# What is Software Engineering?

Martin Kellogg

## What is Software Engineering?

Today's agenda:

- Finish slides from last Friday
- What is research? How is it similar/different from SE generally?
- Your relationship to researchers, as a developer
- What sort of problems does SE research solve

#### Is Open Source a Good Business Model?

February 3, 1976

#### An Open Letter to Hobbyists

To see, the most critical thing in the hobby market right now is the lack of good moftware courses, books and moftware itself. Without good moftware and an owner who understands programming, a hobby computer is wasted. Will quality moftware be written for the hobby market?

Almost a year ago, Faul Allen and myself, expecting the hobby market to expand, hirad Yonta Davidoff and developed Altin: BASIC. Though the initial work took only two months, the three of us have spent most of the last year documenting, improving and adding features to BASIC. How we have 4%, 6%, EXTEMBED, ROM and DIEK BASIC. The value of the computer time we have used exceeds \$40,000.

The feedback we have option from the hundreds of people who say they are using BADIC has all been positive. Two surprising things are apparent, however. 1) Most of these "users" nover bought BADIC (less than 10% of all Altir fourners have bought BADIC), and 2) The amount of royalties we have received from sales to hobbyists makes the time spent of Altir fADIC worth less than \$2 an hour.

Why is this? As the majority of hobbyists must be sware, most of you steal your software. Hardware must be paid for, but software is something to share. Who cares if the people who worked on it get paid?

The this fair? One thing you don't do by stealing software is get back at NTS for score problem you may have had. MTS doesn't make money selling software. The royalty paid to us, the manual, the tape and the overhead make it a break-even operation. One thing you do is prevent good software from being written. Who can afford to do professional work for mothing? What hobbyist can put 1-man years into programming, finding all buys, documenting his product and distribute for free? The fact is, no one besides us has invested a lot of momey in hobby software. We have written 6000 MSSTC, and are writing 9000 APL and 6000 APL, but there is very little incentive to make this software available to hobbyists. Most directly, the thing you do is thert.

What about the guys who re-soil Altair BASIC, aren't they making money on hobby software? Yes, but those who have been reported to us may lose in the end. They are the ones who give hobby is a had name, and should be kicked out of any club meeting they show up at.

I would appreciate letters from any one who wants to pay up, or has a suggestion or comment. Just write nm at 1180 Alvarado SE, 2114, Albaquerque. Hew Hexico, 87108. Nothing would please nm more than being able to hire ten programmers and deluge the hobby market with good motware.

General Partner, Micro-Soft

#### The A Register

#### MS' Ballmer: Linux is communism

After a short silence, Motormouth is back, folks ...

考 Graham Lea

Mon 31 Jul 2000 10:10 UTC

MS ANALYSTS Steve Ballmer was the only person to raise the issue of Linux when he wrapped up Microsoft's annual financial analysts meeting in Seattle, although he put Sun and Oracle ahead in terms of being stronger competitors. They of course are 'civilised' competitors - but the Linux crowd, in the world of Prez Steve, are communists.

#### The New York Times

Microsoft Buys GitHub for \$7.5 Billion, Moving to Grow in Coding's New Era

#### Give this article

#### Redmond top man Satya Nadella: 'Microsoft LOVES Linux'

Open-source 'love' fairly runneth over at cloud event



20 Oct 2014 at 23:45, Neil McAllister

What business models can you combine with open source successfully?

#### By Steve Lohr

#### Model: "Open Core", closed plugins

• "Open Core" model: core component of a product is an open source utility; premium plugins available for a fee

## Model: "Open Core", closed plugins

- "Open Core" model: core component of a product is an open source utility; premium plugins available for a fee
- Example: Apache Kafka, a distributed message broker (glue in an event-based system)
  - Product is open source, maintained by Apache foundation, supported by company "Confluent"
  - Confluent provides plugins to connect Kafka to many different systems out-of-the-box

- The largest, most successful open source projects implement **utility infrastructure**:
  - Operating systems, web servers, logging libraries, languages

- The largest, most successful open source projects implement **utility infrastructure**:
  - Operating systems, web servers, logging libraries, languages
- **Business model**: build and sell products and services using those utilities, contribute improvements back to the ecosystem

- The largest, most successful open source projects implement **utility infrastructure**:
  - Operating systems, web servers, logging libraries, languages
- Business model: build and sell products and services using those utilities, contribute improvements back to the ecosystem
  - i.e., sell **expertise**

- The largest, most successful open source projects implement **utility infrastructure**:
  - Operating systems, web servers, logging libraries, languages
- Business model: build and sell products and services using those utilities, contribute improvements back to the ecosystem
  - i.e., sell **expertise**
  - many companies provide specialized "distributions" of these open source infrastructure and specialized tools to improve them; support the upstream project

• **Copyright** provides creators with protection for creative, intellectual and artistic works - **including software** 

- **Copyright** provides creators with protection for creative, intellectual and artistic works **including software** 
  - Alternative: public domain (nobody has exclusive property rights)

