

# Chapter 2 Multiple Regression I (Part 1)

## 1 Regression several predictor variables

The response  $Y$  depends on several **predictor** variables  $X_1, \dots, X_p$

$$\underbrace{Y}_{\text{response}} \quad \underbrace{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p}_{\text{predictor variables}}$$

Observations (or Design)

obs.	$Y$	$X_1$	$X_2$	...	$X_p$
1	$Y_1$	$X_{11}$	$X_{12}$	...	$X_{1p}$
2	$Y_2$	$X_{21}$	$X_{22}$	...	$X_{2p}$
$\vdots$					
n	$Y_n$	$X_{n1}$	$X_{n2}$	...	$X_{np}$

Thus, generally for individual  $i$ ,

the response is:  $Y_i$

the predictors variables are:  $X_{i1}, X_{i2}, \dots, X_{ip}$

## 2 Linear regression model with Two predictor variables

The linear regression model assumes that for any subject/individual with response  $Y_i$  and predictor  $X_{i1}, X_{i2}$  satisfies

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \varepsilon_i$$

where  $E\varepsilon_i = 0$ , or equivalently

$$\mathbf{E}(Y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2}$$

Sometimes, it is also written as,

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \varepsilon$$

where  $E\varepsilon = 0$ . or equivalently

$$\mathbf{E}(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2$$

where  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$  are called **regression coefficient**

$\beta_0$  is called intercept

$\beta_1$  is called coefficient of  $X_1$ ;  $\beta_2$  is called coefficient of  $X_2$

For example: (height in inch)

$$(\text{Expected height of girl}) = -2.5 + 0.5(\text{Farther's height}) + 0.5(\text{Mother's height})$$

$$(\text{Expected height of boy}) = 2.5 + 0.5(\text{Farther's height}) + 0.5(\text{Mother's height})$$

### Meaning of the regression coefficients

$\beta_1$  indicate the change in the mean response  $\mathbf{E}Y$  per unit increase in  $X_1$  when  $X_2$  holds constant.

$\beta_2$  indicate the change in the mean response  $\mathbf{E}Y$  per unit increase in  $X_2$  when  $X_1$  holds constant.

Note that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  have some correlation, thus you need to know the difference in statistical and mathematical models [in mathematical model,  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  can be really free the change, but statistical model may not completely free]

## 3 Linear regression model with $p$ predictor variables

The linear regression model assumes that for any subject/individual with response  $Y_i$  and predictor  $X_{i1}, \dots, X_{ip}$  satisfies

$$Y_i = \underbrace{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p X_{ip}}_{\text{predictable}} + \underbrace{\varepsilon_i}_{\text{unpredictable}}$$

where  $E\varepsilon_i = 0$ , or equivalently

$$\mathbf{E}(Y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p X_{ip}$$

This means for each individual, the expected value of the response is a functional relationship with the independent variables. But the "real" value has **random error**  $\varepsilon_i$  from the expected value.

Sometimes, the model is written as

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_p X_p + \varepsilon$$

where  $E\varepsilon = 0$ , or equivalently

$$\mathbf{E}(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_p X_p$$

which is called a **hyperplane**, where  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$  are called **regression coefficient**

### Meaning of the regression coefficients

$\beta_k$  indicate the change in the mean response  $\mathbf{E}Y$  per unit increase in  $X_k$  when the other predictors remain constant.

It is easy to see that we have studied the case  $p = 1$ , i.e. simple linear regression model.

We usually make the following assumptions

- (L) Linearity (implied in the model)
- (I) Independence of Error Terms, thus  $\text{Cov}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = 0$ , if  $i \neq j$
- (N) Normality of Error Terms:  $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
- (E) Equal/constant Error Variance:  $\text{Var}\{\varepsilon_i\} = \sigma^2$
- (F) Fixed design:  $X_{i1}, \dots, X_{ip}$  are known and nonrandom.

There are  $p+1$  coefficients  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_p$ , one common variance  $\sigma^2$ , they are called parameters of the model.

## 4 Some Examples

Here we give some examples that are nonlinear, but can be transformed to linear regression models.

