Outline

1 Multiple Linear Regression (Estimation, Inference, Diagnostics and Remedial Measures)

 $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$

 OQ

- 2 [Special Topics for Multiple Regression](#page-17-0)
	- Extra Sums of Squares

General Regression Model in Matrix Terms

$$
Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_{11} & X_{12} & \dots & X_{1,p-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \beta_{p-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \beta_{p-1} \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \epsilon_n \end{pmatrix}
$$

KO KOKKEK (EK) E 1990

General Linear Regression in Matrix Terms

$$
Y = X\beta + \epsilon
$$

KO KKOKKEKKEK E 1990

With $E(\epsilon) = 0$ and

$$
\sigma^2(\epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

We have $E(Y) = X\beta$ and $\sigma^2\{\mathbf{y}\} = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}$

Least Square Solution

The matrix normal equations can be derived directly from the minimization of

$$
Q = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)'(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)
$$

w.r.t to β

Least Square Solution

We can solve this equation

$$
\bm{X}'\bm{X}\bm{b}=\bm{X}'\bm{y}
$$

(if the inverse of $X'X$ exists) by the following

$$
(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Xb} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}
$$

and since

$$
(\textbf{X}'\textbf{X})^{-1}\textbf{X}'\textbf{X}=\textbf{I}
$$

we have

$$
\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}
$$

メロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 トー 君

 Ω

Fitted Values and Residuals

Let the vector of the fitted values are

$$
\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}_1 \\ \hat{y}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \hat{y}_n \end{pmatrix}
$$

KOX KOX KEX KEX LE VOLC

in matrix notation we then have $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}$

Hat Matrix-Puts hat on y

We can also directly express the fitted values in terms of X and y matrices

$$
\hat{\textbf{y}} = \textbf{X}(\textbf{X}'\textbf{X})^{-1}\textbf{X}'\textbf{y}
$$

and we can further define H, the "hat matrix"

$$
\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{y} \qquad \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'
$$

KORK (DRA BRANDA ABRA) EL PORO

The hat matrix plans an important role in diagnostics for regression analysis.

Hat Matrix Properties

1. the hat matrix is symmetric

2. the hat matrix is idempotent, i.e. $HH = H$

Residuals

The residuals, like the fitted value \hat{y} can be expressed as linear combinations of the response variable observations Y_i

$$
\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{y}
$$

also, remember

 $e = y - \hat{y} = y - Xb$

these are equivalent.

KOX KOX KEX KEX E YORA

Covariance of Residuals

Starting with

$$
\mathbf{e} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{y}
$$

we see that

$$
\sigma^2\{\mathbf{e}\}=(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H})\sigma^2\{\mathbf{y}\}(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H})'
$$

but

$$
\sigma^2\{\mathbf{y}\} = \sigma^2\{\epsilon\} = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}
$$

which means that

$$
\sigma^2\{\mathbf{e}\} = \sigma^2(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) = \sigma^2(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})
$$

K ロ X x (日 X X 至 X X 至 X X D X Q Q Q

and since I $-$ H is idempotent, we have $\sigma^2\{\mathbf{e}\}=\sigma^2(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H})$

Quadratic Forms

• In general, a quadratic form is defined by

$$
\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} a_{ij} Y_{i} Y_{j} \text{ where } a_{ij} = a_{ji}
$$

with **A** the matrix of the quadratic form.

The ANOVA sums SSTO,SSE and SSR can all be arranged into quadratic forms.

$$
SSTO = \mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J})\mathbf{y}
$$

$$
SSE = \mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{y}
$$

$$
SSR = \mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J})\mathbf{y}
$$

KID KAR KERKER E 1990

Inference

We can derive the sampling variance of the β vector estimator by remembering that $\mathbf{b} = (\mathsf{X}'\mathsf{X})^{-1}\mathsf{X}'\mathsf{y} = \mathsf{A}\mathsf{y}$

where **A** is a constant matrix

$$
\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}' \qquad \mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}
$$

Using the standard matrix covariance operator we see that

$$
\sigma^2\{\mathbf{b}\} = \mathbf{A}\sigma^2\{\mathbf{y}\}\mathbf{A}'
$$

K ロ K K d K K 로 K K E K H 2 X G K C K

Variance of b

Since $\sigma^2\{{\bf y}\}=\sigma^2{\bf l}$ we can write

$$
\sigma^2 {\mathbf{b}} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\sigma^2 \mathbf{I} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}
$$

= $\sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$
= $\sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{I}$
= $\sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$

Of course

$$
\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbb{E}((\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\,\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\beta = \beta
$$

F-test for regression

$$
\bullet \ H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_{p-1} = 0
$$

• H_a : no all β_k , $(k = 1, \dots, p-1)$ equal zero

Test statistic:

$$
F^* = \frac{MSR}{MSE}
$$

Decision Rule:

- if $F^* \leq F(1-\alpha; p-1, n-p)$, conclude H_0
- if $F^* > F(1-\alpha; p-1, n-p)$, conclude H_a

R^2 and adjusted R^2

The coefficient of multiple determination R^2 is defined as:

$$
R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SSTO} = 1 - \frac{SSE}{SSTO}
$$

- $0\leq R^2\leq 1$
- R^2 always increases when there are more variables.
- Therefore, adjusted R^2 :

$$
R_a^2 = 1 - \frac{\frac{SSE}{n-p}}{\frac{SSTO}{n-1}} = 1 - \left(\frac{n-1}{n-p}\right) \frac{SSE}{SSTO}
$$

- R_a^2 may decrease when p is large.
- Coefficient of multiple correlation:

$$
R=\sqrt{R^2}
$$

Always positive square root!