- **Copyright** provides creators with protection for creative, intellectual and artistic works **including software** 
  - Alternative: public domain (nobody has exclusive property rights)
- Open source software is **generally copyrighted**, with copyright retained by contributors or assigned to a foundation/corporation that maintains the product

- **Copyright** provides creators with protection for creative, intellectual and artistic works **including software** 
  - Alternative: public domain (nobody has exclusive property rights)
- Open source software is **generally copyrighted**, with copyright retained by contributors or assigned to a foundation/corporation that maintains the product
- Copyright holder can grant a *license* for use, placing restrictions on how it can be used (perhaps for a fee)
  - Common open source licenses: MIT, BSD, Apache, GPL

Two broad classes of open source licenses:

• *permissive licenses* (e.g., MIT, Apache, BSD) allow a combination of the licensed code and some other code (i.e., a *derivative work*) to be released under a different license (including proprietary)

- *permissive licenses* (e.g., MIT, Apache, BSD) allow a combination of the licensed code and some other code (i.e., a *derivative work*) to be released under a different license (including proprietary)
  - goal: encourage adoption and use of the software

- *permissive licenses* (e.g., MIT, Apache, BSD) allow a combination of the licensed code and some other code (i.e., a *derivative work*) to be released under a different license (including proprietary)
  - goal: encourage adoption and use of the software
- *copyleft licenses* (e.g., GPL, CC-BY-SA) forces all linked code to be released under the same license

- *permissive licenses* (e.g., MIT, Apache, BSD) allow a combination of the licensed code and some other code (i.e., a *derivative work*) to be released under a different license (including proprietary)
  - goal: encourage adoption and use of the software
- *copyleft licenses* (e.g., GPL, CC-BY-SA) forces all linked code to be released under the same license
  - goal: protect the commons, require users to contribute back

Two broad classes of open source licenses:

**Philosophy**: do we force participation, or try to grow/incentivize it in other ways?

- permissive licenses (e.g., MIT, Apache, BSD, anow a computation of the licensed code and some other code (i.e., a derivative work) to be released under a different license (including proprietary)
  - goal: encourage adoption and use of the software
- *copyleft licenses* (e.g., GPL, CC-BY-SA) forces all linked code to be released under the same license
  - goal: protect the commons, require users to contribute back

• Offer a free copyleft (e.g. GPL) license to encourage broad adoption, prevent competitors from improving it without sharing those improvements.

- Offer a free copyleft (e.g. GPL) license to encourage broad adoption, prevent competitors from improving it without sharing those improvements.
- Offer custom, more permissive licenses to third parties who are willing to pay for that (e.g. enterprise)

- Offer a free copyleft (e.g. GPL) license to encourage broad adoption, prevent competitors from improving it without sharing those improvements.
- Offer custom, more permissive licenses to third parties who are willing to pay for that (e.g. enterprise)
- Only possible when there is a single copyright owner, who can unilaterally change license

- Offer a free copyleft (e.g. GPL) license to encourage broad adoption, prevent competitors from improving it without sharing those improvements.
- Offer custom, more permissive licenses to third parties who are willing to pay for that (e.g. enterprise)
- Only possible when there is a single copyright owner, who can unilaterally change license
- Risk: losing control of the copyleft portion via forking

- Offer a free copyleft (e.g. GPL) license to encourage broad adoption, prevent competitors from improving it without sharing those improvements.
- Offer custom, more permissive licenses to third parties who are willing to pay for that (e.g. enterprise)
- Only possible when there is a single copyright owner, who can unilaterally change license
- Risk: losing control of the copyleft portion via forking
- Examples: MySQL, Qt

• When software is released under a permissive license, the only rights that the creator can realistically retain are trademarks on name/images - code can otherwise be "forked"

- When software is released under a permissive license, the only rights that the creator can realistically retain are trademarks on name/images code can otherwise be "forked"
- Example:
  - Sun bought StarOffice in 1999, GPL open-sourced as
    OpenOffice in 2000 with aim of fighting MS Office

- When software is released under a permissive license, the only rights that the creator can realistically retain are trademarks on name/images code can otherwise be "forked"
- Example:
  - Sun bought StarOffice in 1999, GPL open-sourced as
    OpenOffice in 2000 with aim of fighting MS Office
  - 2010: Oracle buys Sun, fires many internal developers, frustrating external community

- When software is released under a permissive license, the only rights that the creator can realistically retain are trademarks on name/images code can otherwise be "forked"
- Example:
  - Sun bought StarOffice in 1999, GPL open-sourced as
    OpenOffice in 2000 with aim of fighting MS Office
  - 2010: Oracle buys Sun, fires many internal developers, frustrating external community
  - 2011: Community forms a foundation, creates fork LibreOffice,
    OpenOffice dies off (Oracle transfers to Apache)

• Model: Creators of open source software provide a cloud hosted, "fully managed" installation of the software, as a service

- Model: Creators of open source software provide a cloud hosted, "fully managed" installation of the software, as a service
- Risk: No competitive advantage vs cloud utility providers (e.g. AWS)

