On the influence of initial geometry on the evolution of fluid filaments
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In this work, the influence of the initial geometry on the evolution of a fluid filament deposited on a substrate is studied, with a particular focus on the thin fluid strips of nano-scale thickness. Based on the analogy to the classical Rayleigh–Plateau (R–P) instability of a free-standing fluid jet, an estimate of the minimal distance between the final states (sessile droplets) can be obtained. However, this numerical study shows that while the prediction based on the R–P instability mechanism is highly accurate for an initial perturbation of a sinusoidal shape, it does not hold for a rectangular waveform perturbation. The numerical results are obtained by directly solving fully three-dimensional Navier–Stokes equations, based on a Volume of Fluid interface tracking method. The results show that (i) rectangular-wave perturbations can lead to the formation of patterns characterized by spatial scales that are much smaller than what is expected based on the R–P instability mechanism; (ii) the nonlinear stages of the evolution and end states are not simply related, with a given end state resulting from possibly very different types of evolution; and (iii) a variety of end state shapes may result from a simple initial geometry, including one- and two-dimensional arrays of droplets, a filament with side droplets, and a one-dimensional array of droplets with side filaments. Some features of the numerical results are related to the recent experimental study by Roberts et al. [“Directed assembly of one- and two-dimensional nanoparticle arrays from pulsed laser induced dewetting of square waveforms,” ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 5, 4450 (2013)]. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC.

I. INTRODUCTION

Synthesis and assembly on the nano-scale is one of the most important goals of contemporary science and technology, see, e.g., Ref. 1. While there are a large number of examples involving a variety of different fluids where self and directed assemblies are relevant, we focus here on one particular example which is finding important applications: nano-scale metallic particles. These particles have been used as a basis for controlled growth of carbon nano-fibers,2 with applications in numerous settings.3 More generally, formation of nano-structures of metallic materials finds its role in applications which range from plasmonics to liquid crystal displays and solar cells.4,5 For example, the size and distribution of metallic particles are known to be related to the coupling of surface plasmons to incident energy. Controlling this coupling has the potential for large increases in the yield of solar cell devices, see, e.g., Ref. 6; for this application, it is particularly important to be able to produce uniformly distributed and closely spaced metallic nano-particles.7,8 One approach to produce nano-scale structures (metallic or not) with a desired size and distribution is to use naturally occurring forces that drive the evolution of the nano-structures in the liquid phase;9 such an approach, if controlled, is significantly more efficient than to lithographically depositing individual particles. With metal films, a recently developed technique is the irradiation with laser pulses or electron-beams, leading to fast liquefaction. While in the liquid phase, the metal film becomes unstable and breaks up into droplets which solidify and remain on the substrate as solid particles. The understanding of this instability development is the focus of this paper. We note that while the particular problem involving liquid metals on nanoscale motivated this work, the
approach that we implement is general and it applies to any setup that allows for control of the initial geometry.

One commonly considered geometry in the experiments is the one of a filament deposited on a solid substrate. In the context of metal films, a liquid filament itself does not even have to be deposited: it is sufficient to deposit a metal strip of a rectangular cross section, liquefy it, and let the capillary forces drive the evolution. Such a setup has been recently demonstrated experimentally in Ref. 10, which considers a geometry consisting of long, thin, strips with thicknesses of tens of nanometers, widths of hundreds of nanometers, and lengths of tens of microns. When liquefied by laser pulses of typical duration of tens of nanoseconds, these strips quickly retract into filaments that then break up into droplets, where the spacing of the droplets is not uniform, but instead obeys a distribution consistent with the prediction of the classical Rayleigh–Plateau (R–P) analysis. This mechanism of breakup can be explained surprisingly well by an analogy with the R–P analysis of the breakup of a free standing fluid jet, modified by the presence of substrate, as discussed in Ref. 11. Following the analysis in Ref. 11, Ref. 10 shows that varying the width of the deposited metal strip by a sinusoidal perturbation of a well defined wavelength can be used to produce an array of uniformly spaced particles, as long as this imposed wavelength is unstable, based on the R–P instability analysis. They show that perturbing by stable (short) wavelengths leads to distances between the particles that are nonuniform and not related to the imposed perturbation. In this paper, we explore whether one could overcome this limitation by appropriately choosing the initial fluid geometry.

Before discussing this question further, we outline briefly the basic framework determining the stability of fluid jets. The classical R–P linear stability analysis (LSA) predicts that radial sinusoidal perturbations of a standing jet of radius \( R \), with wavenumber \( k = 2\pi / \lambda \), and small amplitude, grow or decay with the growth rate, \( \omega \), specified by

\[
\omega^2 = \frac{\sigma}{\rho R^2} \left( k R (1 - k^2 R^2) \frac{I_1(kR)}{I_0(kR)} \right),
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the surface tension, \( \rho \) the density, and \( I_0 \) and \( I_1 \) the modified Bessel functions.\(^{12,13}\) This result predicts a critical wavelength, \( \lambda_c \), below which modes are stable, as \( 2\pi R \)\(^{12}\) derives the growth rate when the effects of viscosity are ignored; we should note, however, that the critical wavenumber predicted based on the R–P analysis remains unchanged when considering viscous effects.\(^{14}\) We also note that Eq. (1) applies to small amplitude varicose modes of any waveform, as these can be decomposed into sinusoidal component modes via a Fourier transform.

The presence of a substrate naturally modifies Eq. (1). Viscous and viscointertial limits of the dispersion relation for a filament on a substrate are derived by Ref. 15. The LSA based on long–wave (L–W) theory demonstrates that \( \lambda_c \) is modified only slightly by the presence of the substrate, showing a weak dependence on the contact angle (the angle at which the interface between the liquid and an ambient phase, such as a surrounding gas, meets a solid surface).\(^{10,11,16,17}\) Several methods for calculating \( \lambda_c \) for a filament on a substrate are compared in Ref. 17; importantly, for a contact angle of \( \pi/2 \), the critical wavelength remains exactly the same as that predicted by the R–P analysis.

