Persistence of force networks in compressed granular media
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We utilize the tools of persistent homology to analyze features of force networks in dense granular matter, modeled as a collection of circular, inelastic frictional particles. The proposed approach describes these networks in a precise and tractable manner, allowing us to identify features that are difficult or impossible to characterize by other means. In contrast to other techniques that consider each force threshold level separately, persistent homology allows us to consider all threshold levels at once to describe the force network in a complete and insightful manner. We consider continuously compressed system of particles characterized by varied polydispersity and friction in two spatial dimensions. We find significant differences between the force networks in these systems, suggesting that their mechanical response may differ considerably as well.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Force networks play a key role in determining mechanical properties of static and dynamic dense granular media (DGM). The networks of particles connected by strong (larger than critical parameter) forces are frequently studied. The analysis of associated force networks is the most common treatment (see, e.g., [2]). The force network ensemble approach has been put forth recently (see [3] for a review). Also, initial attempts have been made towards quantifying the structure of force networks. In [4] an effort was made to define the force chains from a local, particle-scaled point of view. More globally, the force networks were claimed to be universal and characterized by a set of critical parameters [5]. A complex network type of analysis, exploring the stability of the force networks, has been considered as well recently (see [6–8] and references therein).

Despite significant progress, the analysis of the force network is still limited by the complexity of the problem and the need to answer a particular set of questions of relevance to DGM. We note that in numerical simulations [9] and in principle in controlled experiments [2], complete information about the forces at the contacts between the particles is available. However, this information is too voluminous to be of direct use. The network type of analysis provides useful information about stability [8], but it does not provide detailed information about force network structure. The probability density function tells us what is the probability of having large forces in a system (see, e.g., [1,2,10–12]), but not about connectivity of the force network. While the suggestion about universal properties of the force networks is intriguing, it is known that their properties (distribution of forces for example) are strongly influenced by the particle friction and polydispersity [13]. This information is not encoded in the critical parameters. Therefore, it is necessary to consider alternative approaches to describe the force networks in a manner that is concise, but insightful, and accounts for both similarities and differences between the systems considered. Furthermore, it is of interest to develop an approach that is applicable to both static and dynamic settings, which in principle could be applied to particles of irregular shapes and is not limited by physical dimensionality of the system.

In this paper we present an approach that provides a simple set of measures describing properties of force networks in a selected set of granular systems. This proposed set of measures allows us to distinguish between different systems and can be used to identify and predict their properties. In addition, we show that the information obtained using this set of measures may be difficult or impossible to obtain using some of the standard measures for analyzing granular systems.

To introduce the proposed approach consider the magnitude of the force field as a continuous scalar field \( f : X \to [0, \infty) \). (In this work we concentrate only on the normal forces between particles; additional information that may be obtained based on tangential forces is beyond the scope of the present paper.) The space \( X \) is the union of the granular particles. A threshold \( \theta \in [0, \infty) \) is chosen and the force network \( FN \) is defined to be the set of points on which the magnitude of the force exceeds the threshold, \( FN(f, \theta) = \{ x_i \mid f(x_i) \geq \theta \} \). The general goal is to describe the geometry of \( FN(f, \theta) \).

The choice of a particular threshold level \( \theta \) is somewhat arbitrary and thus it is desirable to describe the geometry of \( FN(f, \theta) \) for all thresholds. Persistent homology [14,15] (described below) is a mathematical tool that allows us to consistently relate the geometry of force networks over ranges of thresholds. This provides deeper insight than looking only at the number of clusters or components described by Betti numbers [13] or, more commonly, by the tools of percolation theory.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the methods used, with a significant amount of information given in Appendixes A and B regarding discrete element simulation and persistence techniques, respectively. The main results obtained using persistence analysis are given in Sec. III A, while the results obtained using more classical approaches are in Sec. III B. We summarize in Sec. IV.

