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In Brief
Two distinctly timed sodium and potassium electrical drives collaborate to directly control membrane excitability and neuronal function in a circadian manner.
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SUMMARY

Circadian clocks regulate membrane excitability in master pacemaker neurons to control daily rhythms of sleep and wake. Here, we find that two distinctly timed electrical drives collaborate to impose rhythmicity on Drosophila clock neurons. In the morning, a voltage-independent sodium conductance via the NA/NALCN ion channel depolarizes these neurons. This current is driven by the rhythmic expression of NCA localization factor-1, linking the molecular clock to ion channel function. In the evening, basal potassium currents peak to silence clock neurons. Remarkably, daily antiphase cycles of sodium and potassium currents also drive mouse clock neuron rhythms. Thus, we reveal an evolutionarily ancient strategy for the neural mechanisms that govern daily sleep and wake.

INTRODUCTION

Circadian clocks have evolved to align organismal biochemistry, physiology, and behavior to daily environmental oscillations. At the core of these clocks in all multicellular organisms are conserved transcriptional feedback loops (Allada and Chung, 2010; Hardin, 2011). In Drosophila, the bHLH-PAS transcription factor heterodimer CLOCK (CLK) and CYCLE (CYC) directly binds E boxes (CAGTGG) in target promoters of the clock genes, period (per) and timeless (tim), and activates their transcription. PER and TIM proteins feed back to repress CLK/CYC activity. The temporal separation of transcriptional activation and repression and/or mRNA and protein oscillations, in some cases by many hours (Lee et al., 1998), results in robust daily oscillations of per, tim, and other rhythmic transcripts. These molecular clocks, in turn, control a broad range of cellular and physiological responses likely via the rhythmic transcription of clock output genes.

While molecular clocks are expressed in a variety of cell types, those in specific circadian clock neurons in the brain exhibit special properties. These so-called “master” circadian pacemakers, such as the mammalian suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) and the Drosophila lateral and dorsal neurons, drive robust 24 hr rhythms of sleep and wake behavior (Helfrich-Förster, 2005; Mohawk and Takahashi, 2011). Unlike generic clock cells, these clock neurons are interconnected via neural networks and, as a result, produce coherent and sustained free running molecular and behavioral rhythmicity under constant conditions (Flourakis and Allada, 2015; Guo et al., 2014; Peng et al., 2003; Seluzicki et al., 2014; Shafer et al., 2002; Yang and Sehgal, 2001; Yao and Shafer, 2014). Although the anatomical features of brain pacemaker networks are highly divergent between mammals and invertebrates such as Drosophila, their ability to control sleep and wake cycles uniformly depends on daily rhythms of membrane excitability (Cao and Nitabach, 2008; Colwell, 2011; de Jeu et al., 1998; Kuhlman and McMahon, 2004; Sheeba et al., 2008). However, the mechanistic links between the molecular clock and the machinery controlling cellular excitability are not well understood.

Using patch-clamp analysis of the Drosophila DN1p, we show for the first time that circadian clock control of membrane excitability operates via resting sodium leak conductance through the narrow abdomen (NA) channel, providing timed depolarizing drive to circadian pacemaker neurons. We demonstrate that the sodium leak rhythm depends on rhythmic expression of NCA localization factor 1, linking the molecular clock and membrane excitability. We reveal that both flies and mice, separated by hundreds of millions of years in evolution, utilize antiphase oscillations of sodium and potassium conductances to drive clock control of membrane potential. Thus, the conservation of clock mechanisms between invertebrates and vertebrates extends from core timing mechanisms to the control of membrane excitability in the master clock neurons governing sleep and wake.
RESULTS

Rhythmic Resting Potassium and Sodium Leak Currents Collaborate to Drive Clock-Controlled Excitability of the Drosophila Circadian Neurons

To elucidate the mechanistic basis of daily changes in membrane excitability in Drosophila clock neurons, we performed whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology on the posterior dorsal neurons 1 (DN1p) on explanted brains (Flourakis and Allada, 2015; Seluzicki et al., 2014). DN1p neurons harbor molecular circadian clocks, and under 12 hr light–12 hr dark (LD) conditions, they contribute to increases in locomotor activity in advance of lights-on (i.e., morning anticipation) and lights-off (i.e., evening anticipation) (Zhang et al., 2010a, 2010b). In addition to their established function in circadian behavior, the DN1p are an attractive target for patch-clamp analysis, as we can selectively label and identify DN1p neurons using the Clk4.1M-GAL4 driver in combination with UAS-CD8-GFP. Whole-cell access to GFP-labeled neurons was confirmed following diffusion of Alexa Fluor 594 biocytin included in intracellular recording solution. All recorded WT neurons were plotted against time of day (in 4 hr bins) to show daily rhythms of firing frequency (B) and membrane potential (C). Gray areas represent the dark phase of the LD cycle. Asterisks indicate statistical significance (p < 0.05) from a one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test.

(D–F) Representative current-clamp recordings at Zeitgeber Time 2 (ZT2) showing that the per01 DN1p neurons (red) are hyperpolarized and silent compared to WT DN1p neurons (black). Histogram showing the decrease in firing frequency (E) and membrane potential (F) and lack of daily rhythm in per01 (red, 2.2 ± 1.1 Hz, −56 ± 2 mV, n = 15 at ZT0–4 and 3.9 ± 1.5 Hz, −55 ± 1.9 mV, n = 10 at ZT8–12, p > 0.41) when compared to WT (black) DN1p neurons. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM. Asterisks indicate statistical significance (p < 0.05) from t test performed in WT at ZT0–4 versus ZT8–12.

See also Figures S1 and S2 and Tables S1 and S2.
To identify ionic conductances responsible for the resting membrane potential (RMP) rhythm, we blocked action potential firing using the voltage-dependent sodium channel blocker tetrodotoxin (TTX, 10 μM) and then applied a cocktail of potassium (K) channel inhibitors (10 mM TEA, 5 mM 4AP, and 2 mM CsCl) to block both voltage-dependent and voltage-independent (leak) K conductances (Fogle et al., 2011). We subsequently used N-methyl-D-glucamine (NMDG) substitution of extracellular sodium to block sodium leak currents (Jackson et al., 2004; Lu et al., 2007; Raman et al., 2000) at different times of day. As in mammals (Kuhlman and McMahon, 2004) and mollusks (Michel et al., 1993), the effect of blocking K leak conductances in Drosophila was dependent on time of day, producing little change in the morning (Figures 2A, 2B, and 2D) but a sizable effect on membrane potential of sodium blockade (ΔVm ≈ 10 mV; Figure 2A and 2B–2E) than in the evening (Figures 2C–2E). Such time-of-day-dependent effects of sodium channel blockade have not been previously reported. Notably, this time-of-day-dependent effect on membrane potential of sodium blockade (ΔV ≈ 7 mV morning versus evening) is roughly equal to that of potassium blockade, suggesting that each makes a comparable contribution to daily excitability rhythms. As these rhythms are observed during network silencing from TTX, this suggests that changes in RMP are not driven by synaptic inputs but are intrinsic to the cells. Taken together, our results demonstrate that time-of-day-dependent sodium and K conductances, in the morning and evening, respectively, may underlie RMP rhythms.

The Ion Channel NARROW ABDOMEN Controls Drosophila Circadian Pacemaker Rhythms

A candidate mediator of resting sodium conductances in clock neurons and circadian behavior is the NARROW ABDOMEN (NA) ion channel (Lear et al., 2005; Nash et al., 2002). NALCN, the closely conserved mammalian homolog of NA, has been characterized as a voltage-independent mixed cation channel important for setting RMP and mediating resting leak sodium current (Lu et al., 2007; Swayne et al., 2009). This current is not blocked by TTX but can be reduced by either Gd³⁺ or replacement of extracellular sodium with NMDG (Lu et al., 2007). In a 12 hr LD cycle, increases in locomotor activity in advance of lights-on (i.e., morning anticipation) and lights-off (i.e., evening anticipation) are suppressed in na¹har mutants (Lear et al., 2005; Nash et al., 2002). Although NA expression in the DN1p can rescue morning and, to a lesser extent, evening phenotypes (Zhang et al., 2010a), it remains unclear whether NA is a rhythmic mediator of resting membrane potential of circadian clock neurons. We therefore examined clock neuron excitability in na mutant DN1p neurons. Strikingly, na¹har mutant DN1p neurons were completely silent (Figures 3A and 3B) and remained hyperpolarized throughout the whole day (Figure 3C and Table S1B). No daily rhythm in cellular excitability was detected in na¹har (Figure 3D and Table S2C; p > 0.35). Positive current injections show that na¹har mutant neurons fire fewer action potentials compared to controls, indicating that these neurons are healthy and can still generate action potentials but require more depolarizing current to fire at the same rate as WT neurons (Figures 3D and 3E). Wild-type membrane excitability can be restored by inducing NA expression only in the DN1p in the mutant, confirming that these effects are due to na and are likely cell autonomous.