As mentioned above, the R–P driven breakup limits how closely spaced particles can be based on the critical wavelength. To overcome this limitation, in an experimentally focused study,\(^{18}\) introduce a rectangular-wave type perturbation form. The initial geometry consists of a central strip of width \( w \) and height \( h \), to which perpendicular protrusions are attached, with amplitude \( A_p \), width \( w_p \), and period \( \lambda_p \), as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). Such a structure can be compared with a strip whose cross-sectional area is given by

\[
\Omega_{\text{eff}} = h(w + 2A_pw_p/\lambda_p) = \Omega_0 + 2A_phw_p/\lambda_p,
\]

where \( \Omega_0 \) is the cross-sectional area of the main strip (without protrusions), and \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) is the average cross-sectional area obtained when the total amount of liquid (including protrusions) is distributed evenly over a wavelength \( \lambda_p \). We call \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) an effective cross-sectional area.

In Ref. 18, the initial condition shown in Fig. 1(b) was found to lead to two distinct end states, consisting of either one- or two-dimensional array of particles. Fig. 2 illustrates these end states. For smaller \( A_p \), the end state is a one-dimensional array of particles whose centers are exactly at the location of the original rectangular-wave perturbations (Figs. 2(b), 2(d), and 2(f)). As \( A_p \) is
FIG. 1. Two perturbation geometries for assembling arrays of nano-particles: (a) sinusoidal initial geometry and (b) rectangular-wave initial geometry. The rectangular-wave geometry consists of a central strip of width \( w \) and height \( h \); the perpendicular protrusions have an amplitude \( A_p \), width \( w_p \), and period \( \lambda_p \).

Increased, the end state transitions to a two-dimensional array of particles (Figs. 2(h) and 2(j)): one set of particles is located at the same locations as the one dimensional arrays of Figs. 2(b), 2(d), and 2(f), while two more sets of particles are located on either side. In the present paper, we focus on understanding the physical mechanisms that lead to the end states illustrated by Fig. 2, as well as to a variety of other possible end states that have not been observed in experiments yet. While the approach that we use is general and applies to any setup such that the initial geometry can be controlled, for definitiveness we choose the relevant length and time scales as well as material parameters corresponding to metal filaments of nano-scale thickness, as in Ref. 18. We computationally investigate the effects of varying parameters on conditions for which the breakup occurs and demonstrate that not only is it possible to destabilize the structure when \( \lambda_p < \lambda_{cr}(\Omega_{eq}) \) but also even when \( \lambda_p < \lambda_{cr}(\Omega_0) \). That is, despite the additional liquid added into the system by the protrusions, we can actually induce the strip to break up with a period less than its own critical wavelength, leading, as a final outcome, to droplets spaced much closer than that would appear possible by considering the R–P predictions. This finding is surprising and also potentially very useful, since in many applications, it is desirable to have closely spaced particles.\(^19\)

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we outline the simulation setup. The results are given in Sec. III, including description of the basic instability mechanism, classification

FIG. 2. Experimental images of the initial conditions (left column) and end states (right column) for the rectangular-wave geometry such as shown in Fig. 1. The film thickness is \( h \approx 7 \) nm. Here, the amplitude of perturbation, \( A_p \), is increased, while all other parameters are kept the same. Reprinted with permission from Roberts et al., ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 5, 4450 (2013). Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society.
of various end states uncovered by the simulations, and dependence of the results on the parameters defining the perturbations. In Sec. IV, we discuss in more details some crucial aspects of the instability mechanism. The conclusions and the outline of future work are presented in Sec. V.

II. SETUP

Figure 1(b) shows our initial geometry, which is a flat strip with a rectangular-wave edge perturbation similar to the one considered by Ref. 18. The geometry consists of what we refer to as a “central strip” defined by

\[
\{(x, y, z) | -\infty < x < \infty, y < h, |z| < w/2\}
\]

and “protrusions” defined by

\[
\{(x, y, z) | x - nA_p < w_p/2, y < h, |z| < (w/2 + A_p), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}
\]

Throughout this paper, we take the central strip to be specified by \( h = 10 \) nm and \( w = 100 \) nm. As described above, experimental studies begin by depositing metal in prescribed geometries such as a strip with an edge perturbation and then liquefying these structures with laser pulses. While in the experiments, the metal geometries may be going through repeated melting and solidification, in the present work, we neglect the effects of phase change and furthermore assume that temperature variation of material parameters (viscosity, density, surface tension) is not crucial for the purpose of understanding the main mechanisms leading to instability. This approach is supported further by the fact that the constant temperature model has generally been very successful in modeling the breakup of nanometallic films. These approximations are also motivated by our desire to focus on the influence of the initial geometry on instability development in a general setting. In the particular case of laser-irradiated metals, thermal simulations suggest that the phase change itself happens on a very fast time scale. A simplified model addressed by Ref. 20 also indicates that the heating of nanometallic films takes place rapidly, leading to a sharp, short-lived peak in the temperature. In the present work, we are essentially assuming that during the time that the metal is in the liquid phase, we can ignore temperature variations. Of course, if the temperature of the metal is significantly higher than the melting temperature, one may expect a decrease of the viscosity and surface tension that may modify the results. We leave such considerations for future work and focus here on the influence of geometry on the development of the instability. Within present isothermal model, the evolution of the initial structure is thus governed by the Navier–Stokes (N–S) equations for an isothermal fluid. We consider an initial geometry that is far from equilibrium and rapidly evolves to what we refer to as its “end state.”