II. METHODS

A. Discrete element simulations

The simulations are of the type utilized in [13]; for completeness, a brief outline is given in Appendix A. We consider a square domain in two dimensions where \( N \approx 2000 \) granular particles are constrained between four rough walls.
made out of monodisperse particles. The walls move with constant prescribed speed, allowing us to consider packing fractions $\rho$ in the range $[0.6, 0.9]$. The particles are inelastic frictional polydisperse disks, with the radii varying randomly in some range $1 \pm r_p/2$ in units of average particle diameter. All the results are obtained as averages over 20 realizations characterized by different initial conditions. In addition to the averages, we calculated standard deviations and found them to be at least an order of magnitude smaller, suggesting that 20 realizations are sufficient to obtain statistically significant results.

B. Algebraic topology

Homology provides an easily computable, rigorous, systematic, dimension-independent means of characterizing geometric structures of spaces via a few integers $\beta_n$, called Betti numbers; in two dimensions only $\beta_0$, the number of distinct components, and $\beta_1$, the number of closed loops, are relevant; $\beta_0$ and $\beta_1$ are related to the metrics used in [5,7]. Our first goal is to understand the structure of $\text{FN}(f, \theta)$ as a function of the force field $f$ and the threshold $\theta$. Persistent homology quantifies how this structure changes as one moves from high to low thresholds.

Figure 1 illustrates the approach using a one-dimensional (1D) example. The set $\text{FN}(f, \theta) = \emptyset$ for $\theta > \theta_b$ and it consists of one connected component for $\theta \in (\theta_2, \theta_0)$. Another connected component appears at the level $\theta_2$. This component merges with the first one at $\theta_4$. In the language of persistent homology, a geometric feature indicated by the green arrow between $\theta_4$ and $\theta_5$ in Figure 1(a) was born at $\theta_5$ and died at $\theta_2$. This event is captured by the green point $(\theta_5, \theta_2)$ in Figure 1(b). Analogously, the other two features indicated by the purple $(\theta_3, \theta_4)$ and red $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ arrows are captured by the corresponding points in Figure 1(b). The first connected component that appeared at $\theta_b$ persists for all $\theta < \theta_b$ and is represented by the brown point with the birth coordinate $\theta_b$ and a negative death coordinate. The negative value indicates that the feature persisted for all $\theta < \theta_b$.

Note that in our 1D example $\beta_0(\text{FN}(f, \theta)) = 2$ for $\theta \in (\theta_2, \theta_4)$. However, significant geometric change occurred at $\theta_4$. Two components merged—equivalently, one component died—and a new component appeared—was born. Persistent homology makes precise the notion of birth and death of geometric features; in particular it associates a birth threshold $\theta_b$ for which the feature appears in the set $\text{FN}(f, \theta_b)$ and a death threshold $\theta_d$, $\theta_b > \theta_d$, for which the feature disappears in the set $\text{FN}(f, \theta_d)$. The collections of these points $(\theta_b, \theta_d) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, one for $\beta_0$ and the other for $\beta_1$ (in two dimensions), form the persistence diagrams. A more detailed description is given in Appendix B.

C. Binning of forces

We will see in the following section that the persistence diagrams computed from the discrete element simulation (DES) results are significantly more complex than the 1D example considered above and some approach to quantifying the information contained in the diagrams is needed. The approach that we will consider here is based on binning the forces in the separate parts of the persistence diagrams. This approach is described next.

Let $\bar{F}$ denote the average contact force and consider the region $[0.5 \bar{F} \bar{F}]$. If the force is larger than $5 \bar{F}$ we redefine it to be $5 \bar{F}$ (this occurs rarely). We ignore the loops that arise from three particles coming into contact. Alternative approaches that consider loops made out of three or more particles have been considered recently [7,16]. For the purpose of the discussion that follows, we define a defect to be a minimal loop defined by four or more particles (the number of defects describes departure from a perfect crystal).

Using the DES results, at each time (corresponding to a given packing fraction $\rho$), we compute two persistence diagrams using PERSEUS [17,18]. In order to help the interpretation, we bin the points $(\theta_b, \theta_d)$ into four disjoint regions: rough, $\theta_b - \theta_d < 0.1 \bar{F}$; strong, $\theta_b \geq 2.5 \bar{F}$; medium, $\bar{F} \leq \theta_b < 2.5 \bar{F}$; and weak, $0.1 \bar{F} \leq \theta_b < \bar{F}$, which can be briefly interpreted as follows (see also Figure 2).