- Qualitative Predictor variables. It is understandable that the predictors must be quantitative. But we can also consider qualitative predictor, by denoting the predictor using dummy variables. For example  $Y$  a person's height,  $X_1$  is his/her father's height,  $X_2$  his/her mother's height,  $S$  is the gender of the person. We can denote the gender by

$$X_3 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the person is male} \\ 0, & \text{if the person is female} \end{cases}$$

Then our model is

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \varepsilon$$

- Polynomial regression models, for example

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \beta_2 X_i^2 + \varepsilon_i,$$

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \beta_3 X_i^2 + \dots + \beta_k X_i^k + \varepsilon_i,$$

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + \beta_4 X_{i1}^2 + \beta_5 X_{i1} X_{i2} + \beta_6 X_{i3}^3 + \varepsilon_i,$$

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + \beta_4 X_{i1}^2 + \beta_5 X_{i1} X_{i2} + \beta_6 X_{i1}^4 + \varepsilon_i,$$

$X_{i1}X_{i2}$  are usually called interaction of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , how about  $X_{i2}X_{i3}$ ?

- Transformed model (after variable transformation, the model become a linear regression model). Here are some examples

- (a) For model  $Y_i = a_0 \exp(\beta_1 X_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p X_{ip}) \xi_i$ , let  $Z_i = \log(Y_i)$ ,  $\varepsilon_i = \log(\xi_i)$  and  $\beta_0 = \log(a_0)$ . Taking logarithm, the model becomes

$$Z_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p X_{ip} + \varepsilon_i$$

- (b) model  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + \beta_4 X_{i1}^2 + \beta_5 X_{i1} X_{i2} + \beta_6 X_{i3}^3 + \varepsilon_i$ , can be written as

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + \beta_4 Z_{i4} + \beta_5 Z_{i5} + \beta_6 Z_{i6} + \varepsilon_i,$$

where  $Z_{i4} = X_{i1}^2$ ,  $Z_{i5} = X_{i1}X_{i2}$  and  $Z_{i6} = X_{i3}^3$ .

## 5 General linear regression model in matrix terms

Again, our general model can be written as

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p X_{ip} + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

or

$$Y_1 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{11} + \dots + \beta_p X_{1p} + \varepsilon_1,$$

$$Y_2 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{21} + \dots + \beta_p X_{2p} + \varepsilon_2,$$

$\vdots$

$$Y_n = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{n1} + \dots + \beta_p X_{np} + \varepsilon_n$$

(with the 5 assumptions)

Let

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X_{11} & \cdots & X_{1,p} \\ 1 & X_{21} & \cdots & X_{2,p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & X_{n1} & \cdots & X_{n,p} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{called Design matrix}$$

$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{called coefficient vector} \quad \mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{called response vector}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{called random error vector}$$

It is easy to check

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & X_{11} & \cdots & X_{1,p} \\ 1 & X_{21} & \cdots & X_{2,p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & X_{n1} & \cdots & X_{n,p} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1,1} + \cdots + \beta_p X_{1,p} \\ \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{2,1} + \cdots + \beta_p X_{2,p} \\ \vdots \\ \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{n,1} + \cdots + \beta_p X_{n,p} \end{bmatrix}$$

The regression model can be written as

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \mathcal{E}$$

The (L-I-N-E) assumptions can be written as

$$\mathbf{E}\{\mathcal{E}\} = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Var}\{\mathcal{E}\} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$$

$$\mathcal{E} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$$

## 6 Least squares estimation

- Minimize  $Q(b_0, \dots, b_p) = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - b_0 - b_1 X_{i1} - \dots - b_p X_{i,p})^2$
- by calculus, we have the following **(p+1)** Normal equations: (how?)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - b_0 - b_1 X_{i1} - \dots - b_p X_{i,p}) &= 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - b_0 - b_1 X_{i1} - \dots - b_p X_{i,p}) X_{i1} &= 0 \\ &\vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - b_0 - b_1 X_{i1} - \dots - b_p X_{i,p}) X_{ip} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- let  $b = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_p)'$ . Then the Normal equations can be written as

$$\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}$$

- The solution, i.e. the estimator of the coefficient vector, is

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}$$

- The estimated model is

$$\hat{Y} = b_0 + b_1X_1 + \dots + b_pX_p$$

- Fitted values

$$\hat{Y}_i = b_0 + b_1X_{i1} + \dots + b_pX_{ip}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

- (Fitted) residuals

$$e_i = Y_i - \hat{Y}_i = Y_i - (b_0 + b_1X_{i1} + \dots + b_pX_{ip}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

- Estimator of  $\sigma^2$ , denoted by  $\hat{\sigma}^2$ ,

$$MSE = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 / \{n - (p + 1)\} \quad \text{called **Mean squared error**}$$

why (p+1)? (because there are p+1 constraints, p+1 is the number of (free) coefficients, or more exactly **the number of Normal equations**).

- **Dwayne Studios example**  $Y$ -sales,  $X_1$ - number of persons aged 16 or less,  $X_2$ -income. 21 observations

1.