Figure 2. Time-of-Day-Dependent Effects of Resting K and Sodium Leak Conductance Blockade on Membrane Potential in DN1p Neurons

(A–C) (A) Representative current-clamp recording at ZT2 showing the effect of K and sodium conductance blockers on membrane potential. Bars indicate when drugs were applied (blue, TTX 10 μM; red, TEA 10 mM, 4-AP 5 mM, CsCl 2 mM; green, NMDG to replace the sodium from the extracellular solution). The effect of K blockers and sodium replacement on the membrane potential at different times of day are shown in (B) for ZT2 and (C) for ZT10. (D and E) (D) Averaged changes of the membrane potential by K blockers (10 mM TEA, 5 mM 4-AP, and 2 mM CsCl) to block both voltage-dependent and voltage-independent (leak) K conductances in Drosophila was dependent on time of day, producing little change in the morning (Figures 2A, 2B, and 2D) but a sizable effect on membrane potential of sodium blockade (ΔVm ≈ 10 mV; Figure 2A and 2B–2E) than in the evening (Figures 2C–2E). Such time-of-day-dependent effects of sodium channel blockade have not been previously reported. Notably, this time-of-day-dependent effect on membrane potential of sodium blockade (ΔV ≈ 7 mV morning versus evening) is roughly equal to that of potassium blockade, suggesting that each makes a comparable contribution to daily excitability rhythms. As these rhythms are observed during network silencing from TTX, this suggests that changes in RMP are not driven by synaptic inputs but are intrinsic to the cells. Taken together, our results demonstrate that time-of-day-dependent sodium and K conductances, in the morning and evening, respectively, may underlie RMP rhythms.

(A–C) (A) Representative current-clamp recording at ZT2 showing the effect of K and sodium conductance blockers on membrane potential. Bars indicate when drugs were applied (blue, TTX 10 μM; red, TEA 10 mM, 4-AP 5 mM, CsCl 2 mM; green, NMDG to replace the sodium from the extracellular solution). The effect of K blockers and sodium replacement on the membrane potential at different times of day are shown in (B) for ZT2 and (C) for ZT10. (D and E) (D) Averaged changes of the membrane potential by K blockers (10 mM TEA, 5 mM 4-AP, and 2 mM CsCl) to block both voltage-dependent and voltage-independent (leak) K conductances in Drosophila was dependent on time of day, producing little change in the morning (Figures 2A, 2B, and 2D) but a sizable effect on membrane potential of sodium blockade (ΔVm ≈ 10 mV; Figure 2A and 2B–2E) than in the evening (Figures 2C–2E). Such time-of-day-dependent effects of sodium channel blockade have not been previously reported. Notably, this time-of-day-dependent effect on membrane potential of sodium blockade (ΔV ≈ 7 mV morning versus evening) is roughly equal to that of potassium blockade, suggesting that each makes a comparable contribution to daily excitability rhythms. As these rhythms are observed during network silencing from TTX, this suggests that changes in RMP are not driven by synaptic inputs but are intrinsic to the cells. Taken together, our results demonstrate that time-of-day-dependent sodium and K conductances, in the morning and evening, respectively, may underlie RMP rhythms.
NMDG substitution induces an increase in the input resistance, indicating that NA is open at rest (Figure 3F).

We next directly measured voltage-clamped NA-dependent current (INA) at different times of day. A voltage ramp protocol (from –113 mV to +87 mV) was used to measure the inward current at /C0 113 mV, in the presence of TTX. Replacing the sodium from the extracellular solution with NMDG reveals the sodium leak current (Figure 4A). Consistent with the sodium leak current being driven specifically by NA, the observed current is reduced in the nahar mutant neurons and can be restored by rescuing the expression of NA in the mutant (Figure 4A). Measuring INA at different times of day reveals a diurnal modulation of current density: it is higher in the morning and lower in the evening (Figures 4B and 4C and Table S1D).

(Figure 3E and Table S2D). NMDG substitution induces an increase in the input resistance, indicating that NA is open at rest (Figure 3F).

We next directly measured voltage-clamped NA-dependent current (INA) at different times of day. A voltage ramp protocol (from –113 mV to +87 mV) was used to measure the inward current at –113 mV, in the presence of TTX. Replacing the sodium from the extracellular solution with NMDG reveals the sodium leak current (Figure 4A). Consistent with the sodium leak current being driven specifically by NA, the observed current is reduced in the nahar mutant neurons and can be restored by rescuing the expression of NA in the mutant (Figure 4A). Measuring INA at different times of day reveals a diurnal modulation of current density: it is higher in the morning and lower in the evening (Figures 4B and 4C and Table S1D). No rhythm is detected in the nahar control of sodium leak current through NA mediates rhythms of resting membrane potential.

**Nlf-1 Expression is Time Dependent and Is Required for Locomotor Activity Rhythms and NA Leak Current**

To identify molecular links between core clocks and membrane excitability, we employed fluorescence-activated cell sorting of GFP-labeled DN1p and performed RNA-Seq at distinct times during the LD cycle. Using empirical JTK_CYCLE (Hutchison et al., 2015), an updated version of JTK_CYCLE (Hughes et al., 2010), to detect rhythmic transcripts at a false discovery rate of 5% (Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted, p < 0.05), we observed robust 24 hr rhythms in CG33988, the fly ortholog of the NCA localization factor 1 (NLF-1), but not in na itself, its regulatory subunits unc79 and unc80 (Lear et al., 2013), nor the NALCN...
activators such as Src family kinases (Lu et al., 2009), Src42a, and Src64b in flies (Figure 5A). NLF-1 has been previously shown to interact with NA orthologs in worms (NCA-1 and -2) and mammals (Xie et al., 2013). NLF-1 protein is expressed in the endoplasmic reticulum and is required for the proper axonal localization of NCA-1 and -2 (Xie et al., 2013). Rhythmic expression of CG33988/Nlf-1 transcript was further confirmed with quantitative PCR (Figure 5B), and consistent with clock control, knockdown of Nlf-1 transcript was also observed with RNAi (Figure S3 and Tables S3 and S4), consistent with prior NA rescue studies (Lear et al., 2005). Further restricting Nlf-1 knockdown to the DN1p using Clk4.1M-GAL4 resulted in reduced DD rhythmicity (Table S3).

The role of Nlf-1 extends to PDF neurons. Restricting Nlf-1 knockdown to PDF neurons, using two different pdf-GAL4 drivers (pdf-GAL4 and pdfd.5-GAL4 [Park et al., 2006]), dramatically reduces free running rhythms (Table S3), consistent with the highly enriched Nlf-1 transcript observed in larval PDF+sLNv neurons (Nagoshi et al., 2010) and with the described role of NA in PDF neurons (Lear et al., 2005). In addition, we extended our patch-clamp analysis to the large sLNv neurons (Figure S4A). Here, we observed clock-dependent rhythms in membrane properties as previously observed (Figures S4B and S4C) (Cao and Nitabach, 2008; Sheeba et al., 2008). In addition, we found clock-dependent NA current rhythms similar to those we observed for the DN1p, with peak levels in the morning (Figure S4D). Thus, our findings in DN1p extend to other circadian neurons.

We then tested whether Nlf-1 is important for NA current levels, which may reflect the proper channel localization to the cell membrane. Knockdown of Nlf-1 expression was confirmed in the DN1p with quantitative PCR (Figure 6B). We find that knockdown in the DN1p results in a similar phenotype to that observed for na mutants with cells becoming hyperpolarized and silent (Figure 6C). Cellular excitability is also decreased in the Nlf-1 knockdown, as the neurons are less responsive to depolarizing currents (Figure 6D and Table S2E). NA-dependent current was also strongly suppressed after Nlf-1 knockdown (Figure 6E).

