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## Competing interactions

## Example: ferromagnetic materials

- short-range ordering of spins by exchange interactions
- long-range forces frustrate magnetic ordering



## Magnetization patterns

Some examples:

iron whiskers

(from Hubert and Schafer: Magnetic domains)

## Energetics of competing shortrange and long-range interactions

Energy functional:

$$
\mathcal{E}[u]=\int\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+f(u)\right) d x+\frac{\alpha}{2} \iint g[u(x)] G_{0}(x, y) g[u(y)] d x d y
$$

- local part favors phase segregation
- long-range kernel favors spatial homogeneity
- volume fraction of one phase fixed

Energetics of competing shortrange and long-range interactions

Ginzburg-Landau framework:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}[u] & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+W(u)\right) d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega}(u(x)-\bar{u}) G_{0}(x, y)(u(y)-\bar{u}) d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

$0<\varepsilon \ll 1$ is the dimensionless interfacial thickness of special physical interest is the large domain case

## Canonical model

Ginzburg-Landau energy + squared negative Sobolev norm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}[u] & =\int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+W(u)\right) d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{(u(x)-\bar{u})(u(y)-\bar{u})}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

here:

$$
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}\right) \quad \mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}=[0, \ell)^{d} \quad 0<\alpha<d
$$

need "neutrality" condition:

$$
\frac{1}{\ell^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}} u d x=\bar{u}
$$

## Canonical model (cont.)

Ginzburg-Landau energy + squared negative Sobolev norm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}[u] & =\int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+W(u)\right) d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{(u(x)-\bar{u})(u(y)-\bar{u})}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

physical cases:
non-locality of Coulombic origin
$\alpha=1, d=3$ - ceramic compounds, various polymer systems, etc.
$\alpha=\mathrm{I}, d=2$ - magnetic bubble materials, high $-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ sueperconductors, etc.
$\alpha=" 0 ", d=2$ - ordering during surface deposition, etc.
$\alpha=" 3 ", d=2$ - ultra-thin ferromagnetic films

## Canonical model (cont.)

Alternative rescaling:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}[u] & =\int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+W(u)\right) d x \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon^{d-\alpha}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{(u(x)-\bar{u})(u(y)-\bar{u})}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow \varepsilon$ is the relative strength of long-range forces
need $\varepsilon \lesssim 1$ : if $\varepsilon \gg 1$, then the functional is convex bifurcation at $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{c}=O(1)$
far from bifurcation $\Rightarrow \varepsilon \ll 1$

## Long-range Coulomb repulsion

$u$ - charge density on a torus in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{2}$
$G_{0}$ - Green's function of the Laplace's equation

$$
-\Delta G_{0}(x, y)=\delta(x-y)-\frac{1}{\ell^{d}}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}} G_{0}(x, y) d x=0
$$

charge neutrality condition: $\quad \frac{1}{\ell^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}} u d x=\bar{u}$
Ohta-Kawasaki model (diblock copolymers)

## Ohta-Kawasaki energy

diblock-copolymer melts


$$
\begin{aligned}
E \propto \int( & \left.\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2}-\frac{\xi^{-2}}{2} \phi^{2}+\frac{g}{4} \phi^{4}\right) d^{3} \mathbf{r} \\
& +\frac{\alpha}{2} \iint \frac{(\phi(\mathbf{r})-\bar{\phi})\left(\phi\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)-\bar{\phi}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|} d^{3} \mathbf{r} d^{3} \mathbf{r}^{\prime}
\end{aligned} \quad \alpha=\frac{12}{N^{2} f(1-f)}
$$

qualitative model for mesophases under strong segretation
Long-range forces of entropic origin
(Leibler'80; Stillinger'83; Ohta, Kawasaki'86; Choksi, Ren'03)

## Block copolymer morphologies



Figure 3. Phase diagram for linear AB diblock copolymers, comparing theory and experiment. a: Self-consistent mean-field theory ${ }^{8}$ predicts four equilibrium morphologies: spherical (S), cylindrical (C), gyroid (G) and lamellar (L), depending on the composition $f$ and combination parameter $\chi N$. Here, $\chi$ is the segment-segment interaction energy (proportional to the heat of mixing A and B segments) and $N$ is the degree of polymerization (number of monomers of all types per macromolecule). b: Experimental phase portrait for poly(isoprenestyrene) diblock copolymers. ${ }^{9}$ The resemblance to the theoretical diagram is remarkable, though there are important differences, as discussed in the text. One difference is the observed PL phase, which is actually metastable. Shown at the bottom of the figure is a representation of the equilibrium microdomain structures as $f_{A}$ is increased for fixed $\chi N$, with type A and B monomers confined to blue and red regions, respectively.
M.W. Matsen, M. Schick, Phys. Rev. Lett. (I994)
A. K. Khandpur et al., Macromolecules (I995)