As discussed in more detail below, the computational solver that we use requires the presence of two fluids, and therefore we consider two phases that are immiscible and incompressible, separated by an interface: metal in its liquid phase (referred to as “liquid”) and the ambient phase. The governing equations under these assumptions become the two-phase N–S equations

\[
\rho (\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot (\mu (\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T)) + \sigma \kappa \delta_s \mathbf{n},
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0,
\]

where \( \mathbf{u} = (u, v, w) \) is the velocity field, \( p \) the pressure, \( \sigma \) the surface tension, \( \kappa \) the interfacial curvature, \( \delta_s \) a delta function centered on the interface, and \( \mathbf{n} \) the unit normal to the interface. The viscosity, \( \mu \), and density, \( \rho \), depend on the phase of the fluid, taking values of \( \mu_l, \rho_l \) in the liquid phase and \( \mu_a, \rho_a \) in the ambient phase. For our simulations, we use the physical values of liquid nickel (Ni) used in Ref. 18, so that \( \rho_l = 7905 \) kg/m\(^3\), \( \mu_l = 0.0047 \) Pa s, and \( \sigma = 1.781 \) N/m. The values of \( \mu_a \) and \( \rho_a \) are chosen to minimize the effects of the ambient phase; we discuss these values further when the numerical methods are described. We note that Eq. (2) does not include the effects of the disjoining pressure. Previous models of similar problems, based on long–wave theory, included the disjoining pressure to model partial wetting and the linear stability of the interface, as discussed by Ref. 11. In the present work, partial wetting is introduced differently (described below). Furthermore, we expect that the interfacial instability due to the disjoining pressure effects
is weak compared to other effects; a rough estimate shows that the breakup time of a uniform
10 nm film by the disjoining pressure is considerably longer than the evolution time of the structures
considered in the present work (see the linear stability analysis in Ref. 21). Our current model
and computational methods were previously shown to result in good qualitative agreement when
compared to the experimental results in Ref. 18.

On the solid substrate, we impose the Navier slip boundary condition

\[ (u, w) = \Lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (u, w), \]  

where \( \Lambda \) is the slip length. Experimental results for various liquids and substrates have generally
reported significant slip on surfaces with large contact angles,\(^{22}\) with a range of possible slip lengths
depending on the surface topography and chemistry.\(^{23}\) Often, a slip length on the order of 100 nm
is reported for systems with contact angles ranging from 95° to 105°.\(^{22,26,24}\) Reference\(^ {25}\) also show
that the slip is large in the present system by comparing the N–S solutions with molecular dynamics
simulations of nano-scale metal films by Ref. 26. In this work, we briefly survey the effects of
varying slip, but unless noted otherwise, we take \( \Lambda = 60 \) nm. This particular value of slip length is
motivated by Ref. 18, where good agreement between the simulation results and the experimental
data was obtained for this value of \( \Lambda \). We also set the contact angle to 90° for all the results,
since this simplifies the numerical implementation considerably. We note that in a previous study,
very good agreement with experiments was found when using this value of contact angle in the
simulations.\(^ {18}\) Furthermore, similar experiments reported in Ref. 10 show an equilibrium contact
angle of 88°, very close to the value used here.

To present the considered model in a more general setting, we rewrite Eqs. (2) and (3) in a
dimensionless form. We take the scales as \( L = w = 100 \) nm, and \( T = 1 \) ns, based on the typical
in plane dimensions and the observed retraction times. We define the following dimensionless
quantities:

\[ \begin{align*}
    x^* &= \frac{x}{L}, & t^* &= \frac{t}{T}, \\
    \rho^* &= \frac{\rho}{\rho_l}, & \mu^* &= \frac{\mu}{\mu_l}, \\
    u^* &= \frac{L}{T} u, & p^* &= \frac{T}{\mu_l} p, \\
    \kappa^* &= \kappa L, & \delta^* &= \delta_s L, \\
    \text{Re} &= \frac{\rho_l L^2}{\mu_l T}, & \text{Ca} &= \frac{\mu_l L}{\sigma T},
\end{align*} \]

With these scales, our dimensionless equations are

\[ \begin{align*}
    \text{Re} \rho^* (\partial_t u^* + u^* \cdot \nabla u^*) &= -\nabla^* p^* + \nabla^* \cdot \left( \mu^* \left( \nabla^* u^* + \nabla^* u^* \right) \right) + \text{Ca}^{-1} \kappa^* \delta^* n, \\
    \nabla^* \cdot u^* &= 0.
\end{align*} \]  

For our choice of parameters, the Reynolds number and capillary number are, respectively, \( \text{Re} \approx 16.8 \), \( \text{Ca} \approx 0.26 \), so the evolution takes place in a regime with a moderate dominance of inertial and
surface tension effects over viscosity. The relevance of inertial effects, as well as the fact that contact
angles involved are large, supports the use of the direct numerical modeling as described next.

We directly solve the N–S equations using a Volume of Fluid (VoF) based solver implemented
in Gerris\(^ {27}\) and described in Ref. 28; we briefly survey the method here. Results obtained using
Gerris are referred to as VoF results throughout this paper. The solution of the N–S equations is
accomplished using a standard projection method. Spatial discretization is carried out using an
adaptive octree; our adaptive mesh resolves the interface at a resolution of approximately 1 nm, and
regions of high curvature are resolved to approximately 0.5 nm. The simulations that we present
in Secs. III and IV are insensitive to any further refinements in the mesh. Gerris solves the N–S
equations in two phases, and the VoF method tracks which fluid phase occupies a spatial point by
introducing a volume fraction function \( F(t, x) \), which gives the fraction of each computational cell
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occupied by the liquid phase. The volume fraction is advected with the velocity field according to the following equation:

$$\partial_t F + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla F = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The VoF method naturally handles the breakup and coalescence of fluid regions, so that these phenomena do not require any separate treatment;\textsuperscript{28,29} breakup is said to occur when a liquid region separates into two disconnected regions. The viscosity, $\mu$, and density, $\rho$, depend on $F(t, \mathbf{x})$ by a mean of the respective liquid and ambient phase values. In order to minimize the effect of the ambient phase, we set $\mu_a = 0.01 \mu_l$ and $\rho_a = 0.2 \rho_l$. This choice of $\rho_a$ is a compromise between minimizing the influence of the ambient phase and the computational cost of having very large differences between the $\rho_l$ and $\rho_a$. The cell centered normal vector for the interface is given by approximating $\nabla F$ using a Mixed-Young’s method\textsuperscript{30} and the interface curvature is approximated using a modified height function method.\textsuperscript{31–34} For all the computations, we only simulate a half of the period due to symmetry, so that $0 \leq x \leq \lambda_p/2$. Simulations with a computational domain of a full period were run for selected cases, and no difference was observed when compared with the half period simulations. In subsequent figures, we will often mirror the domain when we plot the simulations results, so that one or more periods are visible.