KIT KI KI A RIS KI A TA KI A TA $\sqrt{2}$ The estimated variance-covariance matrix

$$
s^2\{\mathbf{b}\} = MSE(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\frac{b_k-\beta_k}{s\{b_k\}}\sim t(n-p), k=0,1,\cdots,p-1
$$

• $1 - \alpha$ confidence intervals:

$$
b_k \pm t(1-\alpha/2; n-p)s\{b_k\}
$$

(ロ) (個) (差) (差) (差) のQQ

- Tests for β_k :
	- H_0 : $\beta_k = 0$ • H_1 : $\beta_k \neq 0$
- **•** Test Statistic:

$$
t^* = \frac{b_k}{s\{b_k\}}
$$

K ロ X x (日 X X 至 X X 至 X X D X Q Q Q

• Decision Rule:

- $|t^*| \leq t(1-\alpha/2; n-p)$; conclude H_0
- \bullet Otherwise, conclude H_a

Outline

- 1 Multiple Linear Regression (Estimation, Inference, Diagnostics and Remedial Measures)
- 2 [Special Topics for Multiple Regression](#page-17-0) Extra Sums of Squares

Extra Sums of Squares

- A topic unique to multiple regression
- An extra sum of squares measures the marginal decrease in the error sum of squares when one or several predictor variables are added to the regression model, given that other variables are already in the model.
- Equivalently-one can view an extra sum of squares as measuring the marginal increase in the regression sum of squares

Definitions

• Definition

$$
-SSR(X_1|X_2)=SSE(X_2)-SSE(X_1,X_2)
$$

- **•** Equivalently $-SSR(X_1|X_2) = SSR(X_1, X_2) - SSR(X_2)$
- We can switch the order of X_1 and X_2 in these expressions
- We can easily generalize these definitions for more than two variables $-SSR(X_3|X_1, X_2) = SSE(X_1, X_2) - SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ $-SSR(X_3|X_1, X_2) = SSR(X_1, X_2, X_3) - SSR(X_1, X_2)$

4 ロ X 4 団 X 4 ミ X 4 ミ X ミ X 9 Q Q

Various software packages can provide extra sums of squares for regression analysis. These are usually provided in the order in which the input variables are provided to the system, for instance

KID KAR KERKER E 1990

Figure:

- Does X_k provide statistically significant improvement to the regression model fit?
- We can use the general linear test approach
- **•** Example

–First order model with three predictor variables

 $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + \epsilon_i$

–We want to answer the following hypothesis test

$$
H_0: \beta_3 = 0
$$

$$
H_1: \beta_3 \neq 0
$$

KOLLERATION

- For the full model we have $SSE(F) = SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)$
- The reduced model is $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \epsilon_i$
- And for this model we have $SSE(R) = SSE(X_1, X_2)$
- Where there are $df_r = n 3$ degrees of freedom associated with the reduced model

4 ロ X 4 団 X 4 ミ X 4 ミ X ミ X 9 Q Q

The general linear test statistics is

$$
F^* = \frac{SSE(R) - SSE(F)}{df_R - df_F} / \frac{SSE(F)}{df_F}
$$

which becomes

$$
F^* = \frac{\text{SSE}(X_1, X_2) - \text{SSE}(X_1, X_2, X_3)}{(n-3) - (n-4)} / \frac{\text{SSE}(X_1, X_2, X_3)}{n-4}
$$

KORK (DRA BRANDA ABRA) EL PORO

but $SSE(X_1, X_2) - SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3) = SSR(X_3|X_1, X_2)$

The general linear test statistics is

$$
F^* = \frac{SSR(X_3|X_1,X_2)}{1} / \frac{SSE(X_1,X_2,X_3)}{n-4} = \frac{MSR(X_3|X_1,X_2)}{MSE(X_1,X_2,X_3)}
$$

メタメ メミメ メミメー

 Ω

Extra sum of squares has one associated degree of freedom.

Test whether $\beta_k = 0$

Another example H_0 : $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ H_1 : not both β_2 and β_3 are zero The general linear test can be used again

$$
F^* = \frac{SSE(X_1)-SSE(X_1,X_2,X_3)}{(n-2)-(n-4)} / \frac{SSE(X_1,X_2,X_3)}{n-4}
$$

4 ロ X 4 団 X 4 ミ X 4 ミ X ミ X 9 Q Q

But $SSE(X_1) - SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3) = SSR(X_2, X_3|X_1)$ so the expression can be simplified.

Summary:

– General linear test can be used to determine whether or not a predictor variable(or sets of variables) should be included in the model

KORK (DRAGE) KER E DAG

 $-$ The ANOVA SSE's can be used to compute F^\ast test statistics

Summary of Tests Concerning Regression Coefficients

- Test whether all $\beta_k = 0$
- Test whether a single $\beta_k = 0$
- Test whether some $\beta_k = 0$
- Test involving relationships among coefficients, for example,

•
$$
H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2
$$
 vs. $H_a: \beta_1 \neq \beta_2$

- H_0 : $\beta_1 = 3$, $\beta_2 = 5$ vs. H_a : otherwise
- Key point in all tests: form the full model and the reduced model

KID KAR KERKER E 1990