Figure 4. The Sodium Leak Current Is under Clock Control in Drosophila Circadian Pacemaker Neurons

(A) Representative time courses showing the sodium leak current \( \langle I_{\text{leak}} \rangle \) recorded at –113 mV from a ramp protocol in WT (black), \( \text{na}^{\text{sh}} \) (red), and \( \text{na}^{\text{na}} \); U-na/Clk4.1M-G4 (blue) DN1p neurons. (B) All recorded WT neurons (black dots) and \( \text{na}^{\text{na}} \) neurons (red dots) are plotted against time of day for sodium leak current \( \langle I_{\text{leak}} \rangle \). (C) Quantification and statistical analysis are shown. Gray areas represent the dark phase of the LD cycle. Red asterisks indicate statistical significance between WT and \( \text{na}^{\text{na}} \) neurons, and black asterisks indicate statistical significance between different time points in WT neurons (p < 0.05) from a one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test. (D) Histograms showing the NA current in WT (black) and \( \text{per}^{\text{F1}} \) (red) DN1ps recorded at different times of day ZT0–4 versus ZT8–12 (for \( \text{per}^{\text{F1}} \), \( \langle I_{\text{NA}} \rangle = 0.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ pA.pF}^{-1}, n = 8 \) at ZT0–4 and 0.5 ± 0.1 \text{ pA.pF}^{-1}, \( n = 7 \) at ZT8–12). Asterisks indicate statistical difference between WT and \( \text{per}^{\text{F1}} \), p < 0.05 from t test. (E) Histograms showing the sodium leak current in WT (black), \( \text{na}^{\text{na}} \) (red), and \( \text{na}^{\text{na}} \); U-na/Clk4.1M-G4 (blue) DN1p neurons at different times of day (ZT0–4 versus ZT8–12) (for \( \text{na}^{\text{na}} \); U-na/Clk4.1M-G4, \( \langle I_{\text{leak}} \rangle = 2.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ pA.pF}^{-1}, n = 4 \) at ZT0–4 and 1.1 ± 0.1 pA.pF\(^{-1}\), \( n = 4 \) at ZT8–12). Results are expressed as mean ± SEM. Asterisks indicate statistical significance (p < 0.05) from a t test.

G4 (blue) DN1p neurons at different times of day (ZT0–4 versus ZT8–12) (for \( \text{na}^{\text{na}} \); U-na/Clk4.1M-G4, \( \langle I_{\text{leak}} \rangle = 2.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ pA.pF}^{-1}, n = 4 \) at ZT0–4 and 1.1 ± 0.1 pA.pF\(^{-1}\), \( n = 4 \) at ZT8–12). Results are expressed as mean ± SEM. Asterisks indicate statistical significance (p < 0.05) from a t test.

To assess the function of NLF-1 in circadian behavior, we knocked down its transcript levels using three independent transgenic dsRNA and shRNA lines in combination with the broad circadian driver tim-GAL4. In contrast to the previously reported weak effect of CG33988 RNAi knockdown on evening behavior (Ghezzi et al., 2014), we found dramatic reductions in rhythmic strength in DD (3/3 lines) and reduced anticipation of lights-on (2/3 lines) and lights-off transitions (3/3 lines) under LD conditions (Figures 6A and S3). These effects are comparable to those observed in loss-of-function na alleles (Lear et al., 2005) and knockdown of na using RNAi (Figure S3 and Tables S3 and S4). Restricting Nlf-1 knockdown to non-PDF clock neurons (tim-GAL4, pdf-GAL80) also caused reduced morning and evening anticipation, as well as reduced rhythmicity (Tables S3 and S4), consistent with prior na rescue studies (Lear et al., 2005). Further restricting Nlf-1 knockdown to the DN1p using Clk4.1M-GAL4 resulted in reduced DD rhythmicity (Table S3).
that, in the evening (ZT8–12) NLF-1 overexpression depolarizes membrane potential, elevates firing rates (Figure 6F) and cellular excitability (Figure S5G and Table S2F), and, most importantly, increases NA current (Figure 6H) at a time when each of those parameters is near their daily trough in wild-type flies. Indeed, sodium leak current density in the evening in Nlf-1 overexpression flies (~2pA.pF⁻¹) is comparable to that seen at peak levels in wild-type flies in the morning. Taken together, these results indicate that Nlf-1 expression is rhythmic and mediates NA activity rhythms. This demonstrates a molecular mechanism linking the core clock to membrane excitability via the rhythmic transcription of a factor important for ion channel function in Drosophila circadian neurons (Figure 6).

**NALCN Current Is under Clock Control in Mammalian SCN Pacemaker Neurons**

Although we demonstrated a rhythmic function for restoring sodium leak in Drosophila clock neurons, rhythmic resting sodium conductances have yet to be described in mammalian clock neurons. Previous patch-clamp analyses of dissociated SCN neurons demonstrated the presence of a NALCN-like current (TTX-resistant, NMDG-sensitive, voltage-independent sodium conductance termed background) that is largely responsible for the initial phase of the depolarizing drive during the interspike interval (Jackson et al., 2004). To determine whether this activity is rhythmic in mammalian circadian pacemaker neurons, we performed voltage-clamp analysis during subjective day and night from organotypic slices containing the SCN from mice entrained for 2 weeks in LD and then maintained under constant darkness conditions for at least 3 weeks. Rhythms in firing frequency, membrane potential, and input resistance were observed, thus validating the preparation (Figures S6A–S6C). In the presence of TTX to block action potentials, the NALCN blockers, NMDG (Figure 7A) or Gd³⁺ (Figure S6D), induce a hyperpolarization, while no additional effect of applying Gd³⁺ after sodium replacement with NMDG was observed (Figure 7B). Importantly, in hippocampal neurons, the vast majority of current with this pharmacological profile is mediated by NALCN (Lu et al., 2007).

To further examine the mechanism by which NLF-1 might regulate NA, we assayed NA protein expression after Nlf-1 knockdown. Nlf-1 knockdown with a broad neuronal driver (elav-G4) also results in strong reductions in rhythmic strength in DD and reduced morning and evening anticipation (Figures S5A and Tables S3 and S4). Surprisingly, NA protein levels were dramatically reduced in these flies (Figure S5B). We also observed lower NA expression (~50% reduction) when na was driven transgenically in the DN1p of Nlf-1 knockdown flies (Figure S5C). In part due to the small soma and limited expression in projections, we could not reliably assess cell membrane or axonal localization. Yet Nlf-1 knockdown does not reduce DN1p na transcript levels (Figure S5D). Nlf-1 knockdown in the DN1p phenocopies a na mutant, suggesting that NA current is nearly abolished (Figure 6E) yet transgenic NA is reduced by just ~50%. Thus, we favor the view that strong effects of Nlf-1 knockdown on NA current are only in part due to changes in NA levels.

If the oscillation of Nlf-1 transcript is critical to setting NA levels and DN1p membrane excitability, we would predict that Nlf-1 overexpression would increase NA current at evening time points when NA current is typically at trough levels. We observed...
Figure 6. Nlf-1 Is Required for Anticipatory Behavior and NA Current

(A) Nlf-1 RNAi-expressing flies (tim-G4/+; U-Dcr2/Nlf-1 RNAi#1) show reduced morning anticipation (Morning Index) and evening anticipation (Evening Index) under LD conditions when compared to genetic controls (Nlf-1 RNAi#1/+ and tim-G4/+; U-Dcr2/CTRL RNAi#1) (t test, p < 0.05).

(B) Nlf-1 expression is reduced in the DN1ps of Nlf-1 RNAi-expressing flies (t test, p < 0.05).

(C) Representative current-clamp recordings at ZT2 showing that the Nlf-1 knockdown DN1p neurons (red) are hyperpolarized and silent compared to control DN1p neurons (black).

(D) Depolarizing current injections confirm the decrease in cellular excitability in Nlf-1 knockdown neurons (red) versus control (black) (p < 0.05).

(E) Sodium leak current density is dramatically reduced in the Nlf-1 knockdown neurons (red) versus control neurons (black) (1.9 ± 0.7 pA.pF⁻¹, n = 4 in Nlf-1 CT and 0.6 ± 0.2 pA.pF⁻¹, n = 5 in Nlf-1 KD, measured at ZT0-4, p < 0.05).