The partial wetting of Ni on the silicon dioxide (SiO$_2$) substrate is modeled by imposing a mirror condition on $F(t, \mathbf{x})$. Since the interface normal is given by $\nabla F$, a mirror condition on the substrate implies that $\nabla F = (\partial_x F, 0, \partial_z F)$, and thus this is equivalent to a 90° contact angle. The limitation of imposing a 90° contact angle is not intrinsic to the VoF method;\textsuperscript{31} however the numerical implementation of this contact angle is considerably simpler (and also arbitrary contact angles are not currently implemented in Gerris). Since the contact angle in the experiments\textsuperscript{18} is very close (88°), the use of this simplification is well justified.

When a long strip of width $w = 100$ nm and height $h = 10$ nm is placed on a surface, it rapidly retracts to a half-cylinder, which we call a filament, of radius $r_0 = \sqrt{2wh/\pi} \approx 25$ nm; we remind the reader that the value of $r_0$ remains constant throughout this work, since it describes the radius of the half-cylinder corresponding to the central strip alone (without perturbation). Equation (1) therefore predicts that the critical wavelength of the central strip is approximately 160 nm. This result is consistent with the VoF simulations; we find that a half-cylindrical filament of radius $r_0$ is unstable when perturbed by a sinusoidal mode of a wavelength greater than approximately 160 nm, and stable otherwise. This result is insensitive to the slip length $\Lambda$; we tested the critical wavelength of such filaments with $\Lambda = 10$ nm, $\Lambda = 60$ nm, and a free slip boundary condition (equivalent to $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ limit and also to a standing jet) and found the critical wavelength to be the same to an accuracy of about 1%. We therefore use Eq. (1) to calculate the critical wavelength for filaments throughout this paper. While Eq. (1) strictly applies only to small perturbations, we will show below that at least in the present setting it also describes stability of sinusoidal perturbations, even if they are not small.

### III. RESULTS

We first investigate the case $\lambda_p = 150$ nm; this value of $\lambda_p$ is below the critical wavelength which is predicted by the R–P analysis for the central strip, $\lambda_c = 2\pi r_0 \approx 160$ nm. Fig. 3(a) (Multimedia view), shows the breakup of a rectangular-wave geometry for $A_p = 110$ nm and $w_p = 75$ nm. The cross section shows the pressure distribution in the liquid as it breaks up. The initially imposed shape quickly evolves, resulting in a low pressure region at the protrusion centers, $(x, z) = (n \lambda_p, 0)$ ($t = 0.5$ ns). As the protrusions retract, more liquid accumulates at the centers, while higher pressure necks form in between, $(x, z) = ((n + 1/2) \lambda_p, 0)$ ($t = 1.0$ ns). While these necks have high negative curvature in the $y$-$z$ plane, the pressure there is still high relative to the protrusion centers. Eventually, the resulting pressure gradient causes the necks to pinch off ($t = 1.5$ ns) and separate droplets form with a center to center spacing equal to $\lambda_p$ ($t = 10$ ns).

We can contrast the described evolution with the one of a sinusoidal shape perturbation, with the same $\lambda_p$ and total liquid volume. Figure 3(b) (Multimedia view), shows that the evolution initially follows a similar path: as the perturbations retract, low pressure regions again form at the
FIG. 3. Time evolution and the pressure distribution for the rectangular-wave and sinusoidal geometries with a perturbation wavelength of 150 nm: (a) rectangular-wave perturbed strip with $A_p = 110$ nm, $w_p = 75$ nm and (b) sinusoidally perturbed strip of the same volume as in (a) with $w_s = 100$ nm, $A_s = 110$ nm; see Fig. 1 for the definition of all geometric quantities. Each box is 75 nm by 75 nm, and the pressure scale is the same for both figures. (Multimedia view) [URL: http://scitation.aip.org/10.1063/1.4931086.1][URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4931086.2]

perturbation centers $(x, z) = (n A_s, 0)$. After this initial stage, however, the evolution is different from the rectangular-wave geometry. Most notably, the peaks of sinusoidal perturbations retract much more quickly, and nearly all of the liquid accumulates close to the perturbation centers. While negative curvature necks still form in between, the pressure in these necks is actually lower than in the centers ($t = 1.0$ ns), contrary to what is found for rectangular-wave geometry. Consequently, the structure stabilizes ($t = 1.5$ ns), ultimately forming a half-cylindrical filament ($t = 10.0$ ns).
FIG. 4. Time evolution of the velocity field in the $z = 0$ plane for times $t = 0.5, 1.0, \text{and} 1.5 \text{ ns}$, for (a) the rectangular-wave and (b) sinusoidal geometries. The respective parameters are the same as in Fig. 3. The solid curve shows the interface. The arrows show the velocity in both the liquid and ambient phases.

Figure 4 shows the velocity field of each geometry from Fig. 3 in the $z = 0$ plane, for $x \in (-\lambda_p/2, \lambda_p/2)$, where again $\lambda_p = 150 \text{ nm}$. For the rectangular-wave geometry (Fig. 4(a)), at $t = 0.5 \text{ ns}$, vortices have developed at the interface approximately midway between the origin and the necks, draining the liquid from the necks to the low pressure region at $x = 0$. This trend continues for longer times, with the vortices slowly moving towards the necks, draining the liquid from there and leading eventually to rupture. Meanwhile, for the sinusoidal geometry, shown in Fig. 4(b), the vortices form later and show a much weaker component of flow towards $x = 0$ and are therefore not strong enough to lead to breakup.

In addition to the rectangular waveform perturbation, we have considered the breakup of other initial configurations that we mention here only briefly. We find that triangle waveform patterns (with a base attached to the central filament) break up similarly to sinusoidal type geometries and so do not display the richness of behavior as the rectangular waveform perturbation. If a vertex of a triangular perturbation is connected to a filament, a breakup occurs at the vertex, without influencing stability of the filament. Therefore, it appears that a rectangular waveform perturbation is the most obvious one if the goal is to modify the stability properties of the central filament.

