## CS 341-006, Spring 2022 Solutions for Midterm 1, Hybrid

1. (a) True. HW 4, problem 5(a).
(b) True. HW 4, problem 5(c).
(c) True. Suppose $A$ is non-context-free but regular. But then Corollary 2.32 implies $A$ is context-free, which is a contradiction.
(d) True. If $A \subseteq B$, then $x \in A$ implies $x \in B$, so there is no $x \in A$ with $x \notin B$. Thus, $A \cap \bar{B}=\emptyset$.
(e) False. For example, $A=\left\{0^{n} 1^{n} 0^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$ is a subset of $B=L\left((0 \cup 1)^{*}\right)$, but $A$ is non-context-free and $B$ is context-free.
(f) False. The language $\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid 5 \leq n \leq 20\right\}=\left\{a^{5} b^{5}, a^{6} b^{6}, \ldots, a^{20} b^{20}\right\}$ is finite. Thus, slide 1-95 implies the language is regular.
(g) True. Because $A$ has a regular expression, $A$ is a regular language by Kleene's Theorem (1.54). Then Corollary 2.32 implies $A$ is also context-free, so it has a CFG. Theorem 2.9 then ensures that $A$ has a CFG in Chomsky normal form.
(h) True. See slide 2-111.
(i) True. By HW 2, problem 3, we know that $\bar{A}$ is regular. Because $\bar{A}$ and $B$ are regular, then $\bar{A} \cup B$ is regular by Theorem 1.25. Theorem 1.49 then implies $(\bar{A} \cup B)^{*}$ is regular.
(j) False. See HW 6, problem 2(a).
2. (a) $\left(a^{*} b a^{*} b a^{*}\right)^{*} a^{*} b a^{*}$. Another regular expression is $\left(a^{*} b a^{*} b\right)^{*} a^{*} b a^{*}$. There are infinitely many correct regular expressions for the language. But the regular expression $\left(a^{*} b a^{*} b a^{*}\right)^{*} b a^{*}$ is wrong because it cannot generate the string $a b \in A$.
(b) $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, \Sigma, R_{2}, S_{2}\right)$, where

- $V_{2}=V_{1} \cup\left\{S_{2}\right\}$,
- $S_{2}$ is the starting variable, where $S_{2} \notin V_{1}$
- $\Sigma$ is the same alphabet of terminals as in $G_{1}$, and
- $R_{2}=R_{1} \cup\left\{S_{2} \rightarrow S_{1} S_{2} \mid \varepsilon\right\}$.
(c) $M_{3}=\left(Q_{3}, \Sigma, \delta_{3}, q_{3}, F_{3}\right)$, where
- $Q_{3}=Q_{1} \times Q_{2}$;
- $\Sigma$ is the same alphabet as $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ have;
- the transition function $\delta_{3}$ satisfies $\delta_{3}((q, r), \ell)=\left(\delta_{1}(q, \ell), \delta_{2}(r, \ell)\right)$ for $(q, r) \in$ $Q_{3}$ and $\ell \in \Sigma$;
- the starting state $q_{3}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$; and
- $F_{3}=\left(Q_{1} \times F_{2}\right) \cap\left(F_{1} \times Q_{2}\right)$, which also can be written as $F_{1} \times F_{2}$.
(d) After the one step of removing $A \rightarrow \varepsilon$, the CFG is then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{0} & \rightarrow S \\
S & \rightarrow 0 S A 0 S A|0 S 0 S A| 0 S A 0 S|0 S 0 S| 0 A 0 S|00 S| \varepsilon \\
A & \rightarrow 10 A 01 \mid 1001
\end{aligned}
$$

3. (a) $\varepsilon, a a, b a, a a a, a b a$
(b) A DFA for $C$ is below:


Although the problem did not ask for it, a 5 -tuple description of the DFA above is $M=(Q, \Sigma, \delta,\{1,2\}, F)$, where