(i) $\beta_0$ rough. This is generated by a contact of a force $\theta_b$ that is a local maximum of the force field. The associated component contains the contact of a force $\theta_d$, which is a saddle or a local minimum of the force field. Since $\theta_b - \theta_d < 0.1$ is small, this suggests that this geometric feature represents the local fine structure of the force field (roughness).

(ii) $\beta_0$ strong (and similarly for medium and weak): $\theta_b \geq 2.5 \bar{F}$ and $(\theta_b - \theta_d) \geq 0.1 \bar{F}$. This is generated by the contact of
a strong force and the associated component extends through a reasonable range of values.

(iii) $\beta_1$ rough: $(\theta_l - \theta_d) < 0.1\bar{F}$. This is generated by a loop of adjacent particles for which the contact experiences the force $\theta_l$. If $\theta_l > 0.1\bar{F}$, then within the loop there are no defects and the contact with the lowest force within the loop experiences the force $\theta_d$.

(iv) $\beta_1$ strong (and similarly for medium and weak): $\theta_l \gg 2.5\bar{F}$ and $(\theta_l - \theta_d) \geq 0.1\bar{F}$. This is generated by a loop for which the weakest contact experiences an exceptionally strong force. If $\theta_d > 0$, then there are no defects within the loop.

III. RESULTS

A. Persistence analysis of the force field in DGM

Figure 3 shows two examples of force networks (normal forces only) that we consider. The snapshots are taken just before jamming. Figure 3(a) documents the emergence of an organized structure for the frictionless monodisperse system at $\rho \sim 0.86$. The hexagonal structure clearly visible in the lower left part of the domain extends to a large part of the domain and forms an imperfect crystal as $\rho$ increases. In contrast, the polydisperse system ($r_p = 0.4$ with the friction coefficient $\mu = 0.5$ displays no ordering (see also [13] for further discussion of this point). Figure 4 shows the persistence diagrams for the two examples shown in Fig. 3. The evolution of the force networks and of persistence diagrams as systems are being compressed can be seen also in [19].

![Persistence diagrams for the examples shown in Fig. 3.](image)

For the persistence analysis, we consider 20 realizations for each considered system and extract normal contact forces at $\approx 100$ values of $\rho$ and compute eight functions $N_i^X(\rho)$ that indicate the number of $\beta_i$ persistence points in bin $X$, where $X \in \{R,S,M,W\}$ (denoting rough, strong, medium, and weak). The results that follow are robust with respect to the exact definitions of the binning regions. The effects of the compression speed turn out to be minor and will not be discussed herein, nor will the influence of system size.

Figure 5 shows $N_i^X(\rho)$ for frictionless particles and $\rho$ in the interval [0.73,0.9]. (For $\rho \in [0.6,0.73]$ the systems are indistinguishable and characterized by large values of $N_0^R$ [Fig. 5(a)] and relatively small values of $N_0^S,M,W$. Since $N_0^S \approx N$, this suggests that particles are typically separated with occasional interactions, as expected.) The leftward shift of $N_i^X$ as $r_p$ is increased is due to the fact that $\rho_\ast$, the packing fraction at which jamming occurs (see Table I), is a decreasing function of $r_p$. Observe that as a function of $\rho$, $N_0^W$ [Fig. 5(d)] gradually increases and then sharply decreases. This can be explained as follows: As the system is compressed there is less freedom for movement of particles and thus contacts develop into weak components. However, there is still enough freedom for rearrangement of the contacts, thus over this range the number of weak components dominates the number of strong or medium ones. As the system approaches jamming and the freedom disappears, the structure of the force networks changes dramatically. First there is a rapid rise in $N_0^{S,M,W}$ followed by the collapse of $N_0^W$ and the continued rise of $N_0^{S,M}$ for a range of $\rho$ beyond $\rho_\ast$. The latter occurs due to transfer of components from weak to medium and strong, sug-
gesting that the force network continues to steepen even after
jamming.