(legend continued on next page)
organotypic slices containing the SCN with pharmacology: NMDG and Gd³⁺ sensitive. No additional block by Gd³⁺ was observed after NMDG application (Figures S6H and S6I). This NMDG-sensitive inward current was greatly reduced in the CamkII-Cre;NALCN⁻/⁻ SCN neurons (Figures 7E and 7F, p = 0.002). Taken together, these data indicate that the vast majority of the sodium leak flowing during the interspike interval in SCN neurons is carried by NALCN (INACL), consistent with other mammalian neurons (Lu et al., 2007). We then assessed INACL at different times of day and found that it was significantly larger during the subjective day than subjective night, consistent with a control by the circadian clock (Figure 7G, p < 0.001).

To determine the impact of a day-night change in INACL (~0.7 pA.pF⁻¹) on firing frequency and membrane potential, we simulated sodium leak current modulation using an updated version of a mathematical model of SCN membrane excitability (Diekman et al., 2013) (see Experimental Procedures). This model accurately captures the effect of NALCN blockers on the membrane potential of SCN neurons (Figure 7H). According to this model, modest daily changes in sodium leak conductance comparable to those observed experimentally can have sizable effects on neuronal firing rates (Figure 7I). To explore the contributions of both sodium and potassium leak currents to the daily variation of firing rate in SCN neurons, we simulated concurrent modulation of these two conductances. Beginning from a subjective day firing rate of 7 Hz, reducing sodium leak conductance by the amount suggested by our experimental measurements (~0.7 pA.pF⁻¹, Figure 7G) decreases firing rate to 2 Hz. Experimentally, we observed that, during the subjective day, INACL is, in fact, positively correlated with firing frequency (Figure S6J), suggesting that INACL significantly impacts neuronal physiology. Even lower firing rates that are characteristic of subjective night (0.5 Hz) can be achieved by increasing potassium leak conductance in conjunction with this reduction in sodium leak (Figures 7J and S7). Thus, elevated sodium leak during the day and elevated potassium leak at night can recapitulate the experimentally observed daily variations in SCN firing rate through relatively modest changes in these leak currents.

**DISCUSSION**

Taken together, our work defines a conserved mechanism for the maintenance of circadian oscillations necessary for robust daily behaviors (Figure 6I) that we term the “bicycle” model. Membrane oscillations are driven by two cycles with opposite temporal phases analogous to cycling bicycle pedals. During the morning/day, sodium leak mediated by NA/NALCN is elevated while resting K currents are reduced, depolarizing the neuron to promote elevated firing rates. During the evening/night, sodium leak is low and resting K currents are elevated, hyperpolarizing the cell to suppress firing rates. The clock-controlled transcript Nlf-1 drives the rhythm of NA/NALCN current, linking the core clock to ion channel activity.

While *Drosophila* has been a well-established model for defining molecular genetic mechanisms, relatively little is known about the specific ionic currents that underlie fly pacemaker neuron excitability rhythms due to the small size of *Drosophila* soma. Most cellular electrophysiological analyses have focused on the largest cells, the large ventral lateral neurons (Cao and Nitabach, 2008; Fogle et al., 2011, 2015; Sheeba et al., 2008). Yet, even in these neurons, the specific ionic currents under clock control have yet to be defined. Using whole-cell, patch-clamp electrophysiology of DN1p pacemaker neurons, we found high-amplitude oscillations of spontaneous firing rates and basal membrane potential that are comparable to those observed in mammalian SCN clock neurons. Moreover, we demonstrate clock control of both resting sodium leak conductance as well as resting potassium conductance. Our data suggest that the patch-clamp analysis of the DN1p will be valuable in defining the ionic currents that mediate clock control of neuronal excitability.

Our data indicate that the daily changes in membrane excitability that we observe are cell autonomous. The observed cycles of resting currents are evident even in the presence of TTX. Bath application of TTX silences neurons and thus would block firing-dependent neurotransmitter release. Moreover, cycling sodium leak currents are driven by the transcriptional oscillation of *Nlf-1*, providing a cell-autonomous mechanism for clock control. We propose that cell-autonomous clock regulation collaborates with rhythmic network inputs, such as PDF, which likely act in the morning to excite DN1p neurons (Kunst et al., 2014; Seluzicki et al., 2014). In turn, DN1p excitation drives waking behavior in the morning (Kunst et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2010a, 2010b) and free running rhythmicity, perhaps via the DH44 neurons in the pars intercerebralis (Cavanaugh et al., 2014). As the DN1p are also important for evening behavior (Zhang et al., 2010a), evening silencing may permit other neurons (e.g., the LNd) to drive evening behavior.

The clock control of membrane potential has largely focused on modulation of resting potassium conductance in the SCN (Kuhlman and McMahon, 2004) as well as in *Bulla* photoreceptors (Michel et al., 1993; Michel et al., 1999). Surprisingly, we observed rhythms of sodium leak conductance in the fly
Figure 7. NALCN Current Is under Clock Control in Mammalian SCN Pacemaker Neurons

(A) Representative current-clamp recording showing the role of the TTX-resistant sodium leak (difference between green and blue) in setting the membrane potential of mammalian SCN neurons.

(B) NMDG hyperpolarizes the cell with no additional effect in the presence of Gd3+. NMDG-evoked hyperpolarization was reduced in a brain-specific knockout of NALCN.

(D) Quantification and statistical analysis of the NMDG-evoked hyperpolarization is shown: \(-15.9 \pm 2.0 \text{ mV}, n = 9\) in controls (black triangle) and \(-4.5 \pm 1.7 \text{ mV}, n = 4\) (red triangle). Asterisks indicate statistical significance (t test, \(p = 0.005\)).

(E) Action potential clamp recordings showing the sodium leak flowing during the interspike interval in SCN neurons from sibling control (left) and CamkIIa-Cre;NALCNfx/fx animals (right). In the presence of TTX and K blockers (blue trace), the sodium leak current flowing during the interspike interval \(I_{\text{NALCN}}\) was reduced after sodium substitution with NMDG (green trace). The sodium leak current \(I_{\text{NALCN}}\) was revealed by subtracting the inward current in the presence of NMDG from the inward current present with TTX and K blockers.

(F) \(I_{\text{NALCN}}\) was reduced in CamkIIa-Cre;NALCNfx/fx compared to sibling controls animals \((0.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ pA.pF}^{-1}, n = 6\) in CamkIIa-Cre;NALCNfx/fx [red triangle] and \(1.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ pA.pF}^{-1}, n = 5\) in sibling controls [black triangle]). Asterisks indicate statistical significance (t test, \(p = 0.002\)).

(G) Circadian variation of \(I_{\text{NALCN}}\): \(1.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ pA.pF}^{-1}, n = 25\) during the subjective day (gray columns) and \(0.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ pA.pF}^{-1}, n = 23\) during the subjective night (black columns). Asterisks indicate statistical significance (t test, \(p < 0.001\)). Green dots represent individual cells.

(legend continued on next page)
DN1ps and l-LNvs, as well as in mammalian SCN, that are mediated by the NA/NALCN channel. This sodium leak exhibits the pharmacological sensitivity previously defined for the NALCN current (Lu et al., 2007), most notably NMDG\(^{-}\) and Gd\(^{3+}\) block. In addition, the current is reduced in na mutant flies and in mice with a brain-specific knockout of NALCN. Clock modulation of this sodium current also likely impacts neurophysiology. Loss-of-function na mutants and NALCN knockout result in silent and hyperpolarized neurons. Computational modeling of SCN neurons demonstrates that the modest daily rhythm of sodium leak can significantly impact overall firing rates. Thus, our work defines a molecular mechanism for clock control of membrane excitability.

Using a combination of genomics, electrophysiology, and behavior, our work reveals a molecular pathway that links the transcriptional clock to these current sodium rhythms. The mechanisms of clock control of membrane excitability have largely focused on the direct clock control of ion channel transcripts (Itri et al., 2005; Kudo et al., 2011; Meredith et al., 2006; Nahm et al., 2005; Pennartz et al., 2002; Pitts et al., 2006). Using RNA-seq and qPCR validation on FACS-sorted DN1p neurons, we identify robust rhythms of Nlf-1, an ER protein that is important for the localization of NALCN and its orthologs (Xie et al., 2013). Moreover, RNAi knockdown of Nlf-1 results in suppression of behavioral rhythms, NA expression, and related current. Conversely, NLF-1 overexpression increases NA current, firing frequency, and membrane potential in the evening when these parameters are typically at their troughs in wild-type flies, suggesting that Nlf-1 controls activity and/or localization of NA. Chromatin immunoprecipitation has demonstrated rhythmic CLK binding at the Nlf-1 locus (Abruzzi et al., 2011). Our cell-specific knockdown experiments indicate that Nlf-1 functions broadly within the clock network to control morning and evening anticipation as well as DD rhythms, suggesting that this mechanism is widely applied. Future work will be required to determine whether Nlf-1/NA rhythms in morning and evening cells have distinct phases. Nonetheless, we have defined a molecular pathway that directly links CLK-driven transcriptional oscillations to NA current and behavioral rhythms.