## Ohta-Kawasaki model

## many local minimizers:


(Choksi, Peletier and Williams'09)

## Sharp interface energy

reduced energy

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{2 W(u)} d u=1 .
$$

$$
E[u]=\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}}|\nabla u| d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}}(u(x)-\bar{u}) G(x-y)(u(y)-\bar{u}) d x d y
$$

where $u \in B V(\Omega ;\{-1,1\})$ and

$$
-\Delta G(x)+\kappa^{2} G(x)=\delta(x) \quad \kappa=\frac{1}{\sqrt{W^{\prime \prime}(1)}}
$$

$G$ is a screened Coulomb kernel, no neutrality constraint
Theorem: if $\bar{u} \in(-1,1)$ and $d=2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\min \mathcal{E}}{\min E} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{M'IO}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\bar{u} \in(-1,1), \quad \ell=O(1), \quad \varepsilon \ll 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad$ non-trivial minimizers
the rest of the talk is in two space dimensions

## Non-trivial minimizers with high compositional asymmetry

- pattern with sharp interface
- identical disk-shaped droplets
- energy reduces to pair interactions ( $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} 10$ ):

$$
V=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} G\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)
$$


note the similarity with Abrikosov vortices
Is the minimizer a hexagonal lattice?

## Energy of interacting droplets

$$
G(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} K_{0}(\kappa|x-\mathbf{n} \ell|), \quad G(x)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \ln (\bar{\kappa}|x|)+O(|x|), \quad|x| \ll 1
$$

macroscopic limit: $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \ell \gtrsim 1$
assume droplets are disks, then to leading order

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{N}\left(\left\{r_{i}\right\},\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(2 \pi \varepsilon r_{i}-2 \pi(1+\bar{u}) \kappa^{-2} r_{i}^{2}-\pi r_{i}^{4}\left(\ln \bar{\kappa} r_{i}-\frac{1}{4}\right)\right) \\
& +4 \pi^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} G\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right) r_{i}^{2} r_{j}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

balancing terms:

$$
r_{i}=O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1 / 3}\right) \quad N=O(|\ln \varepsilon|) \quad 1+\bar{u}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{1 / 3}\right)
$$

the number of droplets diverges!

## What is the limit behavior of the minimizers?

can be analyzed via the Euler-Lagrange equation, etc.
Theorem. Let $W=\frac{9}{32}\left(1-u^{2}\right)^{2}$, let $\bar{u}=-1+\varepsilon^{2 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{1 / 3} \bar{\delta}$, with some $\bar{\delta}>0$ fixed, and let $\kappa=\frac{2}{3}$. Then
(i) If $\bar{\delta} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{9} \kappa^{2}$, then $\varepsilon^{-4 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{-2 / 3} \ell^{-2} \min \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \kappa^{-2} \bar{\delta}^{2}$,
(ii) If $\bar{\delta}>\frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{9} \kappa^{2}$, then $\varepsilon^{-4 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{-2 / 3} \ell^{-2} \min \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt[3]{9}}{2}\left(\bar{\delta}-\frac{\sqrt[3]{9}}{4} \kappa^{2}\right)$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
natural approach via 「-convergence $\quad$ (an easier case is $\ell \sim \varepsilon^{1 / 3}$ )
(Ren,Weio3)
difficulty:

$$
\varepsilon \ll \varepsilon^{1 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1 / 3} \ll|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1 / 2} \ll 1
$$

## multiple scales!

(see also Alberti, Choksi and Otto'08; Spadaro'09; Ren and Wei'07; Choksi and Peletier' 10 and 'II)