Figure 5 shows also \( N^0 \) curves, which give information
about the loops that form in force networks. These curves show
that the loop formation is closely related to jamming, with a
rapid increase in \( N_1^{MW} \) beginning just before and continuing
shortly after \( \rho_c \).

There is additional, less expected information provided
by persistent homology. That \( N^0_S(\rho) \) decreases to rather
low values for \( \rho > \rho_c \) indicates that in a jammed state the
force field is smooth, that is, there are relatively few small
variations in the magnitude of force even in the presence
of significant fluctuations as measured by \( N^0_M \). Similarly,
there are very few strong loops \( N^0_S \) and these loops are
essentially expected only in monodisperse systems. Recall
that slowly compressed frictionless systems of monodisperse
particles crystallize (see [13] for a discussion regarding the
influence of crystallization on Betti numbers). We conjecture
that the maximum of \( N^0_S \) for \( \rho_p = 0 \) characterizes the value
of \( \rho \) at which crystallization occurs. This is supported by
measurement of the bond orientation factor \( \psi_6 \) (discussed
later in the text) and visual inspection of force networks (see
Fig. 3). The latter shows that these strong loops form at the
fault lines between crystalline zones. Furthermore, the sharp
global maxima in \( N^0_S \) and a change in slope to a steeper
rise in \( N^1_M \) after crystallization suggest a balancing of the
load of the system and hence the decrease in the variance in
the magnitude of the force. The observation that \( N^0_S \) attains a
maximum after \( \rho_c \) while \( N^0_M \) is ever increasing is consistent
with this concept of balancing. It also provides an explanation
for the fact that \( N^0_S \) is larger for \( \rho_p = 0.1 \) than for \( \rho_p = 0 \);
the lack of broad perfect crystalline regions allows for larger
variation in the force field. This perturbative explanation does
not extend to larger \( \rho_p \) since the crystalline structure no longer
exists. This suggests that there is a critical \( \rho_p \) at which
the number of strong maxima in the force network is the
largest.

Next we proceed to discuss the influence of friction. Figure 6 compares three polydisperse systems \( \rho_p = 0.4 \), charac-
terized by different Coulomb threshold \( \mu \), and demonstrates
that persistent homology provides a means of measuring the
strong influence of friction on the geometry of force
networks. The most pronounced and crucial feature is that
\( N^0_S(\rho_c)_{|\mu=0} \gg N^0_S(\rho_c)_{|\mu>0} \). This finding says that the
force network features in frictionless systems around jamming
point are extreme compared to frictional systems. This obser-
vation should be contrasted with the ones of [13], which were
based purely on Betti number computations (thus measuring
the contact network) and did not capture this difference. The
conclusion is that force networks’ properties are not simply
slaved to the contact network.

B. Classical approaches to analysis of the force field in DGM

We now turn to the question of how the findings formulated
so far relate to the ones obtained by other well established
measures. Let us first consider the measures based on particles’
spatial distribution. Figure 7 shows the pair correlation \( g(d) \)
as a function of distance in units of average particle diameter
for a large packing fraction \( \rho = 0.9 \). The results are again
obtained by averaging over 20 simulations for each given
set of physical parameters. The pair correlation function for
frictionless systems with several polydispersities \( \rho_p \) is depicted
in Fig. 7(a). The results for monodisperse frictionless system
suggest a well defined crystal structure for \( \rho = 0.9 \). Figure 7(a)
implies that the system becomes disordered with no distinctive
features for \( \rho_p > 0.1 \). Furthermore, Fig. 7(b) suggests a lack of
long-range order for polydisperse systems with \( \rho_p = 0.4 \)
regardless of the friction coefficient \( \mu \).

| \( \mu \) = 0.0 | \( \rho_p = 0.4 \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( \rho \) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| \( \rho_c \) | 0.8615 | 0.8448 | 0.8282 | 0.8140 | 0.8140 | 0.7962 | 0.7884 |