This mechanism may not only be operating in clock neurons but may also be broadly involved in rhythmic changes in brain states. For instance, NALCN is critical to the maintenance of respiratory rhythms (Lu et al., 2007). Both fly and worm na/nca loss of function results in disrupted locomotion as well as altered sensitivity to general anesthetics (Humphrey et al., 2007). na mutant flies also show altered behavioral state transitions related to sleep and anesthesia (Joiner et al., 2013). More generally, the NA/NALCN current shown here has an identical electrophysiological profile to the tonic cation current required for regular firing in neurons of the mouse cerebellar nuclei (Raman et al., 2000).

Our work also demonstrates that, like the core molecular clock, clock control of membrane potential is also widely conserved in neurons important for sleep and wake. We hypothesize that the common ancestor of the mouse and the fly had master circadian pacemaker neurons that drove its daily behavior. Moreover, these clock neurons employed daily antiphase sodium and potassium conductances to drive their rhythmic activity. Thus, our finding suggests an ancient strategy governing neuronal activity important for driving daily cycles of sleep and wake.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Please see the Supplemental Experimental Procedures for detailed protocols.

Electrophysiological Recordings from Drosophila Neurons

Whole-brain electrophysiology experiments were performed with pipettes (10–14 MΩ) filled with internal solution. The sodium leak current (I}_Na\) was examined in the presence of TTX (10 μM), TEA (10 mM), 4-AP (5 mM), and CsCl (2 mM) and was revealed by replacing the extracellular sodium with NMDG (Lu et al., 2007). All recordings were corrected for liquid junction potential (13 mV). For analysis, cells with high series resistance or with low membrane resistance (< 1GΩ) were discarded.

RNA Isolation, Amplification, and Sequencing

RNA was isolated and amplified as previously described (Kula-Eversole et al., 2013). The quality and quantity of dsDNA was assessed on Bioanalyzer (Agilent). After quality control, libraries were generated using TruSeq Sample Preparation Guide (following manufacturer’s protocol [Illumina]). The RNA-seq was performed on HiSeq2000 (Illumina). Bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009) was used to align short-read aligner to references (obtained from http://flybase.org). Quantification was performed using eXpress (Roberts et al., 2011). Rhythmic transcripts were detected using empirical JTK_CYCLE (Hutchison et al., 2013), and transcripts were considered robustly rhythmic when the Benjamini-Hochberg corrected p value or false discovery rate < 0.05. Empirical JTK_CYCLE derives p values empirically considering asymmetric waveforms.

Mathematical Modeling

Simulations of a Hodgkin-Huxley type model of SCN membrane excitability were performed using MATLAB R2012b (Mathwork). The model was fit to experimental data from SCN neurons and consists of a system of ordinary differential equations for membrane potential (V) and six ionic gating variables (m, h, n, r, f, and b).

\[ \frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{I_{app}}{C_m} - \frac{V - E_K}{\tau_V} \]

\[ dx = x_s(V) - x \quad x = m, h, n, r, f, b \]

(h) Simulations showing the role of TTX-resistant sodium leak in setting the membrane potential using a mathematical model of SCN membrane excitability. Voltage traces from control simulation (g_{Na} = 229 nS, g_{NALCN} = 0.22 nS) and simulated application of TTX (g_{Na} = 0 nS) and NMDG (g_{NALCN} = 0 nS).

(i) The model predicts the magnitude of change in firing rate as a function of magnitude of change in NALCN current density (g_{NALCN} - 0.12 to 0.22 nS). A decrease of 0.74 pA.pF\(^{-1}\) in I_{NALCN} (observed between the subjective day and night [GI]) leads to a 5 Hz decrease in firing rate.

(J) Firing rate as a function of g_{NALCN} and g_{INALCN}, with some other parameters set to the median values. Arrows: decreasing g_{NALCN} alone reduces firing rate from 7 Hz to 2 Hz, whereas increasing g_{INALCN} reduces firing rate from 7 Hz to 6 Hz. Concurrently decreasing g_{NALCN} and increasing g_{INALCN} reduces firing rate from 7 Hz to 0.5 Hz. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM. See also Figures S6 and S7.
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
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Figure S1. Patch-Clamp Analysis of DN1p Neurons Demonstrates Robust Rhythms of Membrane Excitability, Related to Figure 1
(A) Four representative whole-cell current-clamp recordings from four different DN1ps obtained at different times of day (from top to bottom: ZT2, 6, 12, 18) and (B) two representative cell-attached current-clamp recordings from 2 different DN1ps obtained at ZT0 and 12 show rhythms in firing frequency. (C) Recording from same cells in both cell-attached mode and whole-cell mode shows similar firing frequency (linear regression: \( r^2 = 0.86, p = 0.00157, n = 9 \)). (D) Cell-attached recordings confirm rhythms of firing frequency (8.3 ± 1 Hz, n = 5 at ZT0-4 and 2.6 ± 0.9 Hz, n = 5 at ZT8-12, p = 0.003). (E) In whole-cell configuration, depolarizing current injections in wild-type also shows rhythms in cellular excitability. f-I curves of WT measured at ZT0-4 versus ZT8-12 (p < 0.001) (respectively gray and black). (F) All recorded WT neurons (green dots) are plotted against time of day for input resistance. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM.
Figure S2. The Rhythmic Membrane Properties of the Drosophila Circadian Pacemaker Neurons Are Clock Controlled, Related to Figure 1

Depolarizing current injections confirms the lack of rhythms in cellular excitability in the per^{iS} neurons (light red: ZT0, dark red ZT12, p > 0.41) (A) and the decrease in cellular excitability in the per^{iS} neurons (red) versus wild-type (black) (B). Results are expressed as mean ± SEM. Asterisks indicate statistical significance (t test, p < 0.05).
Figure S3. *Nlf-1* Expression Is Required for Diurnal Rhythmic Activity, Related to Figure 6

(A) *Nlf-1* RNAi #2 (from VDRC), (B) *Nlf-1* RNAi #3 (from NIG, Japan), (C) *na* RNAi (from VDRC) lines were crossed to the broad circadian driver tim-G4. The *Nlf-1* or *na* knockdown flies (tim-G4/*Nlf-1* RNAi #2; U-Dcr2/+ in (A), tim-G4/+; U-Dcr2/*Nlf-1* RNAi #3 in (B) and tim-G4/*na* RNAi; U-Dcr2/+ in (C) were compared to their appropriate genetic controls (respectively *Nlf-1* RNAi#2/+ and tim-G4/CTRL RNAi #2; U-Dcr2/+ in (A), *Nlf-1* RNAi #3/+ and tim-G4/+; U-Dcr2/CTRL RNAi #3 in (B), *na* RNAi/+ and tim-G4/+; U-Dcr2/+ in (C). Morning Index (black) and Evening Index (blue) are measures of morning/evening anticipation, respectively. Asterisks indicate differences statistically significant in comparison to controls (ANOVA, p < 0.02).
Figure S4. The Cellular Excitability and NA Current of the Drosophila l-LNvs Circadian Pacemaker Neurons Are Clock Controlled, Related to Figure 6