## Setting for 「-convergence

study via the sharp interface energy

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{\varepsilon}[u] & =\frac{\ell^{2}\left(1+\bar{u}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}{2 \kappa^{2}} \\
& +\sum_{i}\left\{\varepsilon\left|\partial \Omega_{i}^{+}\right|-2 \kappa^{-2}\left(1+\bar{u}^{\varepsilon}\right)\left|\Omega_{i}^{+}\right|\right\}+2 \sum_{i, j} \int_{\Omega_{i}^{+}} \int_{\Omega_{j}^{+}} G(x-y) d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Omega_{i}^{+}$are connected components of $\Omega^{+}:=\{u=+1\}$ introduce droplet area and perimeter (suitably rescaled):

$$
A_{i}:=\varepsilon^{-2 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{2 / 3}\left|\Omega_{i}^{+}\right|, \quad P_{i}:=\varepsilon^{-1 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{1 / 3}\left|\partial \Omega_{i}^{+}\right| .
$$

droplet density:

$$
d \mu(x):=\varepsilon^{-2 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1 / 3} \sum_{i} \chi_{\Omega_{i}^{+}}(x) d x=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{-2 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1 / 3}(1+u) d x
$$

## Setting for 「-convergence

The rescaled energy:

$$
\bar{u}^{\varepsilon}:=-1+\varepsilon^{2 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{1 / 3} \bar{\delta} .
$$

$$
E^{\varepsilon}[u]=\varepsilon^{4 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{2 / 3}\left(\frac{\bar{\delta}^{2} \ell^{2}}{2 \kappa^{2}}+\bar{E}^{\varepsilon}[u]\right), \quad \bar{E}^{\varepsilon}[u]:=\frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \sum_{i}\left(P_{i}^{\varepsilon}-\frac{2 \bar{\delta}}{\kappa^{2}} A_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right)+2 \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}} G(x-y) d \mu^{\varepsilon}(x) d \mu^{\varepsilon}(y) .
$$

sequences of bounded energy $\bar{E}^{\varepsilon}$ have:

$$
\frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \sum_{i} A_{i}^{\varepsilon}=\int_{\mathbb{T}_{\varepsilon}} d \mu^{\varepsilon}
$$

$$
\underset{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{\limsup } \frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \sum_{i} P_{i}^{\varepsilon}<+\infty, \quad \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \sum_{i} A_{i}^{\varepsilon}<+\infty,
$$

since:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{E}^{\varepsilon}[u] \geq-\frac{2 \delta}{\kappa^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}} d \mu^{\varepsilon}+2 \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}} G(x-y) d \mu^{\varepsilon}(x) d \mu^{\varepsilon}(y) \\
& \geq-\frac{2 \bar{\delta}}{\kappa^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}} d \mu^{\varepsilon}+\frac{2}{\kappa^{2} \ell^{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}} d \mu^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

compactness w.r.t. convergence of measures

## Sharp interface energy

a suitable notion of convergence is, therefore, in terms of weak convergence of measures

## Main result:

Theorem. ( $\Gamma$-convergence of $E^{\varepsilon}$ ) Fix $\bar{\delta}>0, \kappa>0$ and $\ell>0$, and let $E^{\varepsilon}$ and $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}$ be as before. Then, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have that
$\varepsilon^{-4 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{-2 / 3} E^{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} E^{0}[\mu]:=\frac{\bar{\delta}^{2} \ell^{2}}{2 \kappa^{2}}+\left(3^{2 / 3}-\frac{2 \bar{\delta}}{\kappa^{2}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}} d \mu+2 \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}} G(x-y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y)$, where $\mu \in \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}\right) \cap H^{-1}\left(\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}\right)$.

Corollary. For given $\bar{\delta}>0, \kappa>0$ and $\ell>0$, let $\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right) \in B V(\{-1,+1\})$ be minimizers of $E^{\varepsilon}$. Then, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$
\mu^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{M’IO}\\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\delta}-\bar{\delta}_{c}\right)
\end{array} \quad \text { in }\left(C\left(\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}\right)\right)^{*}, \quad \varepsilon^{-4 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{-2 / 3} \ell^{-2} \min E^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\bar{\delta}^{2}}{2 \kappa^{2}} \\
\frac{\bar{\delta}_{c}}{2 \kappa^{2}}\left(2 \bar{\delta}-\bar{\delta}_{C}\right),
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

when $\bar{\delta} \leq \bar{\delta}_{c}$ or $\bar{\delta}>\bar{\delta}_{c}$, respectively, with $\bar{\delta}_{c}:=\frac{1}{2} 3^{2 / 3} \kappa^{2}$.