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} 174.4 \\ 164.4 \\ \vdots \\ 166.5 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 68.5 & 16.7 \\ 1 & 45.2 & 16.8 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 52.3 & 16.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.

$$\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 21.0 & 1,302.4 & 360.0 \\ 1,302.4 & 87,707.9 & 22,609.2 \\ 360.0 & 22,609.2 & 6,190.3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} 3,820 \\ 249,643 \\ 66,073 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 21.0 & 1,302.4 & 360.0 \\ 1,302.4 & 87,707.9 & 22,609.2 \\ 360.0 & 22,609.2 & 6,190.3 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 3,820 \\ 249,643 \\ 66,073 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -68.85 \\ 1.45 \\ 9.37 \end{bmatrix}$$

4. The estimated model is

$$\hat{Y} = -68.85 + 1.45X_1 + 9.37X_2$$

5.

obs.	$X_1$	$X_2$	Y	Fitted $\hat{Y}_i$	residuals $e_i$
1	68.5	16.7	174.4	187.184	-12.7841
2	45.2	16.8	164.4	154.229	10.1706
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
21	52.3	16.0	166.5	157.064	9.4356

6.

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = MSE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{21} e_i^2}{n - p - 1} = \frac{2180.9274}{21 - 2 - 1} = 121.1626$$

## 7 Unbias of the estimators of coefficients

The estimator of coefficient vector is unbiased, i.e.

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{b}) = \beta$$

and

$$\mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{b}) = \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

In details

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{b}_k) = \beta_k$$

and

$$\mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{b}_k) = \sigma^2 c_{k+1,k+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$$

where  $c_{kk}$  is the  $(k, k)$ th entry in  $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$ .

[Proof: Note that  $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\beta$ . Thus

$$\mathbf{E}\{\mathbf{b}\} = \mathbf{E}\{(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}\} = \{(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{E}\{\mathbf{Y}\} = \beta$$

and

$$\mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\sigma^2\mathbf{I}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} = \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \quad ]$$

## 8 Fitted values and residuals in matrix form

- fitted value

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{Y}} &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{Y}_1 \\ \hat{Y}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{Y}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 + b_1 X_{11} + \dots + b_p X_{1,p} \\ b_0 + b_1 X_{21} + \dots + b_p X_{2,p} \\ \vdots \\ b_0 + b_1 X_{n1} + \dots + b_p X_{n,p} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} \\ &= \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}\end{aligned}$$

- fitted residuals

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{Y} - \hat{\mathbf{Y}} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}')\mathbf{Y}$$

Denote  $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'$  by  $\mathbf{H}$ , we have  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y}$ ,  $\mathbf{e} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{Y}$

## 9 Variance-covariance matrix for residuals $\mathbf{e}$

- $\text{Var}\{\mathbf{e}\} = \text{Var}\{(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{Y}\} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})\text{Var}\{\mathbf{Y}\}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})'$
- $\text{Var}\{\mathbf{Y}\} = \text{Var}\{\mathcal{E}\} = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}$
- $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})' = \mathbf{I}' - \mathbf{H}' = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}$
- $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{H}$
- $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{I} - 2\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}$
- $\text{Var}\{\mathbf{e}\} = \sigma^2(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})$ , which can be estimated by  $\hat{\sigma}^2(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})$ , where
 
$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \text{MSE} = \frac{\mathbf{e}'\mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{p} - 1} = \frac{\mathbf{Y}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{Y}}{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{p} - 1)}$$
- $\mathbf{E}\hat{\sigma}^2 = \mathbf{E}(\text{MSE}) = \sigma^2$  [The proof can be ignored]



## 10 Variance-covariance matrix for $b$

Recall  $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}$ ,

$$\mathbf{Var}\{\mathbf{b}\} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Var}\{\mathbf{Y}\}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} = \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

where  $\sigma^2$  can be estimated by  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \text{MSE}$ . In other word, we estimate  $\mathbf{Var}\{\mathbf{b}\}$  by  $\hat{\sigma}^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$ , denoted  $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{b}) = \hat{\sigma}^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$

For the above example,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE} &= \frac{\text{SSE}}{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{p} - 1} = \frac{\mathbf{e}'\mathbf{e}}{21 - 2 - 1} = \frac{2,180.93}{18} = 121.16 \\ \mathbf{s}^2\{\mathbf{b}\} &= 121.16(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 3,602.0 & 8.748 & -241.43 \\ 8.748 & 0.0448 & -0.679 \\ -241.43 & -0.679 & 16.514 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

## 11 The distribution of estimators

If  $\mathcal{E} \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2\mathbf{I})$  (i.e.  $\varepsilon_i$  are IID  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ ), then