(A) Schematic and image of the Drosophila brain indicating the location of the l-LNvs and other clock neurons. Representative images of the GFP-expressing l-LNvs in the intact Drosophila brain are shown below. The l-LNvs were labeled by using the Pdf-G4 driving the expression of U-CD8-GFP. Whole-cell access to GFP labeled neurons was confirmed following diffusion of Alexa Fluor 594 biocytin included in intracellular recording solution. Histograms showing rhythms in wild-type (WT) and the decrease and lack of rhythms in firing frequency (B), membrane potential (C) and sodium leak current density (D) in nahar (red) and per01 (blue) when compared to WT (black) l-LNvs neurons (respectively for WT: 1.5 ± 0.3 Hz, -57 ± 1.1 mV, 0.6 ± 0.1 pA.pF⁻¹ n = 18 at ZT0-4 and 0.7 ± 0.2 Hz, -55.6 ± 1.1 mV, 0.3 ± 0.0 pA.pF⁻¹, n = 13 at ZT8-12; for nahar: 0.1 ± 0.0 Hz, -66.2 ± 2.0 mV, 0.3 ± 0.0 pA.pF⁻¹ n = 11 at ZT0-4 and 0.4 ± 0.2 Hz, -59.5 ± 2.4 mV, 0.4 ± 0.0 pA.pF⁻¹, n = 11 at ZT8-12; for per01: 0.4 ± 0.2 Hz, -55.4 ± 1.3 mV, 0.3 ± 0.0 pA.pF⁻¹ n = 11 at ZT0-4 and 0.6 ± 0.2 Hz, -55.2 ± 1.2 mV, 0.3 ± 0.0 pA.pF⁻¹ n = 12 at ZT8-12). Results are expressed as mean ± SEM. Asterisks indicate statistical significance (p < 0.05) from t test.
Figure S5. Nlf-1 Expression Is Required for NA Expression, Related to Figure 6

(A) Morning and evening anticipation are reduced in Nlf-1 knockdown flies (CTRL: elav-G4; CTRL RNAi#1/+ versus Nlf-1 RNAi expressing flies (Nlf-1 KD: elav-G4; Nlf-1 RNAi#1/+). (B) Western blot analyses show reduction in NA expression in Nlf-1 RNAi expressing flies (Nlf-1 KD: elav-G4; Nlf-1 RNAi#1) (2 left lanes) versus control flies (CTRL: elav-G4; CTRL RNAi#1/+). Quantitation of total levels is shown (n = 2). (C) Anti-HA immunostaining of DN1ps in CTRL (top: U-CD8-GFP/U-naHA; Clk4.1M-G4/+ versus control flies (CTRL: elav-G4; CTRL RNAi#2/+). Quantification of total NAHA levels is shown (p = 0.0032). From FACS sorted DN1p neurons, na mRNA levels were indistinguishable in Nlf-1 RNAi expressing flies U-CD8-GFP/+; Clk4.1M-G4/+ versus controls (U-CD8-GFP/+; Clk4.1M-G4/CTRL RNAi#1, n = 2) (D). Results are expressed as mean ± SEM.
Figure S6. Rhythmic Membrane Properties of Wild-Type and NALCN Knockout SCN Pacemaker Neurons, Related to Figure 7

Circadian variations of firing frequency (A), membrane potential (B) and input resistance (C) were detected (during the subjective day: 4.9 ± 1.4 Hz, -63 ± 0.9 mV, 1.6 ± 0.1 Ω (n = 16), and during the subjective night: 0.8 ± 0.4 Hz, -69.3 ± 1.1 mV, 1.1 ± 0.1 Ω (n = 11), respectively). Green dots represent individual cells. The sodium leak observed in the SCN neurons shares identical properties to NALCN: blocking the sodium leak with Gd^3+ hyperpolarizes the neurons and decreases firing rate (D). Membrane potential (E) and firing frequency (F) of CamkIIa-Cre;NALCN^fx/fx (red triangle) versus sibling controls (black triangle) (0 Hz, -81.2 ± 5.3 mV in CamkIIa-Cre;NALCN^fx/fx, (n = 6) and 2.9 ± 0.9 Hz, -63.5 ± 0.9 mV in sibling controls (n = 7), respectively). (G) High firing frequency can be restored in CamkIIa-Cre;NALCN^fx/fx neurons by injecting a depolarizing current (+25 pA). (H) Action potential clamp recordings showing the sodium leak flowing during the interspike interval in SCN neurons. Top panel shows recorded action potentials used as a voltage command to measure currents flowing during the interspike interval (bottom panel). Blue trace represent currents recorded in the presence of TTX and K blockers. The sodium leak current flowing during the interspike interval was revealed after sodium substitution with NMDG (green trace). Subtracted currents (purple trace) were calculated by subtracting the currents recorded with NMDG to the currents recorded without NMDG. The pharmacological profile of this sodium leak is shown in (I) (TTX-resistant in blue, NMDG and Gd^3+ sensitive (in green). Asterisks indicate statistical significance (t-test, p < 0.05). (J) During the subjective day, INALCN is positively correlated to firing frequency and (linear regression: r^2 = 0.68, p = 0.019); individual cells are shown in green dots. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM.
Figure S7. Modulation of Sodium and Potassium Leak Conductances in a Mathematical Model of SCN Membrane Excitability, Related to Figure 7

Voltage traces from our simulations are shown with (A) $g_{K\text{-leak}} = 0.04$ and $g_{NALCN} = 0.22$ nS, and (B) $g_{K\text{-leak}} = 0.06$ and $g_{NALCN} = 0.123$ nS.
A Conserved Bicycle Model for Circadian Clock Control of Membrane Excitability
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**Supplemental Experimental Procedures**

**Electrophysiological recordings from *Drosophila* circadian neurons**

Whole brain electrophysiology experiments were performed on an Ultima two-photon laser scanning microscope (Bruker, former Prairie Technologies, Middleton, WI) equipped with galvanometers driving a Coherent Chameleon laser. Fluorescence was detected with photomultiplier tube. Images were acquired with an upright Zeiss Axiovert microscope with a 40×0.9 numerical aperture water immersion objective at 512 pixels × 512 pixels resolution and 1-μm steps. Current-clamp and voltage-clamp recordings were performed with pipettes (10–14 MΩ) filled with internal solution containing the following (in mM): 102 K-gluconate, 0.085 CaCl₂, 1.7 MgCl₂, 17 NaCl, 0.94 EGTA, 8.5 HEPES, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Tris-GTP, and 14 phosphocreatine (di-tris salt), pH 7.2, 235 Osm. To visualize the recorded cell, Alexa Fluor 594 biocytin (10µM) was added into the intracellular solution. Recordings were made using Axopatch 200B patch-clamp amplifier, digitized with a Digidata 1320 A, and acquired with pCLAMP software (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA). The sodium leak current (I_{Na}) was examined in the presence of TTX (10µM), TEA (10mM), 4-AP (5mM) and CsCl (2mM), and I_{Na} was revealed by replacing the extracellular sodium with NMDG (Lu et al., 2007). In voltage-clamp mode, a step protocol (from -113mV to +87mV steps for 300ms) was used to study inward currents. All recordings were corrected for liquid junction potential (13mV). Most chemicals were purchased from Sigma (St Louis, MO). For analysis, cells with high series resistance or with low membrane resistance (<1GΩ) were discarded.

**Electrophysiological recordings from SCN neurons**

For patch-clamp experiments, slices were transferred to an incubating chamber and continuously
perfused with oxygenated ACSF throughout the recording period. Slices were kept at 35°C for at least 20 min and no more than 3 h before recording. Current-clamp and voltage-clamp recordings were performed with pipettes (5–8 MΩ) filled with internal solution containing the following in mM: 130 K-gluconate, 2 MgCl₂, 10 KCl, 0.5 EGTA, 20 HEPES, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Tris-GTP, and 14 phosphocreatine (di-tris salt), pH 7.3, 280-290 mOsm. To avoid loss of critical intracellular components after break-in, membrane properties were obtained in the initial 4 minutes of being in the whole-cell configuration. The firing frequency was measured during a 1-minute recording. The input resistance was measured by injecting small hyperpolarizing currents from -5pA to -25pA (5pA increment). For spontaneously active neurons, a small hyperpolarizing holding current was injected to silence the cell. The input resistance was calculated by measuring the slope of the obtained VI curve. The action-potential clamp technique was done as described in (Jackson et al., 2004). Action potentials were recorded in “fast” current clamp mode of an AxoPatch200B amplifier to avoid distortion. The recorded action potentials were then used as a command in voltage-clamp mode. The series resistance was compensated to allow accurate current measurements. All recordings were corrected for liquid junction potential (16.5mV). For analysis, cells with high series resistance or with low membrane resistance (<1GΩ) were discarded.

**Fluorescence activated cell sorting of DN1p neurons**

DN1p neurons were labeled with GFP using the Clk4.1M-G4 driver. Brains were dissected and processed as described previously (Kula-Eversole et al., 2010). Before FACS cell sorting cells were filtered using 100µM filter and propidium iodide (130ng/ul) was added to distinguish between dead and alive cells. Cells were sorted on Aria II FACS Cell Sorter (BD Biosciences).
into an extraction buffer in the Northwestern Flow Cytometry facility. Subsequently, the cells were lysed and stored at -80°C until RNA extraction as described previously (Nagoshi et al., 2010). We typically used 300-500 cells from 40-45 brains per time points for mRNA isolation.