TABLE I. Packing fraction \( \rho_c \) determined by the average number of contacts \( Z(\rho_c) = 3 \). Similar values are found if inflection points of the
\( Z(\rho) \) curves are used [13].
Additional information can be obtained by considering the bond orientational order parameter $\psi_6$, defined by

$$
\psi_6 = \langle N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (N_b - 1)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{-1}} \cos(6\theta_k) \rangle,
$$

where $N_b$ is the number of contacts or bonds for a particle $j$ and $\theta_k$ is the angle between two consecutive bonds. We denote by angular brackets the average over 20 simulations. For a perfect crystal with a hexagonal structure $\psi_6 = 1$, and $\psi_6 \sim 1/N$ for a disordered system or gas phase. Figure 8 shows that for small $\rho$, $\psi_6$ is small and then it increases for larger $\rho$. The transitional zone occurs at different values of $\rho$ for different systems and, in particular, the transitional value of $\rho$ decreases as $r_p$ and $\mu$ increase. By comparison with the values for jamming transition $\rho_c$, given in Table 1, we see that the transition in $\psi_6$ occurs at or very close to $\rho_c$. As expected, $\psi_6$ indicates the highest level of ordering for the monodisperse frictionless system.

Note that $\psi_6$ provides slightly different information from $g(d)$. Figure 8(a) indicates a similar amount of order for the frictionless systems with $r_p = 0.0$ and 0.1, consistently with $g(d)$. However, $r_p = 0.2$ still contains a high level of order that was not clearly apparent from $g(d)$, shown in Fig. 7(a). In any case, neither of the measures captures the nonmonotonic dependence of the force network properties on $r_p$, as found using persistence. Furthermore, Fig. 7(b) shows that $g(d)$ is not influenced by $\mu$ for $r_p = 0.4$ and for the considered range of $\mu$. In contrast, Fig. 8(b) shows that $\psi_6$ reaches somewhat lower value as $\mu$ increases. Therefore, in this case the information obtained from $\psi_6$ appears to be more insightful since it suggests some difference between frictionless and frictional systems for large polydispersity, consistently with the persistence results.

Based on the above, the bond orientation factor and pair correlation function turn out to provide only limited and to a certain degree inconsistent information and clearly do not correlate with many of the features of the force networks found using persistence, suggesting that the properties of the force network are not necessarily related to the spatial organization of the particles.

We proceed to discuss a standard measure used to describe global properties of force networks $P(\overline{F})$, measuring the probability that a particle experiences a (normal) force of a given magnitude. This measure has been explored extensively (see, e.g., [1,2,10–12]). In this paper we do not attempt to discuss the influence of order, friction, or other parameters on $P(\overline{F})$ in any depth; we only ask whether the insight reached by the persistence goes further than the one that can be developed based on $P(\overline{F})$ and also whether the corresponding insights are consistent.

Figure 9 shows the evolution of $P(\overline{F})$ for different $\rho$ and for the four frictionless systems shown in Fig. 5. As systems are
compressed, we see the transition to a Gaussian-like behavior of $P(F)$. The results are consistent with the other works that explored the properties of force networks (see, e.g., [2]). One observation of interest is that this transition is more pronounced for larger polydispersions; in particular for the monodisperse system the decay of $P(F)$ appears exponential at large $F$ for all considered $\rho$, at least in the considered range [see 12 for a much more in-depth discussion of the behavior of $P(F)$ for very large $F$]. To connect now to the earlier discussion, we find that for the systems considered, $P(F)$ does not capture the features of the force network obtained using persistence. In particular, the evolution of the force network properties that is captured by $P(F)$ is monotonic as $r_\rho$ is modified, in contrast to the one found based on persistence. This observation shows that the persistence provides additional information that is not captured by the commonly used measure for quantifying force networks.

Figure 9 shows $P(F)$ for systems characterized by the same polydispersity $r_\rho = 0.4$, but with varied friction [see Fig. 9(d) for the $\mu = 0$ case]. The results show increased Gaussian-like features of the forces as friction is increased. By careful inspection of $P(F)$ we see that for large $F$, $P(F)$ for $\mu = 0$ is large compared to $\mu > 0$ systems. This finding is consistent with the results obtained using persistence.