## Sharp interface energy

characterization of almost minimizers:
Theorem. Let $\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right) \in \mathcal{A}$ be a sequence of almost minimizers of $E^{\varepsilon}$ with prescribed limit density $\mu$. For every $\gamma \in(0,1)$ define the set $I_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon}:=\{i \in \mathbb{N}$ : $\left.3^{2 / 3} \pi \gamma \leq A_{i}^{\varepsilon} \leq 3^{2 / 3} \pi \gamma^{-1}\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \sum_{i}\left(P_{i}^{\varepsilon}-\sqrt{4 \pi A_{i}^{\varepsilon}}\right)=0, \\
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \sum_{i \in I_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon}}\left(A_{i}^{\varepsilon}-3^{2 / 3} \pi\right)^{2}=0, \\
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \sum_{i \notin I_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon}} A_{i}^{\varepsilon}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ most droplets are nearly circular of radius $r=3^{1 / 3} \varepsilon^{1 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1 / 3}$. in the limit the charge separates into droplets equally

## Diffuse interface energy

 sharp interface results cannot be applied directly: $\int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}} d \mu^{\varepsilon} \quad$ is not fixed on the sharp interface level, but $\int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}} d \mu^{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{2} \bar{\delta} \ell^{2} \quad$ on the diffuse interface level intimately related to screening:
need to filter out the screening charges

## Diffuse interface energy

introduce:

$$
u_{0}^{\varepsilon}(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
+1, & u^{\varepsilon}(x)>0, \\
-1, & u^{\varepsilon}(x) \leq 0,
\end{array} \quad d \mu_{0}^{\varepsilon}:=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{-2 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1 / 3}\left(1+u_{0}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right) d x\right.
$$

## Main result:

Theorem. ( $\Gamma$-convergence of $\mathcal{E}^{\varepsilon}$ ) Fix $\bar{\delta}>0$ and $\ell>0$, and let $W(u)=$ $\frac{9}{32}\left(1-u^{2}\right)^{2}$. Then, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have that
$\varepsilon^{-4 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{-2 / 3} \mathcal{E}^{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} E^{0}[\mu]:=\frac{\bar{\delta}^{2} \ell^{2}}{2 \kappa^{2}}+\left(3^{2 / 3}-\frac{2 \bar{\delta}}{\kappa^{2}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}} d \mu+2 \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}} G(x-y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y)$,
where $\mu \in \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}\right) \cap H^{-1}\left(\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}\right)$ and $\kappa=\frac{2}{3}$.
Corollary (for almost minimizers):

$$
\mu_{0}^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\delta}-\bar{\delta}_{c}\right)
\end{array} \quad \text { in }\left(C\left(\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}\right)\right)^{*}, \quad \varepsilon^{-4 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{-2 / 3} \ell^{-2} \min \mathcal{E}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\bar{\delta}^{2}}{2 \kappa^{2}}, \\
\frac{\delta_{c}}{2 \kappa^{2}}\left(2 \bar{\delta}-\bar{\delta}_{c}\right),
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

when $\bar{\delta} \leq \bar{\delta}_{c}$ or $\bar{\delta}>\bar{\delta}_{c}$, respectively, with $\bar{\delta}_{c}:=\frac{1}{2} 3^{2 / 3} \kappa^{2}$ and $\kappa=\frac{2}{3}$.

## Key points of proofs

rescaled interfacial energy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{E} & =|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1}\left(\left|\partial \bar{\Omega}^{+}\right|-2 \bar{\delta} \kappa^{-2}\left|\bar{\Omega}^{+}\right|\right) \\
& +2|\ln \varepsilon|^{-2} \int_{\bar{\Omega}^{+}} \int_{\bar{\Omega}^{+}} G\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1 / 3}(\bar{x}-\bar{y})\right) d \bar{x} d \bar{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

a priori estimates:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\bar{\Omega}^{+}\right| \leq C|\ln \varepsilon| \\
\left|\partial \bar{\Omega}^{+}\right| \leq C|\ln \varepsilon| \\
\operatorname{diam}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{i}^{+}\right) \leq C|\ln \varepsilon|
\end{array}
$$

allows to expand the kernel insensitive to shape!