- Model: Creators of open source software provide a cloud hosted, "fully managed" installation of the software, as a service
- Risk: No competitive advantage vs cloud utility providers (e.g. AWS)
  - AWS could even improve your GPL code and not share because it is not distributing the program (it operates it as a service)

- Model: Creators of open source software provide a cloud hosted, "fully managed" installation of the software, as a service
- Risk: No competitive advantage vs cloud utility providers (e.g. AWS)
  AWS could even improve your GPL code and not share because it is not distributing the program (it operates it as a service)
- Example: MongoDB Atlas (document-oriented database)

- Model: Creators of open source software provide a cloud hosted, "fully managed" installation of the software, as a service
- Risk: No competitive advantage vs cloud utility providers (e.g. AWS)
  AWS could even improve your GPL code and not share because it is not distributing the program (it operates it as a service)
- Example: MongoDB Atlas (document-oriented database)
  - MongoDB created a new license to require copyleft for service providers operating MongoDB as a service
#### Model: Hosted OSS As A Service

- Model: Creators of open source software provide a cloud hosted, "fully managed" installation of the software, as a service
- Risk: No competitive advantage vs cloud utility providers (e.g. AWS)
  AWS could even improve your GPL code and not share because

it is **not distributing** the program (it operates it as a service)

- Example: MongoDB Atlas (document-oriented database)
  - MongoDB created a new license to require copyleft for service providers operating MongoDB as a service
  - Amazon created their own fork of the GPL'ed version of MongoDB, ignored code only released under new license

• While the Java **specification** is public, there used to be no open source Java runtime **implementation** 

- While the Java **specification** is public, there used to be no open source Java runtime **implementation**
- Much open source software was/is written in Java, creating "The Java Trap" for open source

- While the Java **specification** is public, there used to be no open source Java runtime **implementation**
- Much open source software was/is written in Java, creating "The Java Trap" for open source
- 1996-2006: GNU, Apache (backed by IBM and Apple), and others attempted to create open source implementations; Sun refused to permit these runtimes to be tested for compatibility, prohibiting them from using the term "Java"

- While the Java **specification** is public, there used to be no open source Java runtime **implementation**
- Much open source software was/is written in Java, creating "The Java Trap" for open source
- 1996-2006: GNU, Apache (backed by IBM and Apple), and others attempted to create open source implementations; Sun refused to permit these runtimes to be tested for compatibility, prohibiting them from using the term "Java"
- 2007: Sun releases OpenJDK under GPL; third party projects abandoned mostly uncompleted

#### Why did Sun release

#### Another example: Java & ope OpenJDK?

- While the Java **specification** is public, t source Java runtime **implementation**
- Much open source software was/is written in Java, creating "The Java Trap" for open source
- 1996-2006: GNU, Apache (backed by IBM and Apple), and others attempted to create open source implementations; Sun refused to permit these runtimes to be tested for compatibility, prohibiting them from using the term "Java"
- 2007: Sun releases OpenJDK under GPL; third party projects abandoned mostly uncompleted

# Another example: Java & ope

• While the Java **specification** is public, t source Java runtime **implementation** 

Why did Sun release OpenJDK? They feared losing control of Java.

- Much open source software was/is written in Java, creating "The Java Trap" for open source
- 1996-2006: GNU, Apache (backed by IBM and Apple), and others attempted to create open source implementations; Sun refused to permit these runtimes to be tested for compatibility, prohibiting them from using the term "Java"
- 2007: Sun releases OpenJDK under GPL; third party projects abandoned mostly uncompleted

• Model: "Product" is the ecosystem (app store, ads, etc) and the hardware (made by competing manufacturers), not Android itself

- Model: "Product" is the ecosystem (app store, ads, etc) and the hardware (made by competing manufacturers), not Android itself
- Android is **entirely open source**, built on Linux; applications are written in Java/Kotlin, executed using a custom-built runtime

- Model: "Product" is the ecosystem (app store, ads, etc) and the hardware (made by competing manufacturers), not Android itself
- Android is **entirely open source**, built on Linux; applications are written in Java/Kotlin, executed using a custom-built runtime
- To provide implementations of core Java APIs (e.g. java.util.X), Android used the open source Apache Harmony implementations

- Model: "Product" is the ecosystem (app store, ads, etc) and the hardware (made by competing manufacturers), not Android itself
- Android is **entirely open source**, built on Linux; applications are written in Java/Kotlin, executed using a custom-built runtime
- To provide implementations of **core Java APIs** (e.g. java.util.X), Android used the open source Apache Harmony implementations
- Oracle v Google: Oracle asserted that Java APIs were their property (copyright) and Google misused that; judge ruled that APIs specifications cannot be copyrighted

• Are licenses **compatible**? A significant concern for licenses with copyleft:

- Are licenses compatible? A significant concern for licenses with copyleft:
  - Adopting libraries with copyleft clause generally means what you distribute linked against that library must also have same copyleft clause (and be open source)

- Are licenses compatible? A significant concern for licenses with copyleft:
  - Adopting libraries with copyleft clause generally means what you distribute linked against that library must also have same copyleft clause (and be open source)
  - Including permissive-licensed software in copyleft-licensed software is generally compatible

- Are licenses compatible? A significant concern for licenses with copyleft:
  - Adopting libraries with copyleft clause generally means what you distribute linked against that library must also have same copyleft clause (and be open source)
  - Including permissive-licensed software in copyleft-licensed software is generally compatible
- Are you certain that the software truly is released under the license that is stated? Did all contributors agree to that license?