*Drosophila* genetics and circadian behavioral assay

*nah* or *wild-type* virgin females were crossed to *U-CD8-GFP; Clk4.1M-G4/TM6C* males flies. Respectively wild type, *nah*; U-CD8-GFP /+; Clk4.1M-G4/+ or *per*; U-CD8-GFP /+; Clk4.1M-G4/+ males were collected from each cross at least 24h before recordings. For rescue experiments, *nah*;; *U-na* virgin flies were crossed to *U-CD8-GFP; Clk4.1M-G4/TM6C* males and *nah*; U-CD8-GFP /+; *U-na/Clk4.1M-G4* males were collected for recordings. To generate the *Nlf-1* knockdown flies for electrophysiological recordings, the RNAi line (RNAi#1: BDSC#36754) or the appropriate background control were crossed to *U-CD8-GFP; Clk4.1M-G4/TM6C*. Adult progeny were kept in an entrainment incubator with a 12 hours light: 12 hours dark (LD) cycle at 25°C until recording.

For targeted expression of our V5 3’ tagged *Nlf-1* construct, the *nlf-1* coding sequence was amplified from a cDNA library (Phusion polymerase, NEB), where the tag was incorporated into the reverse primer. Primer sequences were as following: forward primer: CAAACATGCGGCCAGGACCCGGCTGGTC, reverse primer: CTACGTGCTATCTAGACCAAGAAGAGGGTTAGGTATAGGCTTACCTAAGGCCCGCCTGCTCAGG. The *Nlf-1* PCR fragment was cloned into a TOPO TA plasmid vector, following the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen, kit No. K2520). The final expression construct was obtained using the Gateway system, following the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen, kit No. 11791-020). In brief, pCR8/GW/TOPO-NLF1V5 was recombined with pGTW (a *Drosophila*
pUAST Gateway vector developed in the laboratory of Dr. Marco Gallio, Northwestern University) to obtain pGTW-NLF1\textsuperscript{V5}. The correct \textit{nlf-1} sequence from pGTW-NLF1 was verified by sequencing. Transgenic flies were obtained by phiC31-mediated transformation using attP2 landing sites (BestGene, Inc). UAS-NA\textsuperscript{HA} was generated by using GalK-mediated recombineering to insert an HA tag in the S5-S6 (I) region: \ldots NNTEYDLYPYDVPDYADLYKR\ldots.

For behavioral experiments, transgenic flies carrying RNAi constructs were obtained from the Transgenic RNAi Project (TRiP) (Nlf-1 RNAi\#1: BDSC\#36754 and control CTRL RNAi\#1: \#36303), Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center (VDRC) (Nlf-1 RNAi\#2: VDRC\#v107439, na RNAi: VDRC\#v103754 and control CTRL RNAi\#2: \#60100) and National Institute of Genetics (NIG) (RNA\#3: NIG\#13595-R3 and control CTRL RNAi\#3: NIG w1118). The RNAi lines were used to generate the \textit{Nlf-1} or \textit{na} knockdown flies. For behavioral experiments, the RNAi lines or appropriate controls were crossed to \textit{tim-G4;U-Dcr2}. Fly behavior was recorded using the Drosophila Activity Monitoring system (Trikinetics) and analyzed using ClockLab and the Counting Macro as described (Pfeiffenberger et al., 2010). Briefly, male flies were fed on 5% sucrose-agar medium in 5LD7DD conditions at 25\degree C. LD eductions were obtained using averaged data in 30-minute bins across days 2–5 of the behavior run. DD period and rhythmicity data were calculated in ClockLab with period measurements taken only from flies in which the Power-Significance (P-S) \( \geq 10 \). Morning and evening anticipations (increase in activity before lights on or off) were calculated as follows: activity from each of four days of LD behavior recorded for each individual fly was analyzed such that the morning/evening index = ((total activity 3 h prior to lights-on or off)/(total activity 6 h prior to lights-ON or OFF)) - (0.5). 0.5 was subtracted so flat activity over the six hours analyzed is equal to 0. This is a variant of the
method described in (Harrisingh et al., 2007).

**Drosophila** brain dissection

The dissection protocol was adapted from Gu and O’Dowd (Gu and O'Dowd, 2007) and detailed in (Flourakis and Allada, 2015). Brains from male adults *Drosophila* were removed from their heads in ice-cold control recording solution (in mM: 101 NaCl, 1 CaCl₂, 4 MgCl₂, 3 KCl, 5 glucose, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, and 20.7 NaHCO₃, pH 7.2, 250 mOsm). The connective tissue, air sacs, and trachea were removed with fine forceps. No enzymatic treatment was used to avoid removing ion channels from the cell surface. Brains were then transferred to a recording chamber for electrophysiological recordings. Brains were held ventral side down by a harp slice grid with silica fibers from ALA scientific. Brains were allowed to rest in continuously flowing oxygenated saline (95% oxygen and 5% carbon dioxide) for at least 10 min and no more than 2 h before recording. Perfusion with oxygenated saline was continued throughout the recording period. Time of recording is used to determine Zeitgeber Time (ZT).

**Mice and brain slice preparation**

All animal care and handling procedures were conducted according to IACUC approved methods. 3-month-old C57BL6 wild-type mice were housed in light-tight boxes to maintain a 12 hours light: 12 hours dark (LD) or 12 hours dark: 12 hours light (DL) schedule for two weeks. Each animal was housed in a cage that contains a running wheel (10 cm diameter), whereby a switch transmitted a signal for every revolution of the wheel. Following two weeks of acclimation, the mice were either sacrificed for patch-clamp experiments or switched to constant darkness (DD) for at least three weeks to monitor their free running period. For each animal the
onset of activity was calculated using ClockLab (Actimetrics, Wilmette, IL) and each animal was then sacrificed at either Circadian Time (CT2- subjective day) or CT14 (subjective night) depending on experimental time needed. The brain was quickly removed and placed in oxygenated ice-cold low Ca$^{2+}$ Artificial CerebroSpinal Fluid slicing solution (low Ca$^{2+}$ ACSF) (composition in mM: 95 NaCl, 0.5 CaCl$_2$, 7 MgSO$_4$, 1.8 KCl, 15 glucose, 1.2 KH$_2$PO$_4$, 26 NaHCO$_3$, and sucrose 50, pH 7.4, 300 mOsm). A vibrating blade microtome was used to obtain a 300µM thick slice containing the paired SCN. The slices were then transferred and incubated for at least 1 hour in regular oxygenated ACSF (composition in mM: 127 NaCl, 2.4 CaCl$_2$, 1.3 MgSO$_4$, 1.8 KCl, 15 glucose, 1.2 KH$_2$PO$_4$, and 26 NaHCO$_3$, pH 7.4, 300 mOsm) at room temperature.

Generation of a brain specific knockout of NALCN.

The $NALCN^{fx/fx}$ mice were generated using an ES cell line (in the C57BL6 background, from KOMP) with NALCN’s exons 4 and 5 floxed. The β-gal and neomycin-resistance genes from the targeting vector were removed by crossing the mice to Flippase mice. Brain specific knockout animals were generated by crossing the $CamkIla-Cre$ mice (Casanova et al., 2001) to the $NALCN^{fx/fx}$ mice. Because $CamkIla-Cre;NALCN^{fx/fx}$ animals die at ~21 days, animals were genotyped and sacrificed between P17 and P21 and organotypic slices containing the SCN were obtained as previously described. All animals (males) were age-matched for electrophysiological recordings.

Statistical analysis

Electrophysiological analyses were performed using Clampfit 9 (Axon Instruments, Union City,
CA). Statistical analyses were performed and graphs were generated using Origin (Originlab, Northampton, MA, USA). The results were expressed as mean±S.E.M. Most statistical tests were two sample student t-test and differences were considered statistically significant when p<0.05. Where appropriate in the graphs, * denotes p<0.05 and ** denotes p<0.001. For analyses of daily rhythms in membrane properties and transcripts expression, one-way ANOVA Tukey’s post-hoc test was used to compare different time points and genotypes.