$$
\frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon|} G\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1 / 3}(\bar{x}-\bar{y})\right)=\frac{1}{6 \pi}-\frac{\ln |\ln \varepsilon|}{6 \pi|\ln \varepsilon|}-\frac{1}{2 \pi|\ln \varepsilon|} \ln (\bar{\kappa}|\bar{x}-\bar{y}|)+o\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 3}\right)
$$

## Key points of proofs (cont.)

lower bound $=$ isoperimetric inequality + expansion of the kernel

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{E}^{\varepsilon}\left[u^{\varepsilon}\right] & \geq I_{\text {def }}^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \sum_{i}\left(\sqrt{4 \pi A_{i}^{\varepsilon}}-\left(\frac{2 \bar{\delta}}{\kappa^{2}}+\delta\right) A_{i}^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{3 \pi}\left|\tilde{A}_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& +2 \iint G_{\rho}(x-y) d \mu^{\varepsilon}(x) d \mu^{\varepsilon}(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{A}_{i}^{\varepsilon}:=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
A_{i}^{\varepsilon}, & \text { if } A_{i}^{\varepsilon}<3^{2 / 3} \pi \gamma^{-1} & I_{\text {def }}^{\varepsilon}:=\frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \sum_{i}\left(P_{i}^{\varepsilon}-\sqrt{4 \pi A_{i}^{\varepsilon}}\right) \\
\left(3^{2 / 3} \pi \gamma^{-1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|A_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{1 / 2} & \text { if } A_{i}^{\varepsilon} \geq 3^{2 / 3} \pi \gamma^{-1} & f(x):=\frac{2 \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{x}}+\frac{1}{3 \pi} x
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

optimization over droplet areas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{4 \pi A_{i}^{\varepsilon}} & +\frac{1}{3 \pi}\left|\tilde{A}_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}-\left(\frac{2 \bar{\delta}}{\kappa^{2}}+\delta\right) A_{i}^{\varepsilon}=A_{i}^{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{A_{i}^{\varepsilon}}}+\frac{1}{3 \pi} A_{i}^{\varepsilon}-\frac{2 \bar{\delta}}{\kappa^{2}}-\delta\right) \\
& =A_{i}^{\varepsilon}\left(f\left(A_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right)-\frac{2 \bar{\delta}}{\kappa^{2}}-\delta\right) \\
& \geq\left(3^{2 / 3}-\frac{2 \bar{\delta}}{\kappa^{2}}-\delta\right) A_{i}^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{2} A_{i}^{\varepsilon} f^{\prime \prime}\left(3^{2 / 3} \pi \gamma^{-1}\right)\left(A_{i}^{\varepsilon}-3^{2 / 3} \pi\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

pass to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then $\rho \rightarrow 0$, then $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

## Key points of proofs (cont.)

 upper bound: use construction for the magnetic $G L$ vortices(Sandier and Serfaty'00)
approximate: $\quad d \mu(x)=g(x) d x, \quad c \leq g \leq C$
place $N(\varepsilon)=\frac{1}{3^{2 / 3}} \frac{|\ln \varepsilon|}{\pi} \mu\left(\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{2}\right)+o(|\ln \varepsilon|)$ droplets of optimal radius

$$
r=3^{1 / 3} \varepsilon^{1 / 3}|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1 / 3} \quad \text { satisfying } \quad d(\varepsilon):=\min \left|a_{i}-a_{j}\right| \geq \frac{C}{\sqrt{N(\varepsilon)}}
$$

into disjoint squares $\left\{K_{i}\right\}$ of side length $|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1 / 2} \ll \delta \ll 1$.

$$
N_{K_{i}}(\varepsilon)=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{3^{2 / 3}} \frac{|\ln \varepsilon|}{\pi} \mu\left(K_{i}\right)\right\rfloor \quad \text { dist }\left(a_{i}, \partial K_{i}\right) \geq \frac{C}{\sqrt{N(\varepsilon)}}, \quad N(\varepsilon):=\sum_{i} N_{K_{i}} .
$$

## Open problems

back to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}[u] & =\int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+W(u)\right) d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{\ell}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{(u(x)-\bar{u})(u(y)-\bar{u})}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

main difficulty for $\alpha>0$ is to minimize:

$$
E[u]=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|\nabla u| d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} d x d y, \quad u \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{d},\{0,1\}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u d x=m .
$$

isoperimetric problem with a competing non-local term
solutions exist and are balls for $m \ll 1$
solutions fail to exist for $m \gg 1$
(Knupfer and M'II)