# **Risks of using Open Source**

• Are licenses compatible? A significa copyleft:

Industry must balance these risks against the **clear benefit** of OSS: reusing existing code

- Adopting libraries with copyleft \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ at you distribute linked against that library must also have same copyleft clause (and be open source)
- Including permissive-licensed software in copyleft-licensed software is generally compatible
- Are you certain that the software truly is released under the license that is stated? Did all contributors agree to that license?

• Recent development: large language models trained on all code in public repositories on GitHub (e.g., Codex, GPTs)

- Recent development: large language models trained on all code in public repositories on GitHub (e.g., Codex, GPTs)
- Tools like GitHub Copilot suggest lines of code as you program, based on the Codex model

- Recent development: large language models trained on all code in public repositories on GitHub (e.g., Codex, GPTs)
- Tools like GitHub Copilot suggest lines of code as you program, based on the Codex model
  - Copilot has been observed to output entire snippets of code from public GitHub repositories

- Recent development: large language models trained on all code in public repositories on GitHub (e.g., Codex, GPTs)
- Tools like GitHub Copilot suggest lines of code as you program, based on the Codex model
  - Copilot has been observed to output entire snippets of code from public GitHub repositories
- Ongoing legal battles over:

- Recent development: large language models trained on all code in public repositories on GitHub (e.g., Codex, GPTs)
- Tools like GitHub Copilot suggest lines of code as you program, based on the Codex model
  - Copilot has been observed to output entire snippets of code from public GitHub repositories
- Ongoing legal battles over:
  - Does training Codex on public code violate copyleft licenses?

- Recent development: large language models trained on all code in public repositories on GitHub (e.g., Codex, GPTs)
- Tools like GitHub Copilot suggest lines of code as you program, based on the Codex model
  - Copilot has been observed to output entire snippets of code from public GitHub repositories
- Ongoing legal battles over:
  - Does training Codex on public code violate copyleft licenses?
  - Who is the owner of Copilot's output, especially when it is similar to public code that has an owner?

- Recent development: large language models trained on all code in public repositories on GitHub (e.g. Codex model)
- Tools like GitHub Copilot sugges based on the Codex model
  - Copilot has been observed to from public GitHub repositor
- Ongoing legal battles over:

Many companies **forbid** their developers from using Copilot or similar tools because of the risks from these legal battles!

- Does training Codex on public code violate copyleft licenses?
- Who is the owner of Copilot's output, especially when it is similar to public code that has an owner?

• Current trends suggest that LLMs are going to be a **major part** of software engineering (and many other disciplines) going forward

- Current trends suggest that LLMs are going to be a major part of software engineering (and many other disciplines) going forward
  - many engineers want to use them, even if they're not currently permitted to due to legal risks
    - great for generating boilerplate, tests, etc.

- Current trends suggest that LLMs are going to be a major part of software engineering (and many other disciplines) going forward
  - many engineers want to use them, even if they're not currently permitted to due to legal risks
    - great for generating boilerplate, tests, etc.
- My view: LLMs are like an **untrustworthy but very smart compiler**

- Current trends suggest that LLMs are going to be a major part of software engineering (and many other disciplines) going forward
  - many engineers want to use them, even if they're not currently permitted to due to legal risks
    - great for generating boilerplate, tests, etc.
- My view: LLMs are like an untrustworthy but very smart compiler
  unlike traditional compiler, do not promise to preserve semantics (and might hallucinate)

- Current trends suggest that LLMs are going to be a major part of software engineering (and many other disciplines) going forward
  - many engineers want to use them, even if they're not currently permitted to due to legal risks
    - great for generating boilerplate, tests, etc.
- My view: LLMs are like an **untrustworthy but very smart compiler** 
  - unlike traditional compiler, do not promise to preserve semantics (and might hallucinate)
  - but input can be natural language or a specification, rather than another program

2.