Next, we consider the evolution of the rectangular-wave geometry when varying the size of the protrusions. Figure 5 shows the structures characterized by the same dimensions as in Fig. 3, except that in Fig. 5(a), $A_p = 100 \text{ nm}$, and in Fig. 5(b), $A_p = 300 \text{ nm}$ (Multimedia view). When $A_p$ is too small, the structure does not break up, meaning that there is a critical amplitude, $A_c$, below which breakup does not occur. For small $A_p$, the protrusions collapse too quickly, so that the low pressure region in the junction is not sustained long enough for breakup to occur. The existence of $A_c$ is consistent with the R–P stability predictions, since for sufficiently small $A_p$, linear stability should
hold independently of the perturbation shape. On the other hand, when $A_p$ is sufficiently large, a secondary breakup occurs, such that the protrusions form separate side-droplets that then recoalesce to form central droplets at $x = nA_p$. A similar situation is observed in experiments.\textsuperscript{18} We note (and discuss further below) that for very large $A_p$, a set of side droplets form as the droplets do not recoalesce, similar to the two-dimensional array of end droplets shown in Figs. 2(h) and 2(j). A more complete discussion of possible breakup mechanisms is given next; as we will see, there is still a more diverse range of end states to be described. For now, it is important to emphasize the most interesting point: although perturbations add to the total volume and may therefore be expected to stabilize short wavelengths, their influence may be opposite, leading actually to instability at perturbation wavelengths that would be expected to be stable based on the classical R–P prediction.

FIG. 5. Time evolution and the pressure distribution for $A_p = 150$ nm, $w_p = 75$ nm, showing a stable case and a case with secondary protrusion breakup: (a) $A_p = 100$ nm, and (b) $A_p = 300$ nm. Each box is 75 nm by 75 nm, and the pressure scale is the same as in Fig. 3. (Multimedia view) [URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4931086.3] [URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4931086.4]
FIG. 6. (a) Classification of end states resulting from the evolution of the rectangular-wave geometry defined in Fig. 1(b). Each symbol below a sketch of a possible end state is used in Figs. 7-10 to show that this particular end state is observed. The end state consisting of three parallel filaments is not observed for the parameters considered and is included for completeness.

(b) Classification of dynamics. Filled symbols show the cases when either the protrusion breaks, the central strip breaks, or no breakup occurs at any time, and the color of each indicates which of these cases is observed. Hollow symbols show cases when both the central strip and the protrusions of the rectangular-wave breakup, and the color shows the order of the breakup scenarios.

A. Parametric dependence

In this section, we explore the dependence of the dynamics on the parameters $A_p$, $w_p$, and $\lambda_p$. To reduce the number of parameters, unless otherwise noted, we fix $w = 100$ nm and $h = 10$ nm.

Figure 6 classifies the dependence of the breakup process on $w_p$ and $A_p$ for two wavelengths, $\lambda_p$. Figure 6(a) shows the observed end configurations. As shown, a diverse range of end states can be achieved by harnessing this initial geometry. The one-dimensional arrays (circle) and arrays with side droplets (square), as also observed in Ref. 18, viz., Fig. 2, are accompanied by additional configurations such as a filament (triangle), a filament with side droplets (inverted triangle), and a one-dimensional array with side filaments (diamond). Although not observed for these parameters, a sixth state, consisting of three parallel filaments, is also possible. We note that within the considered framework, any resulting filaments ultimately should destabilize due to the R–P instability mechanism, and an array of droplets should form with a distribution according to the prediction of the R–P analysis as discussed in Ref. 11. However, this subsequent breakup takes place significantly later than the formation of the filament; Eq. (1) suggests that a filament resulting from, say, $A_p = 110$ nm, $\lambda_p = 150$ nm, $w_p = 75$ nm, would take nearly twice as long to break up when compared to the time the filament would take to form (4.5 versus 2 ns). This longer time evolution is not considered in the present work; we note that in the context of liquid metal films that are in liquid state for a short time, any state discussed here may as well be the final outcome.

Figure 6(b) shows the relevant dynamics of the breakup; our goal is to classify what type of breakup occurs, during any point in the evolution of the structure, even if later on, there is recoal-escence. We classify the evolution of this system according to whether the protrusions and/or the central strip break up, and the order in which the breakup occurs. Hollow symbols show that both the protrusion and the central strip rupture, and the color corresponds to whether the protrusions break off before the central strip ruptures (red (dark grey) symbols), after the central strip ruptures (green (light grey) symbols), or at approximately the same time as the central strip ruptures (blue (black) symbols). All other cases are shown using filled symbols: blue (black) indicates that no
breakup occurs at any time during the evolution, red (dark grey) that only the protrusions break off, and green (light grey) that only the central strip ruptures. These breakup scenarios may be followed by a secondary recoalescence of droplets to produce the static states discussed above. The end state is not independent of the dynamics, for example, when the end state includes side droplets and side filaments, indicated by an inverted triangle, a square, and a diamond in Fig. 6(a), this must be accompanied by the protrusions breaking off (filled green (light grey), hollow red (dark grey), hollow green (light grey), and hollow blue (black) symbols in Fig. 6). We find that decoupling the classification of the end states and dynamics will more effectively facilitate discussion of the parametric dependence.

Figure 7(a) summarizes the possible static and dynamic states for \( \lambda_p = 150 \) nm. Let us first consider an intermediate range of \( w_p \) for which a breakup occurs, i.e., \( 45 \text{ nm} \leq w_p \leq 75 \text{ nm} \). Within this range, we find that there is a critical amplitude, \( A_c \), below which there will be no breakup and the end state is a filament, and above which the central strip will rupture at some point. We find that \( A_c \) is about 110 nm for \( w_p \geq 75 \) nm, and it increases to between 150 and 200 nm for \( 45 \text{ nm} \leq w_p \leq 65 \text{ nm} \). However, for \( A_p > A_c \), variation of \( A_p \) has no effect on the stability of the central strip. That is, once \( A_p \) is sufficiently large, any further increase does not affect whether or not the central strip breaks up at some point in time. For \( w_p \) between 65 nm and 95 nm, side droplets form when \( A_p = 500 \text{ nm} \); for small \( w_p \) (25 nm \( \leq w_p \leq 45 \text{ nm} \)), side droplets form for \( A_p \approx 300 \text{ nm} \), although the strip does not rupture for \( w_p = 25 \text{ nm} \). For large \( A_p \), if side droplets do not form, the protrusions introduce a large amount of excess liquid which may prevent the formation of a central array, even though the central strip does break up (see, for example, \( w_p = 95 \text{ nm} \), \( A_p = 200 \text{ nm} \), and 300 nm).

