## CS 341, Fall 2014

## Solutions for Midterm 2

1. (a) False, by Theorem 5.4.
(b) True, by Theorem 4.5.
(c) False, by Corollary 4.23.
(d) True, by Theorem 4.9.
(e) True, by Theorem 4.9.
(f) False, e.g., $\overline{A_{\mathrm{TM}}}$ is not Turing-recognizable.
(g) False. A TM $M$ may loop on input $w$.
(h) True. List the strings in string order.
(i) False. Homework 9, problem 1.
(j) True, by Theorems 3.13 and 3.16.
2. (a) No, because $f(x)=f(z)=2$.
(b) Yes, because all elements in $B=\{1,2\}$ are hit: $f(y)=1$ and $f(x)=2$.
(c) No, because $f$ is not one-to-one.
(d) A language $L_{1}$ that is Turing-recognizable has a Turing machine $M_{1}$ that may loop forever on a string $w \notin L_{1}$. A language $L_{2}$ that is Turing-decidable has a Turing machine $M_{2}$ that always halts.
(e) An algorithm is a Turing machine that always halts.
3. $q_{1} 0 \# 0 \quad x q_{2} \# 0 \quad x \# q_{4} 0 \quad x q_{6} \# x \quad q_{7} x \# x \quad x q_{1} \# x \quad x \# q_{8} x \quad x \# x q_{8}$ $x \# x \sqcup q_{\text {accept }}$
4. This is HW 7, problem 2a. For any two decidable languages $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively be the TMs that decide them. We construct a TM $M^{\prime}$ that decides the union of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ :

$$
M^{\prime}=\text { "On input string } w:
$$

1. Run $M_{1}$ on $w$. If it accepts, accept.
2. Run $M_{2}$ on $w$. If it accepts, accept. Otherwise, reject.
$M^{\prime}$ accepts $w$ if either $M_{1}$ or $M_{2}$ accepts it. If both reject, $M^{\prime}$ rejects.
3. This is a slight modification of HW 8, problem 3. Let $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$, and the language of the decision problem is
$A=\{\langle R\rangle \quad \mid \quad R$ is a regular expression describing a language over $\Sigma$ containing at least one string $w$ that has 010 as a substring

$$
\text { (i.e., } w=x 010 y \text { for some } x \text { and } y \text { ) }\} \text {. }
$$

Define the language $C=\left\{w \in \Sigma^{*} \mid w\right.$ has 010 as a substring $\}$. Note that $C$ is a regular language with regular expression $(0 \cup 1)^{*} 010(0 \cup 1)^{*}$ and is recognized by the following DFA $D_{C}$ :


Now consider any regular expression $R$ with alphabet $\Sigma$. If $L(R) \cap C \neq \emptyset$, then $R$ generates a string having 010 as a substring, so $\langle R\rangle \in A$. Conversely, if $L(R) \cap C=$ $\emptyset$, then $R$ does not generate any string having 010 as a substring, so $\langle R\rangle \notin A$. By Kleene's Theorem, since $L(R)$ is described by regular expression $R$, the language $L(R)$ must be a regular language. Since $C$ and $L(R)$ are regular languages, $C \cap$ $L(R)$ is regular since the class of regular languages is closed under intersection, as was shown in Chapter 1. Thus, $C \cap L(R)$ has some DFA $D_{C \cap L(R)}$. Theorem 4.4 shows that $E_{\mathrm{DFA}}=\{\langle B\rangle \mid B$ is a DFA with $L(B)=\emptyset\}$ is decidable, so there is a Turing machine $H$ that decides $E_{\text {DFA }}$. We apply TM $H$ to $\left\langle D_{C \cap L(R)}\right\rangle$ to determine if $C \cap L(R)=\emptyset$. Putting this all together gives us the following Turing machine $T$ to decide $A$ :

$$
T=\text { "On input }\langle R\rangle \text {, where } R \text { is a regular expression: }
$$

1. Convert $R$ into a DFA $D_{R}$ using the algorithm in the proof of Kleene's Theorem.
2. Construct a DFA $D_{C \cap L(R)}$ for language $C \cap L(R)$ from the DFAs $D_{C}$ and $D_{R}$.
3. Run TM $H$ that decides $E_{\text {DFA }}$ on input $\left\langle D_{C \cap L(R)}\right\rangle$.
4. If $H$ accepts, reject. If $H$ rejects, accept."
5. This is Theorem 4.22. First we show that if $A$ is decidable then it is both Turingrecognizable and co-Turing recognizable. Suppose that $A$ is decidable. Then it must also be Turing-recognizable. Also, since $A$ is decidable, there is a TM $M$ that decides $A$. Now define another TM $M^{\prime}$ to be the same as $M$ except that we swap the accept and reject states. Then $M^{\prime}$ decides $\bar{A}$, so $\bar{A}$ is decidable. Hence, $\bar{A}$ is also Turing-recognizable, so $A$ is co-Turing recognizable. Thus, we proved that $A$ is both Turing-recognizable and co-Turing-recognizable.
Now we prove the converse: if $A$ is both Turing-recognizable and co-Turingrecognizable, then $A$ is decidable. Since $A$ is Turing-recognizable, there is a TM $M$ with $L(M)=A$. Since $A$ is co-Turing-recognizable, $\bar{A}$ is Turing-recognizable, so there is a TM $M^{\prime}$ with $L\left(M^{\prime}\right)=\bar{A}$. Any string $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ is either in $A$ or $\bar{A}$ but not both, so either $M$ or $M^{\prime}$ (but not both) must accept $w$. Now build another TM $D$ as follows:

$$
D=" O n \text { input string } w:
$$

1. Run $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ alternatively on $w$ step by step.
2. If $M$ accepts $w$, accept. If $M^{\prime}$ accepts $w$, reject.

Then $D$ decides $A$, so $A$ is decidable.
7. This is Theorem 5.1, whose proof is given on slide $5-8$. Suppose that $H A L T_{\mathrm{TM}}$ is decidable and that it is decided by a TM $R$. Define the following TM $S$, which will decide $A_{\text {TM }}$ using $R$ as a subroutine:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\text { "On input }\langle M, w\rangle \text {, where } M \text { is a TM and } w \text { is a string: } \\
& \text { 1. Run } R \text { on input }\langle M, w\rangle \text {. } \\
& \text { 2. If } R \text { rejects, then reject. } \\
& \text { 3. If } R \text { accepts, then run } M \text { on input } w \text { until it halts." } \\
& \text { 4. If } M \text { accepts } w \text {, accept; otherwise, reject." }
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that stage 1 checks if it is safe to run $M$ on $w$. If not, then $M$ loops on $w$, so $S$ rejects $\langle M, w\rangle \notin A_{\mathrm{TM}}$, which is stage 2 . If stage 1 determines it is safe to run $M$ on $w$, then stage 3 runs $M$ on $w$, and then stage 4 gives the same output. In particular, if $M$ accepts $w$, then $S$ accepts $\langle M, w\rangle$; if $M$ rejects $w$, then $S$ rejects $\langle M, w\rangle$.
Thus, we have shown that $A_{\mathrm{TM}}$ reduces to $H A L T_{\mathrm{TM}}$. But since $A_{\mathrm{TM}}$ is undecidable, we must have that $H A L T_{\mathrm{TM}}$ is also undecidable.