• Current trends suggest that LLMs are going to be a major part of software engineering (and Possible future workflow:

rently

mpiler

- many engineers wan permitted to due to l
  - great for generat
- My view: LLMs are like a
  - unlike traditional con 3. SDE reviews final code semantics (and might hallucinate)
  - but input can be natural language or a specification, rather than another program

1. LLMs generate code

deductive verification tools

check for correctness

#### Takeaways: free and open-source software

- Free software and open-source software represent different philosophies about how code should be shared:
  - Free software: if I share with you, you need to share with me
  - Open source software: I share with you, you do what you want
- Because software is copyrightable, licenses enforce philosophy
  copyleft licenses enforce free software principles
- Many viable open source business models, but all have risks
- Licensing concerns are the main reason to avoid open-source code in industry (industry loves permissive licenses)

#### What is Software Engineering?

Today's agenda:

- Finish slides from last Friday
- What is research? How is it similar/different from SE generally?
- Your relationship to researchers, as a developer
- What sort of problems does SE research solve

#### What is research?

#### What is research?

• *Research* is the process of innovation: creating or discovering something that has never been built/known before
- *Research* is the process of innovation: creating or discovering something that has never been built/known before
- All software development is to some extent **innovative**

- *Research* is the process of innovation: creating or discovering something that has never been built/known before
- All software development is to some extent **innovative** 
  - the cost of copying software is zero, so any new software has
    by definition not been created before

- *Research* is the process of innovation: creating or discovering something that has never been built/known before
- All software development is to some extent **innovative** 
  - the cost of copying software is zero, so any new software has
    by definition not been created before
  - this contrasts with many other fields, where practitioners ("engineers" or otherwise) are not doing anything fundamentally novel

- *Research* is the process of innovation: creating or discovering something that has never been built/known before
- All software development is to some extent **innovative** 
  - the cost of copying software is zero, so any new software has
    by definition not been created before
  - this contrasts with many other fields, where practitioners ("engineers" or otherwise) are not doing anything fundamentally novel
    - in those field, anyone doing something new is doing "research"

• If all software development is innovative, what distinguishes **computer science research** from just doing software engineering?

- If all software development is innovative, what distinguishes **computer science research** from just doing software engineering?
  - the key difference is that most computer science research is meta in some way

- If all software development is innovative, what distinguishes **computer science research** from just doing software engineering?
  - the key difference is that most computer science research is meta in some way
    - e.g., it might explore how to build classes of programs, like operating systems (OS) or compilers (PL)

- If all software development is innovative, what distinguishes **computer science research** from just doing software engineering?
  - the key difference is that most computer science research is meta in some way
    - e.g., it might explore how to build classes of programs, like operating systems (OS) or compilers (PL)
    - or, it might explore foundational notions of what computers can and cannot do (CS theory)

- If all software development is innovative, what distinguishes **computer science research** from just doing software engineering?
  - the key difference is that most computer science research is meta in some way
    - e.g., it might explore how to build classes of programs, like operating systems (OS) or compilers (PL)
    - or, it might explore foundational notions of what computers can and cannot do (CS theory)
    - or explore what computers we can physically build (arch)

• So then what's meta about **software engineering** research?

- So then what's meta about **software engineering** research?
- Software engineering researchers study:

- So then what's meta about **software engineering** research?
- Software engineering researchers study:
  - what developers do
    - e.g., studies of developers, what makes them more or less productive, etc.

- So then what's meta about **software engineering** research?
- Software engineering researchers study:
  - what developers do
    - e.g., studies of developers, what makes them more or less productive, etc.
  - how they do it
    - e.g., software architecture, design patterns

- So then what's meta about **software engineering** research?
- Software engineering researchers study:
  - what developers do
    - e.g., studies of developers, what makes them more or less productive, etc.
  - how they do it
    - e.g., software architecture, design patterns
  - better ways to improve software quality
    - e.g., new kinds of testing, static analysis, etc.

- So then what's meta about **software engineering** research?
- Software engineering researchers study:
  - what developers do
    - e.g., studies of developers, what makes them more or less productive, etc.
  - how they do it
    - e.g., software architecture, design patterns
  - better ways to improve software quality
    - e.g., new kinds of testing, static analysis, etc.
  - and anything else related to improving developer productivity

- So then what's meta abou
- Software engineering rese
  - what developers do

We'll come back to this stuff later in the lecture in a bit more detail, with some examples.

- e.g., studies of developers, what makes them more or less productive, etc.
- how they do it
  - e.g., software architecture, design patterns
- better ways to improve software quality
  - e.g., new kinds of testing, static analysis, etc.
- and anything else related to improving developer productivity

Most computer science research occurs in universities
 o including NJIT!

- Most computer science research occurs in universities
  including NJIT!
- Most research is actually done by students (especially PhD students), working under a professor

- Most computer science research occurs in universities
  o including NJIT!
- Most research is actually done by students (especially PhD students), working under a professor
  - professor supplies high-level research vision + experience and training

- Most computer science research occurs in universities
  o including NJIT!
- Most research is actually done by students (especially PhD students), working under a professor
  - professor supplies high-level research vision + experience and training
  - student does the grunt work of writing code, gather data, etc.

- Most computer science researce involv
  including NJIT!
- Most research is actually done by students (especially PhD students), working under a professor
  - professor supplies high-level research vision + experience and training
  - student does the grunt work of writing code, gather data, etc.

Not just PhD students: as an **undergraduate** you can get involved in research too (I did!)