The stability of the central strip is also affected by the protrusion width, \( w_p \). For small \( w_p \), such as 25 nm, shown in Fig. 7(a), the central filament remains stable for all values of \( A_p \), and the protrusions may either not break at all for small \( A_p \) (e.g., 100 nm), break off and coalesce for larger \( A_p \) (\( \approx 150 \text{ nm} \)), or break off and remain separated for even larger values of \( A_p \) (\( \geq 200 \text{ nm} \)). Larger \( w_p \) (\( \geq 45 \text{ nm} \)) is associated with a critical protrusion amplitude, \( A_c \), as discussed above. It is interesting to note that for \( w_p = 45 \text{ nm} \) and \( A_p \geq 200 \text{ nm} \), the protrusion breaks off first, yet the central strip still ruptures. This may seem counterintuitive, as the protrusions drive the breakup of the central
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strip. However, in these cases, the time at which the protrusions break is comparable to the time when the central strip breaks, indicating that a sufficiently large perturbation is still imparted to the strip that breakup could occur even in the absence of the protrusion. For very large \( w_p \), such as \( w_p = 125 \) nm, the central filament remains stable; for \( A_p = 300 \) nm, the protrusions break off from the filament at some point of the evolution but eventually recoalesce.

Figure 7(b) shows the corresponding results for \( \lambda_p = 130 \) nm. For this smaller \( \lambda_p \), a much narrower range of parameters allows for the formation of arrays of droplets. Instead, we most often find either a filament or a filament with side droplets. To explain this, first note that for the same value of \( A_p \) and \( w_p \), there is a larger effective area, \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \), for \( \lambda_p = 130 \) nm than for \( \lambda_p = 150 \) nm. Larger \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) implies that any droplets resulting from breakup will not only be more closely spaced due to the smaller value of \( \lambda_p \) but also larger in radius, which will tend to lead to recoalescence. Second, as the structure begins its retraction, smaller \( \lambda_p \) implies a larger negative curvature in the \( x-z \) plane at \( x = (n + 1/2) \lambda_p \), which will tend to stabilize the structure. When the central strip does rupture at some point during the retraction, increasing \( A_p \) to 500 nm, for large enough \( w_p \), leads to the unique case of a one-dimensional array of droplets with side filaments on either side, as the large amount of liquid and narrower spacing leads to the coalescence of the side droplets produced by the protrusions breaking off. We note that in addition to \( \lambda_p = 130 \) nm, we also considered \( \lambda_p = 110 \) nm, but for such a small \( \lambda \) we did not find breakup of the central strip for any combination of protrusion parameters. Also, geometries characterized by larger values of \( \lambda_p \) are considered numerically and experimentally in Ref. 18; droplets resulting from the breakup of large \( \lambda_p \) geometries tend not to recoalesce, leading to arrays of droplets with spacing exactly equal to \( \lambda_p \), and as \( \lambda_p \) is increased further, further droplets may form leading to arrays with spacing of \( \lambda_p/2 \) or smaller.

Finally, we briefly consider the effects of slip length, \( \Lambda \), on the results. We consider the effect of \( \Lambda \) only for \( w_p = 75 \) nm and \( \lambda_p = 150 \) nm, while permitting \( A_p \) to vary; this parameter set is sufficient to demonstrate the main effects of slip on the dynamics of breakup. Figure 8 shows three important consequences of slip on both the dynamic and static states. First, for small \( A_p \) (<200 nm), smaller \( \Lambda \) promotes breakup of the central strip; this is due to the fact that, in order for the central
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strip to break up, the protrusion must not collapse too quickly, so that a smaller slip delays the collapse of the protrusion and allows breakup. Thus, the critical amplitude above which breakup occurs, \( A_p \), increases with \( \Lambda \). Second, for large \( A_p (\geq 300) \), small \( \Lambda \) prevents recoalescence of the side droplets with the liquid near \( \varepsilon = 0 \), so that an array with side droplets is possible for smaller \( A_p \), as indicated by the square symbols (compare \( \Lambda = 10 \) nm to \( \Lambda = 60 \) nm). Finally, large \( \Lambda \) facilitates recoalescence of droplets; for the free slip case, note that even when rupture of the central strip occurs, the droplets inevitably recoalesce when \( A_p > 175 \) nm.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Relation to Rayleigh-Plateau instability mechanism

One important finding so far is that the chosen rectangular-wave geometry leads to the formation of droplets characterized by a spacing that is appreciably smaller than the critical wavelength expected from the prediction of the R–P instability analysis. This holds true even if only the cross-sectional area of the central strip, \( \Omega_0 \), is considered: of course, if the total area (including protrusions), \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \), is used, the result leads to even higher efficiency when compared to the R–P prediction. To be specific, the central strip considered so far has a critical R–P wavelength of \( \lambda_c (\Omega_0) \approx 160 \) nm, and our simulation results find droplet formation for \( \lambda_p = 130 \) and 150 nm. In particular, for \( \lambda_p = 150 \) nm, parameters leading to the formation of a one-dimensional array of droplets (indicated by a circle in Fig. 7) show \( \lambda_c (\Omega_{\text{eff}}) \) to be 1.5-2 times larger than \( \lambda_p \).

