

## Homework 13 Solutions

1. The *Set Partition Problem* takes as input a finite set  $S$  of numbers. The question is whether the numbers can be partitioned into two sets  $A$  and  $\bar{A} = S - A$  such that

$$\sum_{x \in A} x = \sum_{x \in \bar{A}} x.$$

Show that *SET-PARTITION* is NP-Complete. (Hint: Reduce *SUBSET-SUM*, which Theorem 7.56 of the Sipser textbook shows is NP-complete.)

**Answer:** To show that any problem  $A$  is NP-Complete, we need to show four things:  
(1) there is a non-deterministic polynomial-time algorithm that solves  $A$ , i.e.,  $A \in \text{NP}$ ,  
(2) some NP-Complete problem  $B$  can be reduced to  $A$ ,  
(3) the reduction of  $B$  to  $A$  works in polynomial time,  
(4) the original problem  $A$  has a solution if and only if  $B$  has a solution.

We now show that *SET-PARTITION* is NP-Complete.

(1) *SET-PARTITION*  $\in$  NP: Guess the two partitions and verify that the two have equal sums.

(2) Reduction of *SUBSET-SUM* to *SET-PARTITION*: Recall *SUBSET-SUM* is defined as follows: Given a set  $X$  of integers and a target number  $t$ , find a subset  $Y \subseteq X$  such that the members of  $Y$  add up to exactly  $t$ . Let  $s$  be the sum of members of  $X$ . Feed  $X' = X \cup \{s - 2t\}$  into *SET-PARTITION*. Accept if and only if *SET-PARTITION* accepts.

(3) This reduction clearly works in polynomial time.

(4) We will prove that  $\langle X, t \rangle \in \text{SUBSET-SUM}$  iff  $\langle X' \rangle \in \text{SET-PARTITION}$ . Note that the sum of members of  $X'$  is  $2s - 2t$ .

$\Rightarrow$ : If there exists a set of numbers in  $X$  that sum to  $t$ , then the remaining numbers in  $X$  sum to  $s - t$ . Therefore, there exists a partition of  $X'$  into two such that each partition sums to  $s - t$ .

$\Leftarrow$ : Let's say that there exists a partition of  $X'$  into two sets such that the sum over each set is  $s - t$ . One of these sets contains the number  $s - 2t$ . Removing this number, we get a set of numbers whose sum is  $t$ , and all of these numbers are in  $X$ .

2. Let

$DOUBLE-SAT = \{ \langle \phi \rangle \mid \phi \text{ is a Boolean formula with two satisfying assignments} \}$ .

Show that  $DOUBLE-SAT$  is NP-Complete. (Hint: Show that  $DOUBLE-SAT$  is NP-Hard by reducing  $3SAT$  to  $DOUBLE-SAT$ .)

**Answer:**

(1)  $DOUBLE-SAT \in NP$ : Simply guess two different assignments to all variables and verify that each clause is satisfied in both cases.

(2) Reduction of  $3SAT$  to  $DOUBLE-SAT$ : Given a 3cnf-function  $\psi$ , create a new Boolean function  $\psi'$  by adding a new clause  $(x \cup \bar{x})$  to  $\psi$ , where  $x$  is a new variable not in  $\psi$ . Then check if  $\langle \psi' \rangle \in DOUBLE-SAT$ .

(3) This reduction clearly works in polynomial time.

(4) We now prove that the original 3cnf-function  $\langle \psi \rangle \in 3SAT$  iff the new Boolean function  $\langle \psi' \rangle \in DOUBLE-SAT$ . If the original 3cnf-function  $\psi$  is unsatisfiable, then the new function  $\psi'$  is also unsatisfiable; i.e.,  $\langle \psi \rangle \notin 3SAT$  implies  $\langle \psi' \rangle \notin DOUBLE-SAT$ . If  $\langle \psi \rangle \in 3SAT$ , then use the same assignment of variables that are in  $\psi$ , and we also have both  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1$  are valid assignments. Thus, there are at least two satisfying assignments of the augmented 3cnf-formula  $\psi'$ , so  $\langle \psi' \rangle \in DOUBLE-SAT$ .

3. Let  $G$  represent an undirected graph. Also let

$SPATH = \{ \langle G, a, b, k \rangle \mid G \text{ contains a simple path of length at most } k \text{ from } a \text{ to } b \}$

and

$LPATH = \{ \langle G, a, b, k \rangle \mid G \text{ contains a simple path of length at least } k \text{ from } a \text{ to } b \}$ ,

where a simple path is a path that does not repeat any nodes, and the length of a path is the number of edges along the path.

(a) Show that  $SPATH \in P$ .

**Answer:**

The marking algorithm in Theorem 7.14 for deciding  $PATH$  in polynomial time can be modified to keep track of the length of the shortest paths discovered. Here is a detailed description of the algorithm.

“On input  $\langle G, a, b, k \rangle$  where  $m$ -node graph  $G$  has nodes  $a$  and  $b$ :

1. Place a mark “0” on node  $a$ .
2. For each  $i$  from 0 to  $m$ :
3. If an edge  $(s, t)$  is found connecting  $s$  marked “ $i$ ” to an unmarked node  $t$ , mark node  $t$  with “ $i + 1$ ”.
4. If  $b$  is marked with a value of at most  $k$ , *accept*. Otherwise, *reject*.

- (b) Show that  $LPATH$  is NP-Complete. You may assume the NP-completeness of  $UHAMPATH$ , the Hamiltonian path problem for undirected graphs.

**Answer:**

First,  $LPATH \in NP$  because we can guess a simple path of length at least  $k$  from  $a$  to  $b$  and verify it in polynomial time. Next  $UHAMPATH \leq_P LPATH$ , because the following TM  $F$  computes the reduction  $f$ .

$F =$  “On input  $\langle G, a, b \rangle$  where graph  $G$  has nodes  $a$  and  $b$ :

1. Let  $k$  be the number of nodes of  $G$ .
2. Output  $\langle G, a, b, k \rangle$ .

If  $\langle G, a, b \rangle \in UHAMPATH$ , then  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian path of length  $k$  from  $a$  to  $b$ , so  $\langle G, a, b, k \rangle \in LPATH$ . If  $\langle G, a, b, k \rangle \in LPATH$ , then  $G$  contains a simple path of length  $k$  from  $a$  to  $b$ . But  $G$  has only  $k$  nodes, so the path is Hamiltonian. Thus,  $\langle G, a, b \rangle \in UHAMPATH$ .