FIG. 9. (Color online) Probability density function $P(F)$ for the four systems shown in Fig. 5 ($\mu = 0$). The $P(F)$ are plotted in $\rho = 0.02$ intervals in the range $[0.76, 0.90]$, with the results for lowest and highest $\rho$ shown by thick dotted and solid lines, respectively; the arrows show the direction of increased $\rho$. Note the transition to a Gaussian-like form visible in particular for larger $r_\rho$ as $\rho$ increases.

IV. CONCLUSION

This work illustrates the utility of computational homology in describing complex features of the force networks in DGM in a clear and concise manner. Use of persistence diagrams to quantify the landscape defined by the contact forces leads to different conclusions regarding the properties of these networks, which may be difficult or impossible to reach by other existing measures. One key feature is the ability to reduce the information encoded by the force network at a particular packing fraction $\rho$ to eight numbers $N^i(\rho)$. The evolution of $N^i(\rho)$ as a granular system is compressed provides significant and at the same time tractable information about the evolution of force networks. We find that friction has a crucial role in determining the force network landscape: The number of extreme features for $\mu = 0$ is significantly larger compared to the $\mu > 0$ case. In other words, the force landscape for $\mu = 0$ is characterized by a much larger number of isolated mountain peaks; these peaks are smoothed by friction. The dependence of the force network properties on polydispersity is just as interesting: We find that small polydispersity leads to a significantly larger number of extreme features than the systems that are either monodisperse or strongly polydisperse. This finding cannot be seen when considering other measures, such as the force probability density function.

We consider in this work a simple two-dimensional system of compressed disks, but the potential of the described approach is much wider since it is not limited by the physical dimension, the shape or other properties of the particulate media, or the type of the flow (compression or shear). We expect that computational homology will provide significant insight to the force network structure in more complex granular settings as well as in other soft matter systems such as colloids, emulsions, or foams.
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APPENDIX A: DISCRETE ELEMENT SIMULATIONS

In the simulations, which are two dimensional, circular grains are confined to a square domain with rough walls composed of monodisperse particles. The walls move inward at constant speed $v_s$, which yields packing fractions in the range 0.6–0.9. No annealing of the system is carried out and gravity is neglected. The disk sizes are chosen from a flat distribution with width $r_p = (r_{\text{max}} - r_{\text{min}})/r_{\text{ave}}$, where $r_{\text{ave}}$ is the mean particle radius. The particle-particle (and particle-wall) interactions include normal and tangential components. The normal force between particles $i$ and $j$ is $F_{n,i,j} = k_n m n \gamma_n n v_{n,i,j}$, where $r_{i,j} = |r_i - r_j|$, $n = r_i - r_j$, and $v_{n,i,j}$ is the relative normal velocity. The amount of compression is $x = d_{i,j} - r_{i,j}$, where $d_{i,j} = (d_i + d_j)/2$ and $d_i$ and $d_j$ are the diameters of the particles $i$ and $j$. All quantities are expressed using the average particle diameter $d_{\text{ave}}$ as the length scale, the binary particle collision time $\tau_c = \pi \sqrt{d_{\text{ave}}/2\mu}$ as the time scale, and the average particle mass $m$ as the mass scale. Here $\mu$ is the reduced mass, $k_n$ (in units of $mg/d_{\text{ave}}$) is the spring constant set to a value that corresponds to that for photoelastic disks [20], and $\gamma_n$ is the damping coefficient [21]. The parameters entering the linear force model can be verified that the results are independent of the distribution of magnitude of these initial velocities. The wall particles with appropriate.

We implement the commonly used Cundall-Strack model for static friction [22], where a tangential spring is introduced between particles for each new contact that forms at time $t = t_0$. Due to the relative motion of the particles, the spring length $\xi$ evolves as $\xi = \int_{t_0}^t v_{t,i,j}(t')dt'$, where $v_{t,i,j} = v_{i,j} - v_{n,i,j}$. For long lasting directions, $\xi$ may not remain parallel to the current tangential direction defined by $t = v_{t,i,j}/|v_{t,i,j}|$ (see, e.g., [21]); we therefore define the corrected $\xi' = \xi - \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \xi)$ and introduce the test force $F^* = -k_s \xi' - \gamma_t v_{t,i,j}$, where $\gamma_t$ is the coefficient of viscous damping in the tangential direction (with $\gamma_t = \gamma_n$). To ensure that the magnitude of the tangential force remains below the Coulomb threshold, we constrain the tangential force to be $F^* = \min(\mu_s |F^*|, |F^*|)F^*/|F^*|$ and redefine $\xi'$ if appropriate.