**mRNA analysis by qPCR in Drosophila.**

Cells were processed as described previously (Kula-Eversole et al., 2010). cDNA from three independent replicas were analyzed per time-point on a BioRad CFX384 real-time PCR system. mRNA was quantified as described previously (Nagoshi et al., 2010). ANOVA was used to determine statistically significant differences between time-points (p<0.05). The following primers were used to examine gene expression:

For *Nlf-1* knockdown  
forward 5’-GACTTGCAGGGTCAGTGCTC-3’,  
reverse 5’-CCGCATCTGGGTTGTCTTAT-3’.

*Nlf-1* cycling  
forward, 5’-CGGACTCCGAAGTGGATAAG-3’,  
reverse 5’-ACGACGCTTACGGAACTCTG-3’.

*Calmodulin*  
forward 5’-GGCACCATCACAACAAAGG-3’,  
reverse 5’-CTTCTCGGATCTCTTCTTCCG-3’.

**Western blot.**

Western blot experiments and quantitation were performed similarly to previous reports (Lear et al., 2013; Lear et al., 2005). Briefly, adult head extracts were obtained from mixed light phase samples (ZT 0-10), and equal amounts of protein were loaded in each lane as determined by
Bradford assay (BioRad). Lane order was varied between experiments to control for uneven transfer. Blots were probed with Rabbit anti-NA (Nash et al., 2002), and protein levels were measured using NIH ImageJ gel analysis (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/). To account for intensity differences based on exposure, protein levels were normalized to the average intensity of each sample within the blot (Aldridge et al., 2008).

*Drosophila* brain immunostaining.

Immunostainings were performed as described previously (Seluzicki et al., 2014). Briefly, flies were entrained in 12-h light, 12-h dark (LD) cycles at 25°C. Brains were dissected in PBS (pH 7.5) and fixed in PBS + 3.7% formaldehyde for 1h shaking at room temperature. After three PBT (PBS + 0.3% Triton X-100) rinses, the primary antibody solution (rat anti-HA (1:800)) was added in a solution of PBS, 10% goat normal serum (GNS), and 0.3% Triton X-100. Brains were incubated for three nights shaking at 4°C. After the primary incubation, brains were washed 3× in PBS +0.3% Triton X-100 and secondary antibody (for HA: goat anti-rat Alexa 594, Molecular probes) was added at 1:500. Fluorescence intensity levels were measured using NIH ImageJ.
Table S1. Diurnal Variation of Membrane Properties and $I_{\text{NA}}$ in DN1p Neurons, Related to Figures 1, 3, and 6

A: Diurnal Variation of Firing Frequency (in Hz±SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wild type</th>
<th>$na^\text{bar}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZT0-4</td>
<td>8.55±0.78</td>
<td>0 n=19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT4-8</td>
<td>5.50±1.22</td>
<td>0 n=15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT8-12</td>
<td>1.59±0.41</td>
<td>0 n=23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT12-16</td>
<td>0.22±0.22</td>
<td>0 n=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT16-20</td>
<td>4.20±1.09</td>
<td>0 n=3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT20-24</td>
<td>8.03±1.34</td>
<td>0 n=3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B: Diurnal Variation of Membrane Potential (in mV±SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wild type</th>
<th>$na^\text{bar}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZT0-4</td>
<td>-49.05±0.67</td>
<td>n=19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT4-8</td>
<td>-51.93±1.59</td>
<td>n=15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT8-12</td>
<td>-57.93±0.99</td>
<td>n=23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT12-16</td>
<td>-59.29±0.97</td>
<td>n=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT16-20</td>
<td>-54.13±0.47</td>
<td>n=3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT20-24</td>
<td>-49.00±0.41</td>
<td>n=4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C: Diurnal Variation of Input Resistance (in GΩ±SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wild type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZT0-4</td>
<td>2.15±0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT4-8</td>
<td>2.40±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT8-12</td>
<td>2.22±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT12-16</td>
<td>2.42±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT16-20</td>
<td>2.53±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT20-24</td>
<td>2.50±0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D: Diurnal Variation of $I_{\text{NA}}$ (in pA.pF$^{-1}$±SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wild type</th>
<th>$na^\text{bar}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZT0-4</td>
<td>1.91±0.24</td>
<td>n=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT4-8</td>
<td>1.41±0.09</td>
<td>n=5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT8-12</td>
<td>1.06±0.12</td>
<td>n=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT12-16</td>
<td>0.61±0.01</td>
<td>n=4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT16-20</td>
<td>1.55±0.26</td>
<td>n=4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT20-24</td>
<td>1.71±0.04</td>
<td>n=4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table S2. Depolarizing Current Injections in WT, per\textsuperscript{01}, na\textsuperscript{har}, na\textsuperscript{har};U-na/Clk4.1M-G4, and Nlf-I KD, Related to Figures 1, 3, and 6

A: f-I curves at ZT0-4 vs ZT8-12 in WT (in Hz±SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I injected</th>
<th>ZT0-4 (n=8)</th>
<th>ZT8-12 (n=5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0pA</td>
<td>5.75±0.62</td>
<td>1.64±0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5pA</td>
<td>11.56±0.71</td>
<td>8.78±1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10pA</td>
<td>16.45±0.89</td>
<td>14.55±2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15pA</td>
<td>20.34±0.97</td>
<td>18.90±1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20pA</td>
<td>23.10±0.86</td>
<td>22.92±2.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B: f-I curves at ZT0-4 vs ZT8-12 in per\textsuperscript{01} (in Hz±SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I injected</th>
<th>ZT0-4 (n=5)</th>
<th>ZT8-12 (n=4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0pA</td>
<td>2.42±1.60</td>
<td>3.78±2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5pA</td>
<td>7.98±2.75</td>
<td>11.52±4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10pA</td>
<td>14.22±2.67</td>
<td>18.00±4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15pA</td>
<td>20.42±1.97</td>
<td>23.60±3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20pA</td>
<td>24.56±1.71</td>
<td>27.47±3.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C: f-I curves at ZT0-4 vs ZT8-12 in na\textsuperscript{har} (in Hz±SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I injected</th>
<th>ZT0-4 (n=5)</th>
<th>ZT8-12 (n=5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0pA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5pA</td>
<td>0.84±0.53</td>
<td>1.22±0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10pA</td>
<td>6.06±1.19</td>
<td>4.87±0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15pA</td>
<td>11.42±1.47</td>
<td>11.70±1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20pA</td>
<td>15.36±1.70</td>
<td>15.65±1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D: f-I curves at ZT6 in WT, na\textsuperscript{har} and na\textsuperscript{har};U-na/Clk4.1M-G4 (in Hz±SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I injected</th>
<th>WT (n=10)</th>
<th>na\textsuperscript{har} (n=8)</th>
<th>na\textsuperscript{har};U-na/Clk4.1M-G4 (n=3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0pA</td>
<td>3.24±0.86</td>
<td>0.60±0.20</td>
<td>5.95±2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5pA</td>
<td>9.99±1.66</td>
<td>2.61±1.23</td>
<td>12.83±2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10pA</td>
<td>15.08±1.66</td>
<td>7.82±1.54</td>
<td>17.83±2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15pA</td>
<td>19.10±1.48</td>
<td>14.57±2.13</td>
<td>22.20±2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20pA</td>
<td>22.57±1.65</td>
<td>20.90±3.32</td>
<td>25.63±3.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E: f-I curves at ZT0-4 in Nlf-I CT and Nlf-I KD (in Hz±SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I injected</th>
<th>Nlf-I CT (n=3)</th>
<th>Nlf-I KD (n=7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0pA</td>
<td>1.7±0.93</td>
<td>0.03±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5pA</td>
<td>6.5±2.24</td>
<td>0.13±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10pA</td>
<td>9.53±2.61</td>
<td>3.24±0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15pA</td>
<td>12.3±2.90</td>
<td>7.53±1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20pA</td>
<td>14.13±3.38</td>
<td>11.03±1.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F: f-I curves at ZT8-12 in Nlf-I CT and Nlf-I OX (in Hz±SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I injected</th>
<th>Nlf-I CT (n=4)</th>
<th>Nlf-I OX (n=5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0pA</td>
<td>0.03±0.09</td>
<td>3.18±0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5pA</td>
<td>3.62±1.32</td>
<td>10.36±1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10pA</td>
<td>9.38±1.87</td>
<td>17.02±1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15pA</td>
<td>14.1±2.21</td>
<td>21.76±1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20pA</td>
<td>17.34±2.32</td>
<td>24.8±1.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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