have a stronger correlation with those of k1–k3, while k4 also began to brighten from around the HXR peak. The two kernels are also similar in that there are hardly emissions in HXRs, although co-spatial HXR sources with weak intensity (<30% of the peak intensity of the co-temporal strong sources near the δ spot) can be detected for k4 and k5 while only at ~20:01 and 20:04 UT, respectively. Considering both k4 and k5 are spatially feasible to be the eastern foot of the loops L1 and L2 (see images at 19:59:14 and 20:00:59 UT in Fig. 3a), it appears that both of them could be associated with this flare. As to why there lack of HXR/Hα emissions, we offer the following speculation. As this flare is heavily involved with type III radio bursts, it suggests a close involvement of open field lines. According to the results of potential field source surface model2, open field lines of this active region lie east to the δ spot concentrating in the region spanning from k4 to k5. Thus accelerated electrons, instead of precipitating downward, could drift to and hence escape from the ambient open field lines (cf. Liu et al. 2006).

Therefore, we envision a picture, in which field lines k4/k5–k2, representing the expanding flaring fields from the flare I, reconnect with the sheared fields k1/k3 at the δ spot region. The looptop HXR source is associated with the site of the reconnection (Fig. 7c). The outcome of this reconnection is the observed erupting loops L1 and L2, which could also be pushed outward by the filament f3 and together formed the CME II (Fig. 7d). It is also consistent that the footpoints

---

in this flare are nearly fixed, in contrast to normal separating ones as a result of reclosing of field lines.

6.4. Nature of the High Speed EUV Flow

In the above context of magnetic reconnection, it is meaningful to investigate the nature of the bright EUV flow associated with the rapid rising phase of the CME II based on our observations. First, the flow was brightened up at its northern tip in Hα when it reached the δ spot region. If this indicates heating possibly due to flow-driven compression, the flow should move downward in the solar atmosphere. Moreover, the simultaneous positively drifting DPS2 could be a radio signature of the plasmoids moving downward. Second, the flow is co-temporal with nonthermal emissions and travels toward the looptop (Fig. 7d) at a high speed. This suggests that the flow could be associated with the magnetic reconnection process of the flare III/CME II. In specific, we identify the observed flow with an inflow toward the X-point as the CME moves away from the reconnection region as in Temmer et al. (2008) and Aschwanden (2009). Of course, we are not sure whether or not this is a downward flow, because this region is close to disk center, and the signal for the narrow flow in the dopplergrams constructed using Hα wing images are weak. But it is worthwhile to compare this observation with others. To our best knowledge, the only other reported bright EUV flow during solar eruption was observed by Tripathi et al. (2006b), in which an EUV downflow near the δ spot region is close to disk center, and the signal for the narrow flow in the dopplergrams constructed using Hα wing images are weak. It is worthwhile to compare this observation with others. To our best knowledge, the only other reported bright EUV flow during solar eruption was observed by Tripathi et al. (2006b), in which an EUV downflow near the δ spot region is close to disk center.

However, we note that this EUV flow can not be regarded as coronal rains because the observed apparent speed is higher than that of ordinary coronal rain (50–100 km s$^{-1}$; Tandberg-Hanssen 1977). Neither can it be gravitationally falling material from the erupting filament f2, because materials would have to accelerate over a distance of 300′ starting from rest at about 19:38 UT, if we take 350 km s$^{-1}$ as the final speed under constant gravitational acceleration. Although it exceeds the TRACE field of view, no signatures of falling materials from high altitude can be visualized up to the time of the flow. We would like to suggest that (1) the reconnection inflow is the most likely explanation for the EUV flow, and (2) the heated materials of close-by preceding flarings might be the reason that the inflow is visible in this particular event.

6.5. The Flare IV

After the lift-off of CME II, the flaring site moved east from the δ spot region. The flare ribbons k4 and k6 separated from each other, with k6 moving from the northern end of the flow into the former k2 region. For the time being, we can only suspect that the CME II might have tearred away nearby magnetic fields in its way out (cf. Balasubramaniam et al. 2005), and the newly brightened separating kernels could just represent the reclosing of such opened field lines. Meanwhile, the positively drifting (downward moving) feature DPS3 in radio dynamic spectrum at the beginning of this flare also remains a puzzling issue. As related studies, Bärta et al. (2008) showed that the plasmoid formed after reconnection can move upwards as well as downwards in dependence on the surrounding magnetic fields. For the downward moving plasmoid, it can further interact with arcades below and the additional energy can be released. Observational evidence of such interaction have been presented by Kolomański & Karlický (2007).

7. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have presented a comprehensive study of the 2005 September 13 eruption that comprises four flares and two fast CMEs. We found that these eruptions originated from various locations along the elongated S-shaped PIL of NOAA AR 10808. Since they occur in one active region within about 1.5 hours, we identified this event with successive flares/CMEs. The event timing and characteristic of each eruption is described in Table 2. We summarize our major findings and interpretations as follows.

1. The whole event started by the eruption of a filament in the northeastern part of the active region. This eruption produced the flare I loops that expanded across the active region along the PIL. These expanding flare loops interacted with the large-scale magnetic fields in the southeast region, and heated the materials to be ejected into the cold flux loops as seen in EUV.

2. The underlying filament subsequently expanded outward as the overlying field weakens. This filament system erupted to become the CME I and the flare II occurred underneath. The type II precursor represents the shock formation in the low corona due to expanding flaring loops. The negatively drifting DPS1 and type radio II burst represent ejection of plasmoids and interaction of the CME flank with the dense coronal streamer, respectively.

3. The CME I could have partially removed overlying magnetic fields in the northwestern δ spot region as implied by the extending coronal dimming. As a result, the sheared core fields erupted outward to interact with the flaring loops of the flare I, which is manifested by the sustained looptop HXR source and footpoint-like HXR/microwave sources during the three high-energy bursts of the flare III. Subsequently, the reconnected loops and another filament erupt to become the CME II.

4. The flare IV shows standard ribbon motion, which indicates reclosing of magnetic fields opened by the CME II. In addition, we found a fast EUV flow and suggest that it should represent the reconnection inflow toward the reconnection site as the CME II moved outward.
We conclude that the event was initiated by a small disturbance from a relatively weak magnetic field, in contrast with other eruptions that initiate from strong magnetic fields such as δ spot (e.g., Liu et al. 2005). This kind of activities evidences a chain reaction of consecutive activities occurred in a single active region, which is similar to the so-called domino effect proposed by Zuccarello et al. (2009). The successive flares and CMEs can be distinguished from the well-known sympathetic flares/CMEs due to multiple eruptions occurring in different active regions. We believe that successive flares and CMEs can be distinguished from the well-evidenced a chain reaction of consecutive activities occurred by other eruptions that initiate from strong magnetic fields such as δ spot (e.g., Liu et al. 2005, 2006, 2007). Successive flares and CMEs can be distinguished from the well known sympathetic flares/CMEs due to multiple eruptions occurring in different active regions. We believe that successive flares and CMEs can be distinguished from the well-evidenced a chain reaction of consecutive activities occurred by other eruptions that initiate from strong magnetic fields such as δ spot (e.g., Liu et al. 2005).
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