For the initial configuration, particles are placed on a square lattice and given random initial velocities; we have verified that the results are independent of the distribution and magnitude of these initial velocities. The wall particles move at a uniform (small) inward velocity $v_s = 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$. We integrate Newton’s equations of motion for both the translation and rotational degrees of freedom using a fourth-order predictor-corrector method with time step $\Delta t = 0.02$. We consider system sizes from $N = 2000$ to 40,000 particles with $k_n = 4 \times 10^3/e_n = 0.5$, $\mu_s = 0.5$, and $k_t = 0.8k_n$ [24].

APPENDIX B: PERSISTENCE

A complete description of persistent homology is beyond the scope of this paper. For the mathematical details we refer the reader to [14,15,25]. Here we restrict ourselves to a description of our construction of the scalar field, the force network FN, and chain complexes used for the persistent homology computations.

The spatial information about the particle arrangement is represented by means of a graph called the contact network that is constructed as follows. Suppose that the system consists of $N$ particles $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^N$, where the radius of the particle $p_i$ is $r_i$ and the position of the center of the particle is given by $(x_i,y_i)$. The contact network CN is a graph with vertices $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^N$. The edge $(u_i,u_j)$ belongs to CN if and only if the particles $p_i,p_j$ are in contact, that is $(x_i-x_j)^2 + (y_i-y_j)^2 \leq r_i^2 + r_j^2$. Figure 11(a) shows a typical contact network arising from a collection of 15 particles.

The associated scalar field is a function $f : CN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that takes constant values on the vertices and the interiors of the edges. The value of $f$ on an edge $(u_i,u_j) \in CN$ is defined to be the magnitude of the normal force between the particles $p_i$ and $p_j$. The value of $f$ on a vertex $u_i \in CN$ is defined to be the maximum of $(f(u_i,u_j))$ over all edges $\{(u_i,u_j) \in CN$. A simple example of such a function $f$ is shown in Fig. 12. Observe that $f$ is piecewise constant but not continuous. Figure 11(b) shows
Data points provide sufficient information to compute homological invariants for the underlying, but unknown, continuous objects.

In principle, we could perform the persistent homology computations beginning with the above-mentioned force field $f$. However, we perform two more modifications motivated by the physical application. (i) Force chains are defined in terms of average force. Therefore, we normalize the function $f$ by the average force, which is computed as a sum of the magnitudes of all forces acting between the particles divided by the number of forces. (ii) Because of the finite size of the particles, three adjacent particles always form a loop. We are not interested in counting these loops and are more interested in what we call defects, loops that are generated by $n$-gons where $n \geq 4$. Thus we form the flag complex of CN, that is, every triangular hole in CN is filled in with a two-simplex $\sigma$. The function $f$ is extended to this simplex by assigning it the smallest value that $f$ assumes on the edges of $\sigma$. This extension ensures that the force network $\text{FN}(f, \theta)$ is a filtration and we can apply persistence homology. We recall that $\text{FN}(f, \theta)$ is a filtration if $\text{FN}(f, \theta_2)$ is a complex for every $\theta$ (the boundary of each simplex in $\text{FN}(f, \theta)$ belongs to $\text{FN}(f, \theta_1)$ and $\text{FN}(f, \theta_1) \subseteq \text{FN}(f, \theta_2)$ for $\theta_1 < \theta_2$). Figure 13(d) indicates the flag complex of the contact network CN of Fig. 11.

Since in our example the force field $f$ takes on only four values, there are only four different values $\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_3 < \theta_4$ for which the force network $\text{FN}(f, \theta)$ changes. The associated force networks $\text{FN}(f, \theta_i)$ are shown in Fig. 13. In our applications to DGM the function $f$ can have as many different values as the number of forces acting between the particles. These forces are used to determine the filtration on which the persistence diagram is computed. This is the filtration that is taken as the input to program PERSEUS [17].