- $Q=\{\{1,2\},\{2,3\},\{3\},\{1,2,3\}, \emptyset\}$
- $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$
- The transition function $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ is defined as

|  | $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\{1,2\}$ | $\{2,3\}$ | $\{3\}$ |
| $\{2,3\}$ | $\{1,2,3\}$ | $\{3\}$ |
| $\{3\}$ | $\{1,2\}$ | $\emptyset$ |
| $\{1,2,3\}$ | $\{1,2,3\}$ | $\{3\}$ |
| $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |

- $\{1,2\}$ is the start state
- $F=\{\{1,2\},\{1,2,3\}\}$

There are infinitely many other correct DFAs for $A$.
4. (a) This is a slight variation of HW 5, problem 1(f). A CFG for the language $D=$ $\left\{c^{i} a^{j} b^{k} \mid i, j, k \geq 0\right.$, and $\left.j=i+k\right\}$ is $G=(V, \Sigma, R, S)$ with $V=\{S, X, Y\}$ as the set of variables, where $S$ is the start variable; $\Sigma=\{a, b, c\}$ is the set of terminals; and rules $R$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & \rightarrow X Y \\
X & \rightarrow c X a \mid \varepsilon \\
Y & \rightarrow a Y b \mid \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

There are infinitely many other correct CFGs for $D$.
We next prove the correctness of the CFG $G$, although the problem doesn't requiring providing such a proof. To see why the given CFG $G$ works for $D$, we first claim that $D=A_{1} \circ A_{2}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\left\{c^{i} a^{i} \mid i \geq 0\right\} \\
& A_{2}=\left\{a^{k} b^{k} \mid k \geq 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove that $D=A_{1} \circ A_{2}$, we need to show that both $A_{1} \circ A_{2} \subseteq D$ and $D \subseteq A_{1} \circ A_{2}$.

- To prove that $A_{1} \circ A_{2} \subseteq D$, we have to show that concatenating a string from $A_{1}$ with a string from $A_{2}$ always results in a string in $D$. This is true because concatenating a string $c^{i} a^{i} \in A_{1}$ with a string $a^{k} b^{k} \in A_{2}$ leads to $c^{i} a^{i} a^{k} b^{k}=c^{i} a^{i+k} b^{k} \in D$.
- Conversely, to show that $D \subseteq A_{1} \circ A_{2}$, we need to show that every string in $D$ can be expressed as a concatenation of a string from $A_{1}$ with a string from $A_{2}$. This is true because any string $s=c^{i} a^{j} b^{k} \in D$ has $j=i+k$, so $s=c^{i} a^{i+k} b^{k}=c^{i} a^{i} a^{k} b^{k} \in A_{1} \circ A_{2}$.

In our CFG $G$, the rules $X \rightarrow c X a \mid \varepsilon$ with $X$ as the starting variable result in the language $A_{1}$. The rules $Y \rightarrow a Y b \mid \varepsilon$ with starting variable $Y$ result in the language $A_{2}$. As shown in HW 5, problem 3(b), the class of context-free languages is closed under concatenation, and the approach in that problem leads to the given CFG $G$ for $D$.
(b) This is a slight variation of HW 6 , problem $1(\mathrm{~g})$. A PDA $M$ for $D$ is as follows:


To understand the PDA $M$ for $D=\left\{c^{i} a^{j} b^{k} \mid i, j, k \geq 0\right.$ and $\left.j=i+k\right\}$, the previous part explains that $D=L_{1} \circ L_{2}$ because concatenating any string $c^{i} a^{i} \in L_{1}$ for $i \geq 0$ with any string $a^{k} b^{k} \in L_{2}$ for $k \geq 0$ results in a string $c^{i} a^{i} a^{k} b^{k}=$ $c^{i} a^{i+k} b^{k} \in D$. Thus, for a string $c^{i} a^{j} b^{k} \in D$, which must have $j=i+k$, the number $i$ of $c$ 's at the beginning has to be no more than the number $j$ of $a$ 's in the middle (because $i+k=j$ implies $i \leq j$ since $i, j, k \geq 0$ ), and the remaining number $j-i$ of $a$ 's in the middle must match the number $k$ of $b$ 's at the end. Hence, if we have PDAs $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ for $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, respectively, then we can then build a PDA for $D$ by connecting $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ so that $M_{1}$ processes the first part of the string $c^{i} a^{i}$, and $M_{2}$ processes the second part of the string $a^{k} b^{k}$. A PDA $M_{1}$ for $L_{1}$ is