- Most computer science research occurs in universities
  o including NJIT!
- Most research is actually done by students (especially PhD students), working under a professor
  - professor supplies high-level research vision + experience and training
  - student does the grunt work of writing code, gather data, etc.
- Some research is done in industry

- Most computer science research occurs in universities
  o including NJIT!
- Most research is actually done by students (especially PhD students), working under a professor
  - professor supplies high-level research vision + experience and training
  - student does the grunt work of writing code, gather data, etc.
- Some research is done in industry
  - $\circ~$  e.g., Microsoft has MSR, AWS has ARG, etc.

- Most computer science research occurs in universities
  o including NJIT!
- Most research is actually done by students (especially PhD students), working under a professor
  - professor supplies high-level research vision + experience and training
  - student does the grunt work of writing code, gather data, etc.
- Some research is done in industry
  - e.g., Microsoft has MSR, AWS has ARG, etc.
  - sometimes developers do research by accident, too!

- Most computer science research occurs in **universities** 
  - including NJIT!
- Most research is active students), working
  - professor suppl and training

However, developers rarely **publish** their research, which is important if you want it to be a part of the **total sum of human knowledge**.

ţe

- $\circ$   $\:$  student does the grunt work of writing code, gather data, etc.
- Some research is done in industry
  - e.g., Microsoft has MSR, AWS has ARG, etc.
  - sometimes developers do research by accident, too!

• In my experience, most undergrads think that doing a PhD is just like "more school".

- In my experience, most undergrads think that doing a PhD is just like "more school".
  - This is a long way from the truth: being a PhD student is more like a job that gives you a PhD when you do it long enough

- In my experience, most undergrads think that doing a PhD is just like "more school".
  - This is a long way from the truth: being a PhD student is more like a job that gives you a PhD when you do it long enough
    - for example, PhD students in CS are typically paid, although not very much ("stipends")

- In my experience, most undergrads think that doing a PhD is just like "more school".
  - This is a long way from the truth: being a PhD student is more like a job that gives you a PhD when you do it long enough
    - for example, PhD students in CS are typically paid, although not very much ("stipends")
    - the PhD student's advisor (a professor) is their boss

- In my experience, most une like "more school".
  Another misconception: in the US,
  - This is a long way from like a job that gives you
     You usually do not need a master's degree to start a PhD program!
    - for example, PhD students in CS are typically paid, although not very much ("stipends")
    - the PhD student's advisor (a professor) is their boss

- In my experience, most undergrads think that doing a PhD is just like "more school".
  - This is a long way from the truth: being a PhD student is more like a job that gives you a PhD when you do it long enough
    - for example, PhD students in CS are typically paid, although not very much ("stipends")
    - the PhD student's advisor (a professor) is their boss
- For this reason, in my opinion more undergraduates should at least consider doing a PhD

- In my experience, most undergrads think that doing a PhD is just like "more school".
  - This is a long way from the truth: being a PhD student is more like a job that gives you a PhD when you do it long enough
    - for example, PhD students in CS are typically paid, although not very much ("stipends")
    - the PhD student's advisor (a professor) is their boss
- For this reason, in my opinion more undergraduates should at least consider doing a PhD
  - it might be more affordable than you think!

• Pros of doing a PhD:

- Pros of doing a PhD:
  - you become a **world expert** in a topic
- Pros of doing a PhD:
  - you become a world expert in a topic
  - push forth the bounds of human knowledge

- Pros of doing a PhD:
  - you become a **world expert** in a topic
  - push forth the bounds of human knowledge
  - some jobs are **only accessible** to people with PhDs:

- Pros of doing a PhD:
  - you become a **world expert** in a topic
  - push forth the bounds of human knowledge
  - some jobs are **only accessible** to people with PhDs:
    - professor
      - although you can teach without a PhD, you can't get tenure without one

- Pros of doing a PhD:
  - you become a **world expert** in a topic
  - push forth the bounds of human knowledge
  - some jobs are **only accessible** to people with PhDs:
    - professor
      - although you can **teach** without a PhD, you can't get tenure without one
    - industrial researcher
      - e.g., static analysis designer, ML architecture developer, etc.

• Cons of doing a PhD:

- Cons of doing a PhD:
  - it's a **bad financial decision** (due to opportunity cost)
    - PhD students get paid, but much less than e.g., software engineer salaries

- Cons of doing a PhD:
  - it's a **bad financial decision** (due to opportunity cost)
    - PhD students get paid, but much less than e.g., software engineer salaries
  - it takes a long time
    - typically 4 to 6 years, sometimes longer

- Cons of doing a PhD:
  - it's a **bad financial decision** (due to opportunity cost)
    - PhD students get paid, but much less than e.g., software engineer salaries
  - $\circ$  it takes a long time
    - typically 4 to 6 years, sometimes longer
  - it's mentally taxing
    - you're working on only one thing for 4-6 years!
    - rates of mental health problems among PhD students are much higher than the general population

• If despite those cons, you think a PhD is something you might be interested in, come talk to me (or another professor in the department)

If despite those cons, you think a PhD is something you might be interested in, come talk to me (or another professor in the department)

Which professor to approach? Choose a **research professor** whose work sounds interesting to you (or who you know already from class).