- The estimated coefficients

$$\mathbf{b} \sim \mathbf{N}(\beta, \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1})$$

Denote the  $(i, j)$ th entry of  $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$  by  $c_{ij}$ , then

$$b_k \sim N(\beta_k, \sigma^2 c_{k+1, k+1}), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$$

(where  $\mathbf{b} = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_p)'$ )

- Let  $s(b_k) = \sqrt{\text{MSE} * c_{k+1, k+1}}$ , called **Standard Error (S.E.)** for  $b_k$  (which can be found in the output of R), then

$$\frac{b_k - \beta_k}{s(b_k)} \sim t(n - p - 1)$$

- t-value

$$t^* = \frac{b_k}{s(b_k)}$$

## 12 Confidence interval for $\beta_k$

with  $1 - \alpha$  confidence, the Confidence interval for  $\beta_k$  is

$$[b_k - s(b_k) * t(1 - \alpha/2, n - p - 1), \quad b_k + s(b_k) * t(1 - \alpha/2, n - p - 1)]$$

For the Dwaine Studios example, the 95% Confidence interval for  $\beta_2$  is

$$[9.3655 - 4.0640 * 2.101, \quad 9.3655 + 4.0640 * 2.101] = [0.83, \quad 17.90]$$

where quantile (critical value)

$$t(1 - \alpha/2, n - p - 1) = t(0.975, 21 - 3) = 2.101$$

is used

## 13 test for $\beta_k = 0$

Our hypothesis is

$$H_0 : \beta_k = 0, \quad H_a : \beta_k \neq 0$$

under  $H_0$ ,

$$t = \frac{b_k - \beta_k}{s(b_k)} = \frac{b_k}{s(b_k)} \sim t(n - p - 1)$$

For significant level  $\alpha$ , our criterion is

If the calculated  $|t^*| > t(1 - \alpha/2, n - p - 1)$ , reject  $H_0$

If the calculated  $|t^*| \leq t(1 - \alpha/2, n - p - 1)$ , accept  $H_0$

Similarly, we can do the test based on the p-value

If p-value  $< \alpha$ , reject  $H_0$

If p-value  $\geq \alpha$ , accept  $H_0$

For the Dwaine Studios example, test

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0, \quad H_a : \beta_1 \neq 0$$

with significance level 5%, since

$$|t^*| = 6.868 > t(1 - \alpha/2, n - p - 1) = 2.101$$

we reject  $H_0$ . (in other words,  $\beta_1$  is significantly different from 0.)

Similarly,

$H_0 : \beta_0 = 0$  can be accepted

$H_0 : \beta_2 = 0$  should be rejected

## 14 Prediction

For any new individual with  $X_{new} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)^\top$ , the predict mean response is

$$\hat{Y}_{new} = \mathcal{X}'\mathbf{b}$$

where

$$\mathcal{X} = (1, x_1, \dots, x_p)'$$

We have

$$E\hat{Y}_{new} = EY_{new}$$

Note that if normal errors are assumed, i.e.  $\varepsilon_i$  are IID  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ , then

$$\hat{Y}_{new} \sim N(\mathbf{E}Y_{new}, \mathcal{X}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathcal{X}\sigma^2)$$

Let

$$s^2(\hat{Y}_{new}) = \mathcal{X}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathcal{X}\hat{\sigma}^2 = \mathcal{X}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathcal{X} * MSE$$

We have

$$\frac{\hat{Y}_{new} - \mathbf{E}Y_{new}}{s(\hat{Y}_{new})} \sim t(n - p - 1)$$

With confidence  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ , the C.I. for  $\mathbf{E}(Y_{new})$  is

$$[\hat{Y}_{new} - s(\hat{Y}_{new}) * t(1 - \alpha/2, n - p - 1), \quad \hat{Y}_{new} + s(\hat{Y}_{new}) * t(1 - \alpha/2, n - p - 1)]$$

What about the prediction interval (P.I.) for the value  $Y_{new}$ ? With confidence  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ , the P.I. for  $Y_{new}$  is

$$[\hat{Y}_{new} - s(pred) * t(1 - \alpha/2, n - p - 1), \quad \hat{Y}_{new} + s(pred) * t(1 - \alpha/2, n - p - 1)]$$

where

$$s^2(pred) = MSE + s^2(\hat{Y}_{new}) = MSE\{1 + \mathcal{X}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathcal{X}\}$$

## 15 R code

- `regression=lm(y ~ x1 + x2 + ... + xp)`  
`summary(regression)`
- `Xnew = data.frame(x1=c(...), x2=c(...), ..., xp=c(...))`  
`predict(regression, Xnew, interval = "confidence"/"prediction", level=0.95)`