(We can get another PDA for $L_{1}$ by slightly modifying the one on slide 2-38 of the notes.) Similarly, a PDA $M_{2}$ for $L_{2}$ is


But in connecting the two PDAs $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ to get a PDA $M$ for $D$, we need to make sure the stack is empty after $M_{1}$ finishes processing the first part of the string and before $M_{2}$ starts processing the second part of the string. This is accomplished in the PDA $M$ for $D$ by the transition from $q_{3}$ to $q_{4}$ with label $" \varepsilon, \$ \rightarrow \$$ ".
There are infinitely many other correct PDAs for $D$.
There are also infinitely many incorrect PDAs for $D$. For example, in the given solution, if we change the label on the transition from $q_{3}$ to $q_{4}$ to instead be " $\varepsilon, \varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon$ ", then the PDA would incorrectly accept the string $c a b b \notin D$ by not looping in $q_{3}$ but instead looping once in $q_{4}$.
5. Language $D=\left\{c^{i} a^{j} b^{k} \mid i, j, k \geq 0\right.$ and $\left.j=i+k\right\}$ is nonregular. We prove this by contradiction. Suppose that $D$ is a regular language. Let $p$ be the "pumping length" of the Pumping Lemma. Consider the string $s=c^{p} a^{2 p} b^{p}$. (Other possible strings that can work in the proof (with appropriate modifications) are $a^{p} b^{p}$ or $c^{p} a^{p}$.) Note that $s \in D$ because $s \in L\left(c^{*} a^{*} b^{*}\right)$, with the sum of the numbers of $c^{\prime}$ 's and $b$ 's in $s$ equaling the number of $a$ 's in the middle. Also, $|s|=3 p>p$, so all of the assumptions of the Pumping Lemma hold. Thus, there exists strings $x, y$, and $z$ such that $s=x y z$ and
(i) $x y^{i} z \in D$ for each $i \geq 0$,
(ii) $|y|>0$,
(iii) $|x y| \leq p$.

Since the first $p$ symbols of $s$ are all $c$ 's, the third property implies that $x$ and $y$ consist only of $c$ 's. So $z$ will be the rest of the $c$ 's, followed by $a^{2 p} b^{p}$. The second property states that $|y|>0$, so $y$ has at least one $c$. More precisely, we can then say that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =c^{j} \text { for some } j \geq 0 \\
y & =c^{k} \text { for some } k \geq 1 \\
z & =c^{m} a^{2 p} b^{p} \text { for some } m \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $c^{p} a^{2 p} b^{p}=s=x y z=c^{j} c^{k} c^{m} a^{2 p} b^{p}=c^{j+k+m} a^{2 p} b^{p}$, we must have that

$$
j+k+m=p \quad \text { and } \quad k \geq 1
$$

The first property implies that $x y^{2} z \in D$, but

$$
\begin{aligned}
x y^{2} z & =c^{j} c^{k} c^{k} c^{m} a^{2 p} b^{p} \\
& =c^{p+k} a^{2 p} b^{p} \notin D
\end{aligned}
$$

since $p+k+p>2 p$ because $j+k+m=p$ and $k \geq 1$, so in the pumped string $x y^{2} z$, the sum of the numbers of $c$ 's and $b$ 's doesn't match the number of $a$ 's. Because the pumped string $x y^{2} z \notin D$, we have a contradiction. Therefore, $D$ is a nonregular language.