 If despite those cons, you think a PhD is something you might be interested in, come talk to me (or another professor in the department)

to find out about a professor's work, google "their name" NJIT" and read their website Which professor to approach? Choose a **research professor** whose **work** sounds interesting to you (or who you know already from class).

If despite those cons, you think a PhD is something you might be interested in, come talk to me (or another professor in the department)

Which professor to approach? Choose a **research professor** whose work sounds interesting to you (or who you know already from class).

- at NJIT, research professors all have "professor" in the title
- teaching professors are "lecturers"

- If despite those cons, you think a PhD is something you might be interested in, come talk to me (or another professor in the department)
  - high-quality PhD programs require letters of recommendation from professors you've worked with, so you should work with a professor :)

- If despite those cons, you think a PhD is something you might be interested in, come talk to me (or another professor in the department)
  - high-quality PhD programs require letters of recommendation from professors you've worked with, so you should work with a professor :)
  - it's best to approach professors about joining their research group when you're a sophomore or junior

- If despite those cons, you think a PhD is something you might be interested in, come talk to me (or another professor in the department)
  - high-quality PhD programs require letters of recommendation from professors you've worked with, so you should work with a professor :)
  - it's best to approach professors about joining their research group when you're a sophomore or junior
    - at this stage, you know enough to be useful, but you'll be around long enough that you can ramp up on a project

## What is Software Engineering?

Today's agenda:

- Finish slides from last Friday
- What is research? How is it similar/different from SE generally?
- Your relationship to researchers, as a developer
- What sort of problems does SE research solve

• Assuming you're not going to do a PhD, why should you care about research in software engineering (or CS in general)?

- Assuming you're not going to do a PhD, why should you care about research in software engineering (or CS in general)?
  - CS is a very **fast-changing**, young field
    - implying best practices change a lot: what we've covered in 490 might not be true anymore in 5/10/20 years

- Assuming you're not going to do a PhD, why should you care about research in software engineering (or CS in general)?
  - CS is a very **fast-changing**, young field
    - implying best practices change a lot: what we've covered in 490 might not be true anymore in 5/10/20 years
  - Many developers are also working in fast-changing domains within CS
    - e.g., if you're working on ML, you'll want to keep up with the latest ML research

• You may also have industrial researchers embedded in your company

- You may also have industrial researchers embedded in your company
  - if you're at a "big tech" company, you definitely do; other places, it's a maybe

- You may also have industrial researchers embedded in your company
  - if you're at a "big tech" company, you definitely do; other places, it's a maybe
- Especially if you're working on something cutting edge and you're considering trying to keep up with the latest research yourself, finding an industrial researcher in your company is a good idea
  - they can keep up with the research so you don't have to!

- Industry-focused academic publications
  - e.g., CACM ("Communications of the ACM") is great for this

- Industry-focused academic publications
  A.G. CACM ("Communications of the ACM") is
  - e.g., CACM ("Communications of the ACM") is great for this
- Find some **technology bloggers** that you like
  - common tech blog entry: a review of a recent paper by the blogger (they read it so you don't have to!)

- Industry-focused academic publications
  - $\circ~$  e.g., CACM ("Communications of the ACM") is great for this
- Find some **technology bloggers** that you like
  - common tech blog entry: a review of a recent paper by the blogger (they read it so you don't have to!)
- Attend industry conferences (at your employer's expense...)

- Industry-focused academic publications
  - $\circ~$  e.g., CACM ("Communications of the ACM") is great for this
- Find some **technology bloggers** that you like
  - common tech blog entry: a review of a recent paper by the blogger (they read it so you don't have to!)
- Attend industry conferences (at your employer's expense...)
- Keep up with research areas you're particularly interested in directly, by reading (or, more likely, skimming) papers
   o more advice on this next

• I strongly recommend that you skim papers as a developer

I strongly recommend that you skim papers as a developer
 o if you're going to read them at all

- I strongly recommend that you skim papers as a developer
  o if you're going to read them at all
- "skimming" = "reading only the most important results, and skipping the details of how those results were reached"

- I strongly recommend that you skim papers as a developer
  o if you're going to read them at all
- "skimming" = "reading only the most important results, and skipping the details of how those results were reached"
  - in academic papers, this usually means reading just the abstract and introduction (and maybe the conclusion)

- I strongly recommend that you skim papers as a developer
  o if you're going to read them at all
- "skimming" = "reading only the most important results, and skipping the details of how those results were reached"
  - in academic papers, this usually means reading just the abstract and introduction (and maybe the conclusion)
- Be careful, though: **not** all academic papers are **equally high-quality**!