B. Role of the effective area

Recall Fig. 7, where we plot dashed curves showing constant \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \). Consider first \( \lambda_p = 150 \) nm, plotted in Fig. 7(a). For relatively small \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \), (indicated by lines in the lower left corner), it is impossible to destabilize a strip, as either the protrusions must be so thin (small \( w_p \)) that they break off without destabilizing effect, or \( A_p \) is below the critical value. In the other extreme, for large \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) (top right corner), either \( A_p \) must be so large that side droplets form or \( w_p \) must be so large that no breakup occurs. Thus, only strips with an intermediate range of \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) result in one-dimensional arrays of droplets. We also note that differing parameters lead to a variety of results for the same \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \). Consider the line of constant \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) passing near \( w_p = 45 \) nm and \( A_p = 300 \) nm (plotted as a dashed-dotted line in Fig. 7(a)). Large amplitude perturbations permit the formation of a two-dimensional array, as indicated by a square. Moving rightwards along the line, decreasing \( A_p \) results in a one-dimensional array (see, e.g., \( w_p = 75 \) nm and \( A_p = 175 \) nm), while further reduction in \( A_p \) leads to an end state which is a filament (see, e.g., \( w_p = 125 \) nm and \( A_p = 100 \) nm).

Figure 7(b) shows the corresponding results for \( \lambda_p = 130 \) nm. Similarly to Fig. 7(a), perturbations of strips with small \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) (lower left corner) do not lead to the formation of an array of droplets, while perturbations of strips with large \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) (upper right corner) result in either a filament or an array of droplets with side filaments. Only strips with an intermediate range of \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) result in one- and two-dimensional arrays. Just as in the \( \lambda_p = 150 \) nm case, the end state for the same \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) depends on the choice of \( A_p \) and \( w_p \). To show this, consider the line passing near \( w_p = 65 \) nm and \( A_p = 175 \) nm (plotted as a dashed-dotted line); we see that only a small range of parameters permits the formation of an array of droplets. For larger \( w_p \), breakup of the central strip still occurs, but these droplets subsequently recoalesce. Thus, for both \( \lambda_p = 130 \) nm and 150 nm, \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) does not determine the end state, as varying \( w_p \) and \( A_p \) can completely change both the type of breakup that occurs, as well as whether or not recoalescence occurs after any breakup.

Next, we discuss the effect of \( \lambda_p \) on the breakup. Figure 9 shows (\( \lambda_p, w_p \)) phase diagram for a fixed \( A_p = 150 \) nm, with curves of constant effective areas, \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \). This plot shows that larger \( \lambda_p \) perturbations tend to promote breakup. This holds when moving along lines of constant \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) from \( w_p = 75 \) nm and \( \lambda_p = 150 \) nm, which results in a one-dimensional array of droplets, to \( w_p = 65 \) nm and \( \lambda_p = 130 \) nm, where no breakup occurs at all. Similarly, perturbed strips with \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) that breakup for \( \lambda_p = 130 \) nm (red (dark grey) symbols) do not break up for \( \lambda_p = 120 \) nm (blue (black) symbols). As noted above, small \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) results in strips that do not break up, so that no breakup occurs for
FIG. 9. Parametric dependence on $A_p$ and $w_p$ for $A_p = 150$ nm. Symbols and colors indicate the same end states and dynamics as in Fig. 7. Dashed lines show curves of constant effective cross-sectional area, $\Omega_{\text{eff}}$. The area increases from bottom right to top left.

$\lambda_p = 130$ nm, and $w_p \leq 65$ nm. It is worth noting here that $\lambda_p$ has little effect on the behavior of the protrusions when $w_p$ is fixed. For $w_p \leq 45$ nm, Fig. 9 shows that for all $\lambda_p$, the protrusions break off, as indicated by the green (light grey) color. On the other hand, for $w_p \geq 65$ nm, the protrusions do not break off, as indicated by the red (dark grey) and blue (black) colors.

C. Summary of the breakup

We are now in a position to summarize the mechanism by which the rectangular-wave geometry breaks up. The primary driving mechanism of the break up is based on the fact that the cross-shaped geometry near $x = n \lambda_p$ leads to an initial negative $x$-$z$ curvature, which, as the structure begins its initial retraction, results in the formation of a low pressure bulge. Along the central strip, near $x = (n + 1/2) \lambda_p$, the liquid retracts to a half-cylinder. A positive $x$-$y$ curvature of magnitude $1/\sqrt{2hw/\pi}$ leads to a large corresponding Laplace pressure at $x = (n + 1/2) \lambda_p$ between two low pressure bulges at $x = n \lambda_p$, and so liquid drains out of the neck into the bulges, leading to breakup.

Figures 7(a) and 7(b) show that neither the end state nor the dynamics are entirely determined by the effective area $\Omega_{\text{eff}}$. For strips with a small $\Omega_{\text{eff}}$, either the perturbation has a small $A_p$, and it cannot break the strip up or $w_p$ is so small that the protrusions form side droplets, and the strip still does not break up. Strips with large $\Omega_{\text{eff}}$ may breakup, and then either a two-dimensional array forms, or the droplets will recoalesce into a filament. Only for strips with an intermediate range of $\Omega_{\text{eff}}$ is a one-dimensional array of droplets a possible end state.

Since the dynamics of the retraction may vary with the same $\Omega_{\text{eff}}$ and changing the amount of liquid per wavelength necessarily changes the possible end states, it is necessary to consider any breakup in terms of the dynamics (classification shown by color in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b)). The amplitude $A_p$ is only important in that it must be larger than a critical one, $A_{c_1}$, in order for the central strip to break up. For larger values of $A_p$ and a fixed $w_p$, the central strip does not change its dynamics; that is, once breakup is possible, any further increase in $A_p$ does not affect whether or not the central strip breaks up at some point in time.

Whenever droplets form, it is found that the resulting center to center spacing is always equal to $\lambda_p$, and as discussed in Sec. IV A, $\lambda_p$ is generally smaller than the smallest possible spacing that can be understood using the R–P instability analysis. Thus, a rectangular-wave edge perturbation is a highly effective way of generating arrays of closely spaced droplets, far below what is expected.
based on the R–P instability mechanism. We note that the aspect ratio of the perturbations is important: even if $\lambda_p$ and $\Omega_{eq}$ are specified, one of $w_p$ or $A_p$ is still a free parameter; selected value can strongly influence the end state.