- I strongly recommend that you skim papers as a developer
  o if you're going to read them at all
- "skimming" = "reading only the most important results, and skipping the details of how those results were reached"
  - in academic papers, this usually means reading just the abstract and introduction (and maybe the conclusion)
- Be careful, though: **not** all academic papers are **equally high-quality**!
  - as a dev, you're not trained to judge this, so relying on peer review + recommendations from e.g., tech bloggers is smart

- I strongly recommend that you skim papers as a developer
  o if you're going to read them at all
- "skimming" = "reading skipping the details of
  - in academic paper and introduction (a)

as a dev, you're no

 Be careful, though: no high-quality!

0

**Exception**: papers published by industrial research labs (e.g., Google Research, MSR) are almost always written in a style closer to what developers are trained to read. These are often the ones you want to focus on as a developer, anyway!

Ct

review + recommendations from e.g., tech bloggers is smart

#### Reading papers: finding papers

# Reading papers: finding papers

• In computer science, new research is usually published in **conferences** (not journals)
- In computer science, new research is usually published in conferences (not journals)
  - conferences have shorter **publication lag**, often < 6 months

- In computer science, new research is usually published in conferences (not journals)
  - conferences have shorter **publication lag**, often < 6 months
- If you want to get a feel for the latest research in a part of CS, you need to find the **best conferences** for that field
  - usually, fields have many conferences, of which only 2-4 are high-quality

- In computer science, new research is usually published in conferences (not journals)
  - conferences have shorter **publication lag**, often < 6 months
- If you want to get a feel for the latest research in a part of CS, you need to find the **best conferences** for that field
  - usually, fields have many conferences, of which only 2-4 are high-quality
- To find the best conferences, you could:

- In computer science, new research is usually published in conferences (not journals)
  - conferences have shorter **publication lag**, often < 6 months
- If you want to get a feel for the latest research in a part of CS, you need to find the **best conferences** for that field
  - usually, fields have many conferences, of which only 2-4 are high-quality
- To find the best conferences, you could:
  - ask a peer in industrial research (if you have one)

- In computer science, new research is usually published in conferences (not journals)
  - conferences have shorter **publication lag**, often < 6 months
- If you want to get a feel for the latest research in a part of CS, you need to find the **best conferences** for that field
  - usually, fields have many conferences, of which only 2-4 are high-quality
- To find the best conferences, you could:
  - ask a peer in industrial research (if you have one)
  - use a website like <u>csrankings.org</u>

### What is Software Engineering?

Today's agenda:

- Finish slides from last Friday
- What is research? How is it similar/different from SE generally?
- Your relationship to researchers, as a developer
- What sort of problems does SE research solve

Some research areas in CS are united by methodology
 e.g., most PL papers are "compilers for X"

- Some research areas in CS are united by methodology
  e.g., most PL papers are "compilers for X"
- Other areas are united by **application** 
  - e.g., most OS papers are about operating systems

- Some research areas in CS are united by methodology
  e.g., most PL papers are "compilers for X"
- Other areas are united by **application** 
  - e.g., most OS papers are about operating systems
- Software engineering research is united by an application: developer productivity

- Some research areas in CS are united by methodology
  e.g., most PL papers are "compilers for X"
- Other areas are united by **application** 
  - e.g., most OS papers are about operating systems
- Software engineering research is united by an application: developer productivity
  - as a developer, this is an application you will probably care about

- Some research areas in CS are united by methodology
  e.g., most PL papers are "compilers for X"
- Other areas are united by **application** 
  - e.g., most OS papers are about operating systems
- Software engineering research is united by an application: developer productivity
  - as a developer, this is an application you will probably care about
  - so SE research is particularly important to developers!

Q1: the author references a paper by Redwine and Riddle repeatedly. That paper is about which of the following topics?

- A. program verification
- **B.** automated testing
- **C.** technology maturation
- **D.** software architecture

Q2: **TRUE** or **FALSE**: the author compares software engineering research to (and takes inspiration from) a series of "pro forma" abstracts from the operating systems research community.

Q1: the author references a paper by Redwine and Riddle repeatedly. That paper is about which of the following topics?

- A. program verification
- B. automated testing
- **C.** technology maturation
- **D.** software architecture

Q2: **TRUE** or **FALSE**: the author compares software engineering research to (and takes inspiration from) a series of "pro forma" abstracts from the operating systems research community.

Q1: the author references a paper by Redwine and Riddle repeatedly. That paper is about which of the following topics?

- A. program verification
- B. automated testing
- **C.** technology maturation
- **D.** software architecture

Q2: **TRUE** or **FALSE**: the author compares software engineering research to (and takes inspiration from) a series of "pro forma" abstracts from the operating systems research community.

Q1: the author references a paper by Redwine and Riddle repeatedly. That paper is about which of the following topics?

- A. program verification
- B. automated testing
- **C.** technology maturation
- **D.** software architecture

Q2: **TRUE** or **FALSE**: the author compares software engineering research to (and takes inspiration from) a series of "pro forma" abstracts from the <del>operating systems</del> **HCI** research community.

### Wrapup

- I hope you enjoyed CS 490 this semester
- (but we still have one more class: next Wednesday, you have to present to me!)