D. Formation of satellite droplets

The end state for a variety of parameters for $\lambda_p = 150$ nm includes small satellite droplets between the droplets in the central array; no satellite droplets are present in the end state when $\lambda_p = 130$ nm. Figure 10(a) shows the snapshots of the droplet, for $A_p = 150$ nm, $\lambda_p = 150$ nm,
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FIG. 10. (a) Satellite droplets for $w_p = 75$ nm and $A_p = 150$ nm. One box is 75 nm by 75 nm. (b) Close up of the breakup of the filament connecting primary droplets for $w_p = 75$ nm and $A_p = 150$ nm. A mirror condition is applied at the solid black line, so that the figure shows half of the filament. Note that an instability appears to be developing after the breakup of the thin filament connecting the two main droplets, leading possibly to the formation of tertiary droplets. Capturing the details of this breakup would require higher resolution than used in the present study (note insufficient grid resolution resulting in non-smooth surface of the connecting filaments). (c) Satellite droplet radius for $w_p = 65$ nm (red (dark grey)), $w_p = 75$ nm (green (light grey)), $w_p = 85$ nm (blue (black)), and $w_p = 95$ nm (hollow red (dark grey)). Circles indicate that the equilibrium is a one-dimensional array, and squares indicate that the end state is an array with side droplets. For these results, $\lambda_p = 150$ nm.
and \( w_p = 75 \text{ nm} \), just prior to breakup (left), and at the end state (right). Prior to the breakup, a very thin filament connects the two droplets; after the breakup, there are small satellite droplets located at \( x = (n + 1/2) \lambda_p \). The formation of these satellite droplets is due to the fact that the thin filament breaks up near its ends. Figure 10(b) shows a closeup of the connecting filament just prior to (left) and after (right) the breakup. Just prior to the breakup, the connecting filament begins to neck at a point close to the primary droplet, which results in the filament breaking up near its ends. After breakup, this filament retracts into a small satellite droplet. The size of the satellite droplet is therefore determined by the amount of liquid in the connecting filament. Figure 10(c) shows the radius of the satellite droplets for all parameters when the central strip breaks up and a satellite droplet results, for \( \lambda_p = 150 \text{ nm} \). In general, larger \( w_p \), leads to larger satellite droplets.

Regarding the influence of \( A_p \), we find that the size of the satellite droplet peaks for \( A_p = 150 \text{ nm} \) and is approximately the same for larger values of \( A_p \). We generally find that \( A_p \) and \( w_p \) influence the formation of different length filaments and consequently the formation of satellite droplets of different sizes. We note, however, that the size of satellite droplets depend on the nature of the evolution in a complicated manner, whether protrusions break up or not, and/or when they break up. We leave the investigation of this issue for future work.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we show that the initial geometry of a liquid deposited on a substrate has a strong influence on the morphology of the final patterns that result due to the liquid instability. In particular, we find that appropriate choices of the initial geometry may lead to instabilities even in configurations that are expected to be stable based on the analogy with the R–P instability mechanism. Our computational results show that the initial liquid shape strongly influences the distribution of the pressure in the liquid. Therefore, rectangular waveform perturbations, considered in this work, lead to evolution that is considerably different from, for example, the one resulting if sinusoidal waveform perturbations are applied.

While the methods that we use are of general validity, we concentrate particularly on recent experiments carried out with liquid metals on nano-scale, where the ability to control the location and size distribution of the resulting nano-particles is of fundamental interest in applications in the field of nano-assembly. We show that a diverse range of nano-particle arrays can be observed and that the rectangular-wave geometries perturbed by short wavelengths (shorter than the critical wavelength predicted by the R–P instability) exhibit a complex nonlinear evolution. We classify the dynamic behavior as well as the end states for the perturbations that differ by their aspect ratio and the perturbation wavelengths, \( \lambda_p \). We demonstrate that it is possible to produce arrays of droplets with the spacing, \( \lambda_p \), as small as half the critical wavelength predicted by the R–P instability mechanism. Added complexity is introduced by the fact that the aspect ratio of the introduced perturbations plays an important role: for example, given an “effective” area, \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) (total volume of the liquid per \( \lambda_p \)), a significantly different evolution can be observed for different aspect ratios. Depending on the parameters, one-dimensional arrays of droplets for an intermediate range of \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) can then be obtained; larger \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) tends to produce central arrays of droplets with droplet arrays on the sides (two-dimensional arrays), while smaller \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} \) structures do not break up. Additional configurations are possible as well, see Fig. 6 for a complete classification.

One particular feature of the instabilities that are observed in this work is the complex coupling between the dynamics and end configurations, with differing dynamics leading to possibly the same end states, as illustrated in Fig. 6. Our study demonstrates some, perhaps not obvious, features of the instability development. For example, we find that the perturbation amplitude, \( A_p \), in general has to be larger than a critical value, \( A_c \), for breakup to occur, and for some cases, increasing \( A_p \) results in the final state transitioning from a filament, to a one-dimensional array, back to a filament, and into a two-dimensional array of droplets. Decreasing \( \lambda_p \) tends to prevent the formation of droplets, and for a very small \( \lambda_p \), the central strip does not rupture at all. The slip length, \( \Lambda \), is found to have a significant effect on the formation of arrays and the stability of the structures, with a smaller \( \Lambda \) associated with breakup and the formation of arrays, and a larger \( \Lambda \) either preventing the breakup
altogether or leading to recoalescence of the resulting droplets after the breakup occurs. Therefore, by varying the geometry and slip, it is possible to obtain, in a controllable manner, a large range of different dynamics and a variety of end states.

In the present work, we have focused on the influence of liquid geometry on the evolution and have not considered variation of material parameters that may lead to transition between inertial, viscous, and capillarity dominated regimes. Other interesting considerations could include (i) the role of surface active contaminants, as metals are subject to adsorption of species that lower their tension, and (ii) the role of heat transfer between the metal and the substrate leading to variation of material parameters and additional effects including thermo-capillary ones. We leave such studies for a future work and note that ongoing work in material science makes them highly